

# Homography in $\mathbb{RP}^2$

Roland Coghetto  
Rue de la Brasserie 5  
7100 La Louvière, Belgium

**Summary.** The real projective plane has been formalized in Isabelle/HOL by Timothy Makarios [13] and in Coq by Nicolas Magaud, Julien Narboux and Pascal Schreck [12].

Some definitions on the real projective spaces were introduced early in the Mizar Mathematical Library by Wojciech Leonczuk [9], Krzysztof Prazmowski [10] and by Wojciech Skaba [18].

In this article, we check with the Mizar system [4], some properties on the determinants and the Grassmann-Plücker relation in rank 3 [2], [1], [7], [16], [17].

Then we show that the projective space induced (in the sense defined in [9]) by  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is a projective plane (in the sense defined in [10]).

Finally, in the real projective plane, we define the homography induced by a 3-by-3 invertible matrix and we show that the images of 3 collinear points are themselves collinear.

MSC: 51N15 03B35

Keywords: projectivity; projective transformation; projective collineation; real projective plane; Grassmann-Plücker relation

MML identifier: ANPROJ\_8, version: 8.1.05 5.39.1282

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

From now on  $a, b, c, d, e, f$  denote real numbers,  $k, m$  denote natural numbers,  $D$  denotes a non empty set,  $V$  denotes a non trivial real linear space,  $u, v, w$  denote elements of  $V$ , and  $p, q, r$  denote elements of the projective space over  $V$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (1)  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 3 \rangle, \langle 2, 1 \rangle, \langle 2, 2 \rangle, \langle 2, 3 \rangle, \langle 3, 1 \rangle, \langle 3, 2 \rangle, \langle 3, 3 \rangle \in \text{Seg } 3 \times \text{Seg } 3$ .

- (2)  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 2, 1 \rangle, \langle 3, 1 \rangle \in \text{Seg } 3 \times \text{Seg } 1$ .
- (3)  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 3 \rangle \in \text{Seg } 1 \times \text{Seg } 3$ .
- (4)  $\langle \langle a \rangle, \langle b \rangle, \langle c \rangle \rangle$  is a matrix over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension  $3 \times 1$ .
- (5) Let us consider a matrix  $N$  over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension  $3 \times 1$ . Suppose  $N = \langle \langle a \rangle, \langle b \rangle, \langle c \rangle \rangle$ . Then  $N_{\square, 1} = \langle a, b, c \rangle$ . The theorem is a consequence of (2).
- (6) Let us consider a non empty multiplicative magma  $K$ , and elements  $a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3$  of  $K$ . Then  $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle \bullet \langle b_1, b_2, b_3 \rangle = \langle a_1 \cdot b_1, a_2 \cdot b_2, a_3 \cdot b_3 \rangle$ .
- (7) Let us consider a commutative, associative, left unital, Abelian, add-associative, right zeroed, right complementable, non empty double loop structure  $K$ , and elements  $a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3$  of  $K$ . Then  $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle \cdot \langle b_1, b_2, b_3 \rangle = a_1 \cdot b_1 + a_2 \cdot b_2 + a_3 \cdot b_3$ . The theorem is a consequence of (6).
- (8) Let us consider a square matrix  $M$  over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension 3, and a matrix  $N$  over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension  $3 \times 1$ . Suppose  $N = \langle \langle 0 \rangle, \langle 0 \rangle, \langle 0 \rangle \rangle$ . Then  $M \cdot N = \langle \langle 0 \rangle, \langle 0 \rangle, \langle 0 \rangle \rangle$ . The theorem is a consequence of (7), (5), and (2).
- (9)  $u, v$  and  $w$  are linearly dependent if and only if  $u = v$  or  $u = w$  or  $v = w$  or  $\{u, v, w\}$  is linearly dependent.
- (10)  $p, q$  and  $r$  are collinear if and only if there exists  $u$  and there exists  $v$  and there exists  $w$  such that  $p =$  the direction of  $u$  and  $q =$  the direction of  $v$  and  $r =$  the direction of  $w$  and  $u$  is not zero and  $v$  is not zero and  $w$  is not zero and ( $u = v$  or  $u = w$  or  $v = w$  or  $\{u, v, w\}$  is linearly dependent). The theorem is a consequence of (9).
- (11)  $p, q$  and  $r$  are collinear if and only if there exists  $u$  and there exists  $v$  and there exists  $w$  such that  $p =$  the direction of  $u$  and  $q =$  the direction of  $v$  and  $r =$  the direction of  $w$  and  $u$  is not zero and  $v$  is not zero and  $w$  is not zero and there exists  $a$  and there exists  $b$  and there exists  $c$  such that  $a \cdot u + b \cdot v + c \cdot w = 0_V$  and ( $a \neq 0$  or  $b \neq 0$  or  $c \neq 0$ ).
- (12) Let us consider elements  $u, v, w$  of  $V$ . Suppose  $a \neq 0$  and  $a \cdot u + b \cdot v + c \cdot w = 0_V$ . Then  $u = (\frac{-b}{a}) \cdot v + (\frac{-c}{a}) \cdot w$ .
- (13) If  $a \neq 0$  and  $a \cdot b + c \cdot d + e \cdot f = 0$ , then  $b = -(\frac{c}{a}) \cdot d - (\frac{e}{a}) \cdot f$ .
- (14) Let us consider points  $u, v, w$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^3$ . Suppose there exists  $a$  and there exists  $b$  and there exists  $c$  such that  $a \cdot u + b \cdot v + c \cdot w = 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^3}$  and  $a \neq 0$ . Then  $\langle |u, v, w| \rangle = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (12).
- (15) Let us consider a natural number  $n$ . Then  $\text{dom } 1_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ matrix}(n) = \text{Seg } n$ .
- (16) Let us consider a matrix  $A$  over  $\mathbb{R}_F$ . Then  $(\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_F)(\mathbb{R}_F \rightarrow \mathbb{R})A = A$ .
- (17) Let us consider matrices  $A, B$  over  $\mathbb{R}_F$ , and matrices  $R_1, R_2$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $A = R_1$  and  $B = R_2$ , then  $A \cdot B = R_1 \cdot R_2$ . The theorem is a consequence of (16).

