

# Operations of Points on Elliptic Curve in Affine Coordinates<sup>1</sup>

Yuichi Futa  
Tokyo University of Technology  
Tokyo, Japan

Hiroyuki Okazaki  
Shinshu University  
Nagano, Japan

Yasunari Shidama  
Shinshu University  
Nagano, Japan

**Summary.** In this article, we formalize in Mizar [1], [2] a binary operation of points on an elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{GF}(p)$  in affine coordinates. We show that the operation is unital, complementable and commutative. Elliptic curve cryptography [3], whose security is based on a difficulty of discrete logarithm problem of elliptic curves, is important for information security.

MSC: 14H52 14K05 68T99 03B35

Keywords: elliptic curve; commutative operation

MML identifier: EC\_PF\_3, version: 8.1.09 5.59.1363

## 1. SET OF POINTS ON ELLIPTIC CURVE IN AFFINE COORDINATES

From now on  $p$  denotes a 5 or greater prime number and  $z$  denotes an element of the parameters of elliptic curve  $p$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (1) Let us consider a prime number  $p$ , elements  $a, b$  of  $\mathbf{GF}(p)$ , and an element  $P$  of  $\text{ProjCo}(\mathbf{GF}(p))$ . Suppose  $P = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$  or  $(P)_{\mathbf{3},3} = 1$ . Then the represent point of  $P = P$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP15K00183 and JP17K00182.

PROOF: If  $P = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$ , then the represent point of  $P = P$ . If  $(P)_{\mathbf{3},\mathbf{3}} = 1$ , then the represent point of  $P = P$  by [5, (2)], [6, (3)].  $\square$

- (2) Let us consider a 5 or greater prime number  $p$ , an element  $z$  of the parameters of elliptic curve  $p$ , and elements  $P, O$  of  $\text{EC}_{\text{SetProjCo}}((z)_{\mathbf{1}})$ . Suppose  $O = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$ . Then  $(P)_{\mathbf{3},\mathbf{3}} = 0$  if and only if  $P \equiv O$ . The theorem is a consequence of (1).
- (3) Let us consider a 5 or greater prime number  $p$ , an element  $z$  of the parameters of elliptic curve  $p$ , and an element  $P$  of  $\text{EC}_{\text{SetProjCo}}((z)_{\mathbf{1}})$ . If  $(P)_{\mathbf{3},\mathbf{3}} = 0$ , then  $P \equiv (\text{compell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (2).
- (4) Let us consider elements  $P, O$  of  $\text{EC}_{\text{SetProjCo}}((z)_{\mathbf{1}})$ . Suppose  $O = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$ . Then  $(\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P, (\text{compell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P)) \equiv O$ . The theorem is a consequence of (2) and (3).

Let  $p$  be a 5 or greater prime number and  $z$  be an element of the parameters of elliptic curve  $p$ . The functor  $\text{EC-SetAffCo}(z, p)$  yielding a non empty subset of  $\text{EC}_{\text{SetProjCo}}((z)_{\mathbf{1}})$  is defined by the term

(Def. 1)  $\{P, \text{ where } P \text{ is an element of } \text{EC}_{\text{SetProjCo}}((z)_{\mathbf{1}}) : (P)_{\mathbf{3},\mathbf{3}} = 1 \text{ or } P = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle\}$ .

Now we state the proposition:

- (5)  $\langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$  is an element of  $\text{EC-SetAffCo}(z, p)$ .

Let us consider a 5 or greater prime number  $p$ , an element  $z$  of the parameters of elliptic curve  $p$ , and an element  $P$  of  $\text{EC}_{\text{SetProjCo}}((z)_{\mathbf{1}})$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (6) The represent point of  $P$  is an element of  $\text{EC-SetAffCo}(z, p)$ .
- (7) If  $P \in \text{EC-SetAffCo}(z, p)$ , then the represent point of  $P = P$ . The theorem is a consequence of (1).

