THE ECONOMIC CONCEPT OF THE TAX CODE: INITIAL POSITION

Although recent years have brought about changes in tax relations in Russia, the imperfection of tax administration remains a serious problem, increasing the total tax burden on taxpayers. The global financial crisis has exacerbated the situation. The end of almost eight years of the budget surplus in Russia has evoked the need to find new sources of revenue and ensure completeness of tax payment.

Methodologically, the sequence of events plays a critical role in the development of tactics and strategy of any financial reform and restructuring. Incorporating only financial and legal methods, it is impossible to achieve the planned results. And it is difficult to object to V.G. Panskov that it is contrary to the Ministry of Finance of the Russian Federation and that the tax reform is far from complete in the first place because there is no economic concept, without which many rewritings of the Tax Code do not have much influence upon the final result.

In this regard, I would like to highlight a number of points that are very relevant when considering the improvement of the concept of the Tax Code.

1) A wide definition of meaningful tax, which includes all types of income accruing to the state on a regular basis with the help of law enforcement belonging to it, requires the inclusion of taxes paid by a taxpayer in the Special Part of the Tax Code.

In connection with this very interesting approach, Lawyers of “Pepeliaev Group” seem to claim the same, i.e. that a situation with multiple payments is not embedded in the overall tax system, and a degree of certainty of the value of the total burden (the severity of) imposing mandatory payments aimed at the implementation of public functions should be reduced. The actual severity of taxation is different than you might think based on the content of the Tax Code.

2) Structuring the Tax Code in the aspect of the subjective rights of taxpayers as a ratio of the parties: the taxpayer and the receiver of taxes (state), the introduction of the principle of equivalence. Thus, taxation should be seen as a process which is binding on the participants: the taxpayer and the receiver of taxes (state), where the taxpayer seeks to reduce taxes and the state - prevent the reduction of income tax to the treasury.

Creating tax systems and, at the same time, providing the implementation of the state's functions and an increase of business activity of citizens, enterprises, municipalities and regions, have always been and will be the subject of a debate and a “battle” between different branches of the government and business. Thus, the problem of creating a tax system that provides balance, harmony, social justice and economic efficiency remains unsolved.

3) The inclusion of a chapter or section of the potential tax burden (as a calculation of the average rate) in the business. This task is not implemented to date and potential business representatives do not have a real picture which would give a complete picture of the level of taxation of their business.

Fiscal burden in Russia - one of the highest in the world, is much higher than in most developed and developing
countries (about 50% of GDP according to the IMF methodology)⁶.

In the ranking of 2015, the tax burden in Russia amounted to 48.9% of the profits (including income tax - 8.4%, insurance premiums - 35.4%, other taxes - 5.1%)⁷. In this regard, a representative of any type of business often does not address the issue of options which is to reduce tax costs and minimize their tax burden on legitimate business level and this, in turn, leads to thinking about getting a tax benefit. Data findings provided by A.A. Yakovlev denote that the shady schemes of interaction of subjects of the Russian economy are its bad practice⁸.

Until now, the tax legislation has not provided a clear division of concepts to optimize tax payments and tax evasion, entailing a tax and criminal liability for illegal circumvention of taxes. The main reason for the increase of tax risks is related to the fact that previously developed tax optimization schemes have been considered quite often as illegal⁹.

4) Providing direct fulfillment of tax obligations of taxpayers excluding the tax agency¹⁰.

The tax legislation mixes the status of tax agents and taxpayers by giving them equal rights. Shortcomings of the current legislation on taxes and fees, generate much controversy in the theory of tax law and, consequently, affect the law enforcement practice¹¹.

The subject science has hardly studied the effect of the duties of a tax agent on their own financial and economic situation, have not analyzed the limits within which the subject can be bound to perform the functions to calculate, withhold and remit taxes, carrying risks of accrual of penalties and prosecutions as a taxpayer and by virtue of a tax agent status¹².

5) The inclusion of the concept of the Tax Code in indirect taxation section. This is a confirmation and delimitation of the concepts of “tax vehicle” and the taxpayer.

Both legislation and legal doctrine perceive it as a direct tax. Thus, the rights and legitimate interests of third parties, which are understood as true taxpayers in indirect taxation - consumer goods, works and services which are subject to turnover, have been totally abandoned by the legislature¹³.

Thus, there is a need to reform and restructure the Tax Code of the Russian Federation in view of the foregoing.
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