- (18) Let us consider a natural number  $n$ , a square matrix  $M$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  of dimension  $n$ , and a square matrix  $N$  over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension  $n$ . If  $M = N$ , then  $M$  is invertible iff  $N$  is invertible. The theorem is a consequence of (17).

From now on  $o, p, q, r, s, t$  denote points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^3$  and  $M$  denotes a square matrix over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension 3.

Let us consider real numbers  $p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2, q_3, r_1, r_2, r_3$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (19)  $\langle\langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle, \langle q_1, q_2, q_3 \rangle, \langle r_1, r_2, r_3 \rangle\rangle$  is a square matrix over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension 3.
- (20) Suppose  $M = \langle\langle p_1, q_1, r_1 \rangle, \langle p_2, q_2, r_2 \rangle, \langle p_3, q_3, r_3 \rangle\rangle$ . Then
- (i)  $M_{1,1} = p_1$ , and
  - (ii)  $M_{1,2} = q_1$ , and
  - (iii)  $M_{1,3} = r_1$ , and
  - (iv)  $M_{2,1} = p_2$ , and
  - (v)  $M_{2,2} = q_2$ , and
  - (vi)  $M_{2,3} = r_2$ , and
  - (vii)  $M_{3,1} = p_3$ , and
  - (viii)  $M_{3,2} = q_3$ , and
  - (ix)  $M_{3,3} = r_3$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (1).

- (21) Suppose  $M = \langle p, q, r \rangle$ . Then
- (i)  $M_{1,1} = (p)_1$ , and
  - (ii)  $M_{1,2} = (p)_2$ , and
  - (iii)  $M_{1,3} = (p)_3$ , and
  - (iv)  $M_{2,1} = (q)_1$ , and
  - (v)  $M_{2,2} = (q)_2$ , and
  - (vi)  $M_{2,3} = (q)_3$ , and
  - (vii)  $M_{3,1} = (r)_1$ , and
  - (viii)  $M_{3,2} = (r)_2$ , and
  - (ix)  $M_{3,3} = (r)_3$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (1).

- (22) Let us consider real numbers  $p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2, q_3, r_1, r_2, r_3$ . Suppose  $M = \langle\langle p_1, q_1, r_1 \rangle, \langle p_2, q_2, r_2 \rangle, \langle p_3, q_3, r_3 \rangle\rangle$ . Then  $M^T = \langle\langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle, \langle q_1, q_2, q_3 \rangle, \langle r_1, r_2, r_3 \rangle\rangle$ . The theorem is a consequence of (1) and (20).