Let us consider elements  $P, O$  of  $\text{EC}_{\text{SetProjCo}}((z)_{\mathbf{1}})$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (8) If  $O = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$  and  $P \neq O$ , then (the represent point of  $P)_{\mathbf{3},\mathbf{3}} = 1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (2).
- (9) Suppose  $O = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$  and the represent point of  $P \equiv O$ . Then
  - (i) the represent point of  $P = O$ , and
  - (ii)  $P \equiv O$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (2) and (1).

- (10) Let us consider an element  $P$  of  $\text{ProjCo}(\text{GF}(p))$ . Then the represent point of the represent point of  $P =$  the represent point of  $P$ . The theorem is a consequence of (1).

(11) Let us consider elements  $P, Q$  of  $EC_{SetProjCo}((z)_1)$ . Suppose the represent point of  $P \equiv$  the represent point of  $Q$ . Then the represent point of  $P =$  the represent point of  $Q$ . The theorem is a consequence of (10).

Let  $p$  be a 5 or greater prime number and  $z$  be an element of the parameters of elliptic curve  $p$ . The functor  $compell-AffCo(z, p)$  yielding a unary operation on  $EC-SetAffCo(z, p)$  is defined by

(Def. 2) for every element  $P$  of  $EC-SetAffCo(z, p)$ ,  $it(P) =$  the represent point of  $(compell_{ProjCo}(z, p))(P)$ .

Let  $F$  be a function from  $EC-SetAffCo(z, p)$  into  $EC-SetAffCo(z, p)$  and  $P$  be an element of  $EC-SetAffCo(z, p)$ . Let us observe that the functor  $F(P)$  yields an element of  $EC-SetAffCo(z, p)$ . The functor  $addell-AffCo(z, p)$  yielding a binary operation on  $EC-SetAffCo(z, p)$  is defined by

(Def. 3) for every elements  $P, Q$  of  $EC-SetAffCo(z, p)$ ,  $it(P, Q) =$  the represent point of  $(addell_{ProjCo}(z, p))(P, Q)$ .

Let  $F$  be a function from  $EC-SetAffCo(z, p) \times EC-SetAffCo(z, p)$  into

$EC-SetAffCo(z, p)$  and  $Q, R$  be elements of  $EC-SetAffCo(z, p)$ . Let us observe that the functor  $F(Q, R)$  yields an element of  $EC-SetAffCo(z, p)$ . Now we state the proposition:

(12) Let us consider elements  $P, O$  of  $EC_{SetProjCo}((z)_1)$ . Suppose  $O = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$ . Then

(i)  $(addell_{ProjCo}(z, p))(P, O) \equiv P$ , and

(ii)  $(addell_{ProjCo}(z, p))(O, P) \equiv P$ .

Let us consider elements  $P, O$  of  $EC-SetAffCo(z, p)$ . Now we state the propositions:

(13) If  $O = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$ , then  $(addell-AffCo(z, p))(O, P) = P$ . The theorem is a consequence of (12) and (7).

(14) If  $O = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$ , then  $(addell-AffCo(z, p))(P, O) = P$ . The theorem is a consequence of (12) and (7).

(15) Let us consider an element  $O$  of  $EC-SetAffCo(z, p)$ . Suppose  $O = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$ . Then  $O$  is a unity w.r.t.  $addell-AffCo(z, p)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (13) and (14).

(16) Let us consider elements  $P, O$  of  $EC-SetAffCo(z, p)$ . Suppose  $O = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$ . Then  $(addell-AffCo(z, p))(P, (compell-AffCo(z, p))(P)) = O$ . The theorem is a consequence of (7), (4), and (2).

2. COMMUTATIVE PROPERTY OF OPERATIONS OF POINTS ON ELLIPTIC CURVE

Now we state the propositions:

- (17) Let us consider a 5 or greater prime number  $p$ , an element  $z$  of the parameters of elliptic curve  $p$ , and elements  $P, Q, O, P_3, Q_3$  of  $EC_{\text{SetProjCo}}((z)_1)$ . Suppose  $O = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$  and  $P \not\equiv O$  and  $Q \not\equiv O$  and  $P \not\equiv Q$ . Suppose  $P_3 = (\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P, Q)$  and  $Q_3 = (\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(Q, P)$ . Then

- (i)  $(Q_3)_{1,3} = -(P_3)_{1,3}$ , and
- (ii)  $(Q_3)_{2,3} = -(P_3)_{2,3}$ , and
- (iii)  $(Q_3)_{3,3} = -(P_3)_{3,3}$ .

PROOF: Reconsider  $g_2 = 2 \pmod p$  as an element of  $\text{GF}(p)$ . Set  $gf_{1PQ} = (Q)_{2,3} \cdot ((P)_{3,3}) - (P)_{2,3} \cdot ((Q)_{3,3})$ . Set  $gf_{2PQ} = (Q)_{1,3} \cdot ((P)_{3,3}) - (P)_{1,3} \cdot ((Q)_{3,3})$ . Set  $gf_{3PQ} = gf_{1PQ}^2 \cdot ((P)_{3,3}) \cdot ((Q)_{3,3}) - gf_{2PQ}^3 - g_2 \cdot (gf_{2PQ}^2) \cdot ((P)_{1,3}) \cdot ((Q)_{3,3})$ . Set  $gf_{1QP} = (P)_{2,3} \cdot ((Q)_{3,3}) - (Q)_{2,3} \cdot ((P)_{3,3})$ . Set  $gf_{2QP} = (P)_{1,3} \cdot ((Q)_{3,3}) - (Q)_{1,3} \cdot ((P)_{3,3})$ . Set  $gf_{3QP} = gf_{1QP}^2 \cdot ((Q)_{3,3}) \cdot ((P)_{3,3}) - gf_{2QP}^3 - g_2 \cdot (gf_{2QP}^2) \cdot ((Q)_{1,3}) \cdot ((P)_{3,3})$ .  $gf_{3QP} = gf_{3PQ} \cdot (Q_3)_{1,3} = -(P_3)_{1,3}$ .  $(Q_3)_{2,3} = -(P_3)_{2,3}$ .  $(Q_3)_{3,3} = -(P_3)_{3,3}$ .  $\square$

- (18) Let us consider elements  $P, Q, O, P_3, Q_3$  of  $EC_{\text{SetProjCo}}((z)_1)$ , and an element  $d$  of  $\text{GF}(p)$ . Suppose  $O = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$  and  $d \neq 0_{\text{GF}(p)}$  and  $(Q)_{1,3} = d \cdot ((P)_{1,3})$  and  $(Q)_{2,3} = d \cdot ((P)_{2,3})$  and  $(Q)_{3,3} = d \cdot ((P)_{3,3})$  and  $P \not\equiv O$  and  $Q \not\equiv O$  and  $P \equiv Q$  and  $P_3 = (\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P, Q)$  and  $Q_3 = (\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(Q, P)$ . Then

- (i)  $(Q_3)_{1,3} = d^6 \cdot ((P_3)_{1,3})$ , and
- (ii)  $(Q_3)_{2,3} = d^6 \cdot ((P_3)_{2,3})$ , and
- (iii)  $(Q_3)_{3,3} = d^6 \cdot ((P_3)_{3,3})$ .

- (19) Let us consider elements  $P, Q$  of  $EC_{\text{SetProjCo}}((z)_1)$ . Then  $(\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P, Q) \equiv (\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(Q, P)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (17) and (18).

- (20) Let us consider elements  $P, Q$  of  $EC\text{-SetAffCo}(z, p)$ . Then  $(\text{addell}\text{-AffCo}(z, p))(P, Q) = (\text{addell}\text{-AffCo}(z, p))(Q, P)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (19).

Let  $p$  be a 5 or greater prime number and  $z$  be an element of the parameters of elliptic curve  $p$ . One can verify that  $\text{addell}\text{-AffCo}(z, p)$  is non empty, commutative, and unital.