- (23) Suppose  $M = \langle p, q, r \rangle$ . Then  $M^T = \langle \langle (p)_1, (q)_1, (r)_1 \rangle, \langle (p)_2, (q)_2, (r)_2 \rangle, \langle (p)_3, (q)_3, (r)_3 \rangle \rangle$ . The theorem is a consequence of (1) and (21).
- (24)  $\text{lines}(M) = \{\text{Line}(M, 1), \text{Line}(M, 2), \text{Line}(M, 3)\}$ .  
 PROOF:  $\text{lines}(M) \subseteq \{\text{Line}(M, 1), \text{Line}(M, 2), \text{Line}(M, 3)\}$  by [14, (103)], [19, (1)].  $\{\text{Line}(M, 1), \text{Line}(M, 2), \text{Line}(M, 3)\} \subseteq \text{lines}(M)$  by [3, (1)], [14, (103)].  $\square$
- (25) Suppose  $M = \langle \langle (p)_1, (p)_2, (p)_3 \rangle, \langle (q)_1, (q)_2, (q)_3 \rangle, \langle (r)_1, (r)_2, (r)_3 \rangle \rangle$ . Then
  - (i)  $\text{Line}(M, 1) = p$ , and
  - (ii)  $\text{Line}(M, 2) = q$ , and
  - (iii)  $\text{Line}(M, 3) = r$ .
- (26) Let us consider an object  $x$ . Then  $x \in \text{lines}(M^T)$  if and only if there exists a natural number  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg } 3$  and  $x = M_{\square, i}$ .

## 2. GRASSMANN-PLÜCKER RELATION

Now we state the propositions:

- (27)  $\langle |p, q, r| \rangle = (p)_1 \cdot (q)_2 \cdot (r)_3 - (p)_3 \cdot (q)_2 \cdot (r)_1 - (p)_1 \cdot (q)_3 \cdot (r)_2 + (p)_2 \cdot (q)_3 \cdot (r)_1 - (p)_2 \cdot (q)_1 \cdot (r)_3 + (p)_3 \cdot (q)_1 \cdot (r)_2$ .
- (28) GRASSMANN-PLÜCKER-RELATION IN RANK 3:  
 $\langle |p, q, r| \rangle \cdot \langle |p, s, t| \rangle - \langle |p, q, s| \rangle \cdot \langle |p, r, t| \rangle + \langle |p, q, t| \rangle \cdot \langle |p, r, s| \rangle = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (27).
- (29)  $\langle |p, q, r| \rangle = -\langle |p, r, q| \rangle$ . The theorem is a consequence of (27).
- (30)  $\langle |p, q, r| \rangle = -\langle |q, p, r| \rangle$ . The theorem is a consequence of (27).
- (31)  $\langle |a \cdot p, q, r| \rangle = a \cdot \langle |p, q, r| \rangle$ . The theorem is a consequence of (27).
- (32)  $\langle |p, a \cdot q, r| \rangle = a \cdot \langle |p, q, r| \rangle$ . The theorem is a consequence of (30) and (31).
- (33)  $\langle |p, q, a \cdot r| \rangle = a \cdot \langle |p, q, r| \rangle$ . The theorem is a consequence of (29) and (32).
- (34) Suppose  $M = \langle \langle (p)_1, (q)_1, (r)_1 \rangle, \langle (p)_2, (q)_2, (r)_2 \rangle, \langle (p)_3, (q)_3, (r)_3 \rangle \rangle$ . Then  $\langle |p, q, r| \rangle = \text{Det } M$ . The theorem is a consequence of (22).
- (35) Suppose  $M = \langle \langle (p)_1, (p)_2, (p)_3 \rangle, \langle (q)_1, (q)_2, (q)_3 \rangle, \langle (r)_1, (r)_2, (r)_3 \rangle \rangle$ . Then  $\langle |p, q, r| \rangle = \text{Det } M$ .

Let us consider a square matrix  $M$  over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension  $k$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (36)  $\text{Det } M = 0_{\mathbb{R}_F}$  if and only if  $\text{rk}(M) < k$ .

- (37)  $\text{rk}(M) < k$  if and only if  $\text{lines}(M)$  is linearly dependent or  $M$  is not without repeated line.
- (38) Let us consider a matrix  $M$  over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension  $k \times m$ . Then  $\text{Mx2Tran}(M)$  is a function from  $\text{RLSp2RVSp}(\mathcal{E}_T^k)$  into  $\text{RLSp2RVSp}(\mathcal{E}_T^m)$ .
- (39) Let us consider a square matrix  $M$  over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension  $k$ . Then  $\text{Mx2Tran}(M)$  is a linear transformation from  $\text{RLSp2RVSp}(\mathcal{E}_T^k)$  to  $\text{RLSp2RVSp}(\mathcal{E}_T^k)$ .  
 PROOF: Reconsider  $M_1 = \text{Mx2Tran}(M)$  as a function from  $\text{RLSp2RVSp}(\mathcal{E}_T^k)$  into  $\text{RLSp2RVSp}(\mathcal{E}_T^k)$ . For every elements  $x, y$  of  $\text{RLSp2RVSp}(\mathcal{E}_T^k)$ ,  $M_1(x + y) = M_1(x) + M_1(y)$  by [15, (22)]. For every scalar  $a$  of  $\mathbb{R}_F$  and for every vector  $x$  of  $\text{RLSp2RVSp}(\mathcal{E}_T^k)$ ,  $M_1(a \cdot x) = a \cdot M_1(x)$  by [15, (23)].  $\square$
- (40) Suppose  $M = \langle\langle(p)_1, (p)_2, (p)_3\rangle, \langle\langle(q)_1, (q)_2, (q)_3\rangle, \langle\langle(r)_1, (r)_2, (r)_3\rangle\rangle$  and  $\text{rk}(M) < 3$ . Then there exists  $a$  and there exists  $b$  and there exists  $c$  such that  $a \cdot p + b \cdot q + c \cdot r = 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^3}$  and ( $a \neq 0$  or  $b \neq 0$  or  $c \neq 0$ ). The theorem is a consequence of (37), (25), (24), (39), and (7).
- (41) If  $a \cdot p + b \cdot q + c \cdot r = 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^3}$  and ( $a \neq 0$  or  $b \neq 0$  or  $c \neq 0$ ), then  $\langle\langle p, q, r \rangle\rangle = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (14) and (30).
- (42) Suppose  $\langle\langle p, q, r \rangle\rangle = 0$ . Then there exists  $a$  and there exists  $b$  and there exists  $c$  such that  $a \cdot p + b \cdot q + c \cdot r = 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^3}$  and ( $a \neq 0$  or  $b \neq 0$  or  $c \neq 0$ ). The theorem is a consequence of (19), (35), (36), and (40).
- (43)  $p, q$  and  $r$  are linearly dependent if and only if  $\langle\langle p, q, r \rangle\rangle = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (41) and (42).

### 3. SOME PROPERTIES ABOUT THE CROSS PRODUCT

Now we state the propositions:

- (44)  $|\langle p, p \times q \rangle| = 0$ .
- (45)  $|\langle p, q \times p \rangle| = 0$ .
- (46) (i)  $\langle\langle o, p, (o \times p) \times (q \times r) \rangle\rangle = 0$ , and  
 (ii)  $\langle\langle q, r, (o \times p) \times (q \times r) \rangle\rangle = 0$ .  
 The theorem is a consequence of (44) and (45).
- (47) (i)  $o, p$  and  $(o \times p) \times (q \times r)$  are linearly dependent, and  
 (ii)  $q, r$  and  $(o \times p) \times (q \times r)$  are linearly dependent.  
 The theorem is a consequence of (46) and (43).
- (48) (i)  $0_{\mathcal{E}_T^3} \times p = 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^3}$ , and  
 (ii)  $p \times 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^3} = 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^3}$ .

- (49)  $\langle |p, q, 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^3}| \rangle = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (48).
- (50) If  $p \times q = 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^3}$  and  $r = [1, 1, 1]$ , then  $p, q$  and  $r$  are linearly dependent.  
 PROOF: Reconsider  $r = [1, 1, 1]$  as an element of  $\mathcal{E}_T^3$ .  $\langle |p, q, r| \rangle = 0$  by [8, (2)], (27).  $\square$
- (51) If  $p$  is not zero and  $q$  is not zero and  $p \times q = 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^3}$ , then  $p$  and  $q$  are proportional.
- (52) Let us consider non zero points  $p, q, r, s$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^3$ . Suppose  $(p \times q) \times (r \times s)$  is zero. Then
- (i)  $p$  and  $q$  are proportional, or
  - (ii)  $r$  and  $s$  are proportional, or
  - (iii)  $p \times q$  and  $r \times s$  are proportional.

The theorem is a consequence of (51).