The functor  $0\text{-EC}(z, p)$  yielding an element of  $EC\text{-SetAffCo}(z, p)$  is defined by the term

(Def. 4)  $\langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$ .

Let us consider  $p$  and  $z$ . Let us observe that  $\langle \text{EC-SetAffCo}(z, p), \text{addell-AffCo}(z, p) \rangle$  is Abelian and  $\langle \text{EC-SetAffCo}(z, p), \text{addell-AffCo}(z, p), 0\text{-EC}(z, p) \rangle$  is left zeroed and right zeroed and  $\langle \text{EC-SetAffCo}(z, p), \text{addell-AffCo}(z, p), 0\text{-EC}(z, p) \rangle$  is complementable.

Let  $p$  be a 5 or greater prime number and  $z$  be an element of the parameters of elliptic curve  $p$ . One can verify that  $\langle \text{EC-SetAffCo}(z, p), \text{addell-AffCo}(z, p) \rangle$  is unital.

Now we state the proposition:

(21) Let us consider a 5 or greater prime number  $p$ , and an element  $z$  of the parameters of elliptic curve  $p$ . Then  $\mathbf{1}_{\langle \text{EC-SetAffCo}(z, p), \text{addell-AffCo}(z, p) \rangle} = 0\text{-EC}(z, p)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (15).

Let  $p$  be a 5 or greater prime number and  $z$  be an element of the parameters of elliptic curve  $p$ . One can check that  $\langle \text{EC-SetAffCo}(z, p), \text{addell-AffCo}(z, p) \rangle$  is commutative, group-like, and non empty.

Now we state the propositions:

(22) Let us consider elements  $P_1, P_2, Q$  of  $\text{EC}_{\text{SetProjCo}}((z)_1)$ . Suppose  $P_1 \equiv P_2$ . Then  $(\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P_1, Q) \equiv (\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P_2, Q)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (19).

(23) Let us consider elements  $P, Q_1, Q_2$  of  $\text{EC}_{\text{SetProjCo}}((z)_1)$ . Suppose  $Q_1 \equiv Q_2$ . Then  $(\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P, Q_1) \equiv (\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P, Q_2)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (19) and (22).

(24) Let us consider elements  $P_1, P_2, Q_1, Q_2$  of  $\text{EC}_{\text{SetProjCo}}((z)_1)$ . Suppose  $P_1 \equiv P_2$  and  $Q_1 \equiv Q_2$ . Then  $(\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P_1, Q_1) \equiv (\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P_2, Q_2)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (22) and (23).

(25) Let us consider elements  $P, O$  of  $\text{EC}_{\text{SetProjCo}}((z)_1)$ . Suppose  $O = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$ . Then  $P \equiv O$  if and only if  $(\text{compell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P) \equiv O$ .

(26) Let us consider elements  $P, Q$  of  $\text{EC}_{\text{SetProjCo}}((z)_1)$ , and an element  $a$  of  $\text{GF}(p)$ . Suppose  $a \neq 0_{\text{GF}(p)}$  and  $(P)_{1,3} = a \cdot ((Q)_{1,3})$  and  $(P)_{2,3} = a \cdot ((Q)_{2,3})$  and  $(P)_{3,3} = a \cdot ((Q)_{3,3})$ . Then  $P \equiv Q$ .

(27) Let us consider elements  $P, Q$  of  $\text{EC}_{\text{SetProjCo}}((z)_1)$ , and elements  $g_2, gf_1, gf_2, gf_3$  of  $\text{GF}(p)$ . Suppose  $P \not\equiv Q$  and  $(P)_{3,3} = 1$  and  $(Q)_{3,3} = 1$  and  $g_2 = 2 \pmod p$  and  $gf_1 = (Q)_{2,3} - (P)_{2,3}$  and  $gf_2 = (Q)_{1,3} - (P)_{1,3}$  and  $gf_3 = gf_1^2 - gf_2^3 - g_2 \cdot (gf_2^2) \cdot ((P)_{1,3})$ . Then  $(\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P, Q) = \langle gf_2 \cdot gf_3, gf_1 \cdot (gf_2^2 \cdot ((P)_{1,3}) - gf_3) - gf_2^3 \cdot ((P)_{2,3}), gf_2^3 \rangle$ . The theorem is a consequence of (2).