- (53)  $\langle |p, q, p \times q| \rangle = |(q, q)| \cdot |(p, p)| - |(q, p)| \cdot |(p, q)|$ .
- (54)  $|(p \times q, p \times q)| = |(q, q)| \cdot |(p, p)| - |(q, p)| \cdot |(p, q)|$ .
- (55) If  $p$  is not zero and  $|(p, q)| = 0$  and  $|(p, r)| = 0$  and  $|(p, s)| = 0$ , then  $\langle |q, r, s| \rangle = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (13) and (27).
- (56)  $\langle |p, q, p \times q| \rangle = |p \times q|^2$ . The theorem is a consequence of (53) and (54).
- (57) The projective space over  $\mathcal{E}_T^3$  is a projective plane defined in terms of collinearity.

PROOF: Set  $P$  = the projective space over  $\mathcal{E}_T^3$ . There exist elements  $u, v, w_1$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^3$  such that for every real numbers  $a, b, c$  such that  $a \cdot u + b \cdot v + c \cdot w_1 = 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^3}$  holds  $a = 0$  and  $b = 0$  and  $c = 0$  by [6, (22)], [8, (4)], [11, (39)], [8, (2)]. For every elements  $p, p_1, q, q_1$  of  $P$ , there exists an element  $r$  of  $P$  such that  $p, p_1$  and  $r$  are collinear and  $q, q_1$  and  $r$  are collinear by [9, (26)], (52), [9, (22)], [18, (2)].  $\square$

#### 4. REAL PROJECTIVE PLANE AND HOMOGRAPHY

Let us consider elements  $u, v, w, x$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^3$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (58) Suppose  $u$  is not zero and  $x$  is not zero and the direction of  $u$  = the direction of  $x$ . Then  $\langle |u, v, w| \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $\langle |x, v, w| \rangle = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (31).
- (59) Suppose  $v$  is not zero and  $x$  is not zero and the direction of  $v$  = the direction of  $x$ . Then  $\langle |u, v, w| \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $\langle |u, x, w| \rangle = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (32).

(60) Suppose  $w$  is not zero and  $x$  is not zero and the direction of  $w =$  the direction of  $x$ . Then  $\langle |u, v, w| \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $\langle |u, v, x| \rangle = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (33).

(61) (i)  $(1_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ matrix}(3))(1) = e_1$ , and

(ii)  $(1_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ matrix}(3))(2) = e_2$ , and

(iii)  $(1_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ matrix}(3))(3) = e_3$ .

(62) (i) the base finite sequence of 3 and 1 =  $e_1$ , and

(ii) the base finite sequence of 3 and 2 =  $e_2$ , and

(iii) the base finite sequence of 3 and 3 =  $e_3$ .

(63) Let us consider a finite sequence  $p_2$  of elements of  $D$ . Suppose  $\text{len } p_2 = 3$ . Then

(i)  $\langle p_2 \rangle_{\square,1} = \langle p_2(1) \rangle$ , and

(ii)  $\langle p_2 \rangle_{\square,2} = \langle p_2(2) \rangle$ , and

(iii)  $\langle p_2 \rangle_{\square,3} = \langle p_2(3) \rangle$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (3).

(64) (i)  $\langle e_1 \rangle_{\square,1} = \langle 1 \rangle$ , and

(ii)  $\langle e_1 \rangle_{\square,2} = \langle 0 \rangle$ , and

(iii)  $\langle e_1 \rangle_{\square,3} = \langle 0 \rangle$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (63).

(65) (i)  $\langle e_2 \rangle_{\square,1} = \langle 0 \rangle$ , and

(ii)  $\langle e_2 \rangle_{\square,2} = \langle 1 \rangle$ , and

(iii)  $\langle e_2 \rangle_{\square,3} = \langle 0 \rangle$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (63).

(66) (i)  $\langle e_3 \rangle_{\square,1} = \langle 0 \rangle$ , and

(ii)  $\langle e_3 \rangle_{\square,2} = \langle 0 \rangle$ , and

(iii)  $\langle e_3 \rangle_{\square,3} = \langle 1 \rangle$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (63).

(67) (i)  $(I_{\mathbb{R}_F}^{3 \times 3})_{\square,1} = \langle 1, 0, 0 \rangle$ , and

(ii)  $(I_{\mathbb{R}_F}^{3 \times 3})_{\square,2} = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$ , and

(iii)  $(I_{\mathbb{R}_F}^{3 \times 3})_{\square,3} = \langle 0, 0, 1 \rangle$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (1) and (15).

(68) (i)  $\text{Line}(I_{\mathbb{R}_F}^{3 \times 3}, 1) = \langle 1, 0, 0 \rangle$ , and

(ii)  $\text{Line}(I_{\mathbb{R}_F}^{3 \times 3}, 2) = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$ , and

(iii)  $\text{Line}(I_{\mathbb{R}_F}^{3 \times 3}, 3) = \langle 0, 0, 1 \rangle$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (1).

(69) (i)  $\langle e_1 \rangle^T = \langle \langle 1 \rangle, \langle 0 \rangle, \langle 0 \rangle \rangle$ , and

(ii)  $\langle e_2 \rangle^T = \langle \langle 0 \rangle, \langle 1 \rangle, \langle 0 \rangle \rangle$ , and

(iii)  $\langle e_3 \rangle^T = \langle \langle 0 \rangle, \langle 0 \rangle, \langle 1 \rangle \rangle$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (64), (65), and (66).

From now on  $p_1$  denotes a finite sequence of elements of  $D$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(70) Let us consider a finite sequence  $p_1$  of elements of  $D$ . If  $k \in \text{dom } p_1$ , then  $\langle p_1 \rangle_{1,k} = p_1(k)$ .

(71) If  $k \in \text{dom } p_1$ , then  $\langle p_1 \rangle_{\square,k} = \langle p_1(k) \rangle$ . The theorem is a consequence of (70).

(72) Let us consider an element  $p_2$  of  $\mathcal{R}^3$ . Suppose  $p_1 = p_2$ . Then  $(\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_F) \text{ ColVec2Mx}(p_2) = \langle p_1 \rangle^T$ . The theorem is a consequence of (71).

In the sequel  $P$  denotes a square matrix over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension 3.

Now we state the propositions:

(73) Suppose  $P = \langle \langle (p)_1, (p)_2, (p)_3 \rangle, \langle (q)_1, (q)_2, (q)_3 \rangle, \langle (r)_1, (r)_2, (r)_3 \rangle \rangle$ . Then

(i)  $\text{Line}(P, 1) = p$ , and

(ii)  $\text{Line}(P, 2) = q$ , and

(iii)  $\text{Line}(P, 3) = r$ .

(74) Suppose  $P = \langle \langle (p)_1, (p)_2, (p)_3 \rangle, \langle (q)_1, (q)_2, (q)_3 \rangle, \langle (r)_1, (r)_2, (r)_3 \rangle \rangle$ . Then

(i)  $P_{\square,1} = \langle (p)_1, (q)_1, (r)_1 \rangle$ , and

(ii)  $P_{\square,2} = \langle (p)_2, (q)_2, (r)_2 \rangle$ , and

(iii)  $P_{\square,3} = \langle (p)_3, (q)_3, (r)_3 \rangle$ .

(75)  $\text{width}\langle p_1 \rangle = \text{len } p_1$ .

(76) Suppose  $\text{len } p_1 = 3$ . Then

(i)  $\text{Line}\langle p_1 \rangle^T, 1 = \langle p_1(1) \rangle$ , and

(ii)  $\text{Line}\langle p_1 \rangle^T, 2 = \langle p_1(2) \rangle$ , and

(iii)  $\text{Line}\langle p_1 \rangle^T, 3 = \langle p_1(3) \rangle$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (75) and (63).

(77) If  $\text{len } p_1 = 3$ , then  $\langle p_1 \rangle^T = \langle \langle p_1(1) \rangle, \langle p_1(2) \rangle, \langle p_1(3) \rangle \rangle$ . The theorem is a consequence of (76).

Let us consider  $D$ . Let  $p$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $D$ . Assume  $\text{len } p = 3$ . The functor  $\text{F2M}(p)$  yielding a finite sequence of elements of  $D^1$  is defined by the term



(Def. 1)  $\langle\langle p(1)\rangle\rangle, \langle\langle p(2)\rangle\rangle, \langle\langle p(3)\rangle\rangle$ .

Let us consider a finite sequence  $p$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Now we state the propositions:

(78) If  $\text{len } p = 3$ , then  $\text{len } F2M(p) = 3$ .

(79) If  $\text{len } p = 3$ , then  $p$  is a 3-element finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(80) If  $p = [0, 0, 0]$ , then  $F2M(p) = \langle\langle 0\rangle\rangle, \langle\langle 0\rangle\rangle, \langle\langle 0\rangle\rangle$ .

(81) Suppose  $\text{len } p_1 = 3$ . Then  $\langle\langle p_1\rangle_{\square,1}\rangle, \langle\langle p_1\rangle_{\square,2}\rangle, \langle\langle p_1\rangle_{\square,3}\rangle = F2M(p_1)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (63).