(28) Let us consider elements  $P, Q$  of  $\text{EC}_{\text{SetProjCo}}((z)_1)$ , and elements  $g_2, g_3, g_4, g_8, gf_1, gf_2, gf_3, gf_4$  of  $\text{GF}(p)$ . Suppose  $P \equiv Q$  and  $(P)_{3,3} = 1$  and  $(Q)_{3,3} = 1$  and  $g_2 = 2 \pmod p$  and  $g_3 = 3 \pmod p$  and  $g_4 = 4 \pmod p$  and  $g_8 =$

$8 \bmod p$  and  $gf_1 = (z)_1 + g_3 \cdot (((P)_{1,3})^2)$  and  $gf_2 = (P)_{2,3}$  and  $gf_3 = (P)_{1,3} \cdot ((P)_{2,3}) \cdot gf_2$  and  $gf_4 = gf_1^2 - g_8 \cdot gf_3$ . Then  $(\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P, Q) = \langle g_2 \cdot gf_4 \cdot gf_2, gf_1 \cdot (g_4 \cdot gf_3 - gf_4) - g_8 \cdot (((P)_{2,3})^2) \cdot (gf_2^2), g_8 \cdot (gf_2^3) \rangle$ . The theorem is a consequence of (2).

Let us consider elements  $P, Q$  of  $\text{EC}_{\text{SetProjCo}}((z)_1)$ . Now we state the propositions:

(29) Suppose  $(P)_{3,3} = 1$  and  $(Q)_{3,3} = 1$ .

Then  $(\text{compell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))((\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P, Q)) \equiv (\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))((\text{compell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P), (\text{compell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(Q))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (27), (28), and (26).

(30)  $(\text{compell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))((\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P, Q)) \equiv (\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))((\text{compell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P), (\text{compell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(Q))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (25), (8), (29), (24), and (2).

(31) Let us consider elements  $P, O$  of  $\text{EC}_{\text{SetProjCo}}((z)_1)$ . Suppose  $O = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$  and  $P \neq O$ . Then  $(P)_{2,3} = 0_{\text{GF}(p)}$  if and only if  $(\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P, P) \equiv O$ .

PROOF: Reconsider  $g_8 = 8 \bmod p$  as an element of  $\text{GF}(p)$ .

$((\text{addell}_{\text{ProjCo}}(z, p))(P, P))_{3,3} = 0$ .  $g_8 \neq 0_{\text{GF}(p)}$ .  $(P)_{3,3} \neq 0$  by [4, (23)], [5, (28)].  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Kornilowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pąk, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8\_17.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Kornilowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, and Karol Pąk. The role of the Mizar Mathematical Library for interactive proof development in Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 61(1):9–32, 2018. doi:10.1007/s10817-017-9440-6.
- [3] I. Blake, G. Seroussi, and N. Smart. *Elliptic Curves in Cryptography*. Number 265 in London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [4] Yuichi Futa, Hiroyuki Okazaki, and Yasunari Shidama. Set of points on elliptic curve in projective coordinates. *Formalized Mathematics*, 19(3):131–138, 2011. doi:10.2478/v10037-011-0021-6.
- [5] Yuichi Futa, Hiroyuki Okazaki, Daichi Mizushima, and Yasunari Shidama. Operations of points on elliptic curve in projective coordinates. *Formalized Mathematics*, 20(1):87–95, 2012. doi:10.2478/v10037-012-0012-2.
- [6] Artur Kornilowicz. Recursive definitions. Part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 12(2):167–172, 2004.

Accepted August 29, 2019