Let us consider  $D$ . Let  $p$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $D^1$ . Assume  $\text{len } p = 3$ . The functor  $M2F(p)$  yielding a finite sequence of elements of  $D$  is defined by the term

(Def. 2)  $\langle p(1)(1), p(2)(1), p(3)(1)\rangle$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(82) Let us consider a finite sequence  $p$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}^1$ . Suppose  $\text{len } p = 3$ . Then  $M2F(p)$  is a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^3$ .

Let  $p$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{R}^1$  and  $a$  be a real number. Assume  $\text{len } p = 3$ . The functor  $a \cdot p$  yielding a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{R}^1$  is defined by

(Def. 3) there exist real numbers  $p_1, p_2, p_3$  such that  $p_1 = p(1)(1)$  and  $p_2 = p(2)(1)$  and  $p_3 = p(3)(1)$  and  $it = \langle\langle a \cdot p_1\rangle\rangle, \langle\langle a \cdot p_2\rangle\rangle, \langle\langle a \cdot p_3\rangle\rangle$ .

Let us consider a finite sequence  $p$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}^1$ . Now we state the propositions:

(83) If  $\text{len } p = 3$ , then  $M2F(a \cdot p) = a \cdot M2F(p)$ .

(84) If  $\text{len } p = 3$ , then  $\langle\langle p(1)(1)\rangle\rangle, \langle\langle p(2)(1)\rangle\rangle, \langle\langle p(3)(1)\rangle\rangle = p$ .

(85) If  $\text{len } p = 3$ , then  $F2M(M2F(p)) = p$ . The theorem is a consequence of (84).

(86) Let us consider a finite sequence  $p$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $\text{len } p = 3$ , then  $M2F(F2M(p)) = p$ .

(87) (i)  $\langle e_1\rangle^T = F2M(e_1)$ , and

(ii)  $\langle e_2\rangle^T = F2M(e_2)$ , and

(iii)  $\langle e_3\rangle^T = F2M(e_3)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (69).

(88) Let us consider a finite sequence  $p$  of elements of  $D$ . If  $\text{len } p = 3$ , then  $\langle p\rangle^T = F2M(p)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (77).

(89)  $\text{Line}(\langle p_1\rangle, 1) = p_1$ .

(90) Let us consider a matrix  $M$  over  $D$  of dimension  $3 \times 1$ . Then

- (i)  $\text{Line}(M, 1) = \langle M_{1,1} \rangle$ , and
- (ii)  $\text{Line}(M, 2) = \langle M_{2,1} \rangle$ , and
- (iii)  $\text{Line}(M, 3) = \langle M_{3,1} \rangle$ .

From now on  $R$  denotes a ring.

Now we state the propositions:

- (91) Let us consider a square matrix  $N$  over  $R$  of dimension 3, and a finite sequence  $p$  of elements of  $R$ . If  $\text{len } p = 3$ , then  $N \cdot \langle p \rangle^T$  is 3,1-size.
- (92) Let us consider a finite sequence  $p_1$  of elements of  $R$ , and a square matrix  $N$  over  $R$  of dimension 3. Suppose  $\text{len } p_1 = 3$ . Then
  - (i)  $\text{Line}(N \cdot \langle p_1 \rangle^T, 1) = \langle (N \cdot \langle p_1 \rangle^T)_{1,1} \rangle$ , and
  - (ii)  $\text{Line}(N \cdot \langle p_1 \rangle^T, 2) = \langle (N \cdot \langle p_1 \rangle^T)_{2,1} \rangle$ , and
  - (iii)  $\text{Line}(N \cdot \langle p_1 \rangle^T, 3) = \langle (N \cdot \langle p_1 \rangle^T)_{3,1} \rangle$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (91) and (90).

- (93)  $(\langle p_1 \rangle^T)_{\square,1} = p_1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (89).
- (94) Let us consider finite sequences  $p_1, q_1, r_1$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}_F$ . Suppose  $p = p_1$  and  $q = q_1$  and  $r = r_1$  and  $\langle |p, q, r| \rangle \neq 0$ . Then there exists a square matrix  $M$  over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension 3 such that
  - (i)  $M$  is invertible, and
  - (ii)  $M \cdot p_1 = \text{F2M}(e_1)$ , and
  - (iii)  $M \cdot q_1 = \text{F2M}(e_2)$ , and
  - (iv)  $M \cdot r_1 = \text{F2M}(e_3)$ .

PROOF: Reconsider  $P = \langle \langle (p)_1, (p)_2, (p)_3 \rangle, \langle (q)_1, (q)_2, (q)_3 \rangle, \langle (r)_1, (r)_2, (r)_3 \rangle \rangle$  as a square matrix over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension 3.  $\langle |p, q, r| \rangle = \text{Det } P$ . Consider  $N$  being a square matrix over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension 3 such that  $N$  is inverse of  $P^T$ .  $N \cdot \langle p_1 \rangle^T$  is a matrix over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension  $3 \times 1$  and  $N \cdot \langle q_1 \rangle^T$  is a matrix over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension  $3 \times 1$  and  $N \cdot \langle r_1 \rangle^T$  is a matrix over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension  $3 \times 1$ .  $N \cdot \langle p_1 \rangle^T = \text{F2M}(e_1)$  by (78), [3, (91), (45), (1)].  $N \cdot \langle q_1 \rangle^T = \text{F2M}(e_2)$  by (78), [3, (91), (45), (1)].  $N \cdot \langle r_1 \rangle^T = \text{F2M}(e_3)$  by (78), [3, (91), (45), (1)].  $\square$

- (95) Let us consider finite sequences  $p_1, q_1, r_1$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}_F$ , and finite sequences  $p_2, q_2, r_2$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}^1$ . Suppose  $P = \langle \langle (p)_1, (q)_1, (r)_1 \rangle, \langle (p)_2, (q)_2, (r)_2 \rangle, \langle (p)_3, (q)_3, (r)_3 \rangle \rangle$  and  $p = p_1$  and  $q = q_1$  and  $r = r_1$  and  $p_2 = M \cdot p_1$  and  $q_2 = M \cdot q_1$  and  $r_2 = M \cdot r_1$ . Then  $(M \cdot P)^T = \langle \text{M2F}(p_2), \text{M2F}(q_2), \text{M2F}(r_2) \rangle$ .

PROOF:  $P^T = \langle \langle (p)_1, (p)_2, (p)_3 \rangle, \langle (q)_1, (q)_2, (q)_3 \rangle, \langle (r)_1, (r)_2, (r)_3 \rangle \rangle$ . width  $M = \text{len } \langle p_1 \rangle^T$  and width  $M = \text{len } \langle q_1 \rangle^T$  and width  $M = \text{len } \langle r_1 \rangle^T$  by (75), [11, (50)].  $\text{len } p_2 = 3$  and  $\text{len } q_2 = 3$  and  $\text{len } r_2 = 3$ .  $\square$

(96) Let us consider finite sequences  $p_2, q_2, r_2$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}^1$ . Suppose  $M = \langle \text{M2F}(p_2), \text{M2F}(q_2), \text{M2F}(r_2) \rangle$  and  $\text{Det } M = 0$  and  $\text{M2F}(p_2) = p$  and  $\text{M2F}(q_2) = q$  and  $\text{M2F}(r_2) = r$ . Then  $\langle |p, q, r| \rangle = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (35).

(97) Let us consider points  $p_3, q_3, r_3$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^3$ , finite sequences  $p_2, q_2, r_2$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}^1$ , and finite sequences  $p_1, q_1, r_1$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}_F$ . Suppose  $M$  is invertible and  $p = p_1$  and  $q = q_1$  and  $r = r_1$  and  $p_2 = M \cdot p_1$  and  $q_2 = M \cdot q_1$  and  $r_2 = M \cdot r_1$  and  $\text{M2F}(p_2) = p_3$  and  $\text{M2F}(q_2) = q_3$  and  $\text{M2F}(r_2) = r_3$ . Then  $\langle |p, q, r| \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $\langle |p_3, q_3, r_3| \rangle = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (19), (23), (95), and (35).

(98) If  $0 < m$ , then every matrix over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension  $m \times 1$  is a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{R}^1$ .

PROOF: Consider  $s$  being a finite sequence such that  $s \in \text{rng } M$  and  $\text{len } s = 1$ . Consider  $n$  being a natural number such that for every object  $x$  such that  $x \in \text{rng } M$  there exists a finite sequence  $s$  such that  $s = x$  and  $\text{len } s = n$ . Consider  $s_1$  being a finite sequence such that  $s_1 = s$  and  $\text{len } s_1 = n$ .  $\text{rng } M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^1$  by [5, (132)].  $\square$

(99) Let us consider a finite sequence  $u_1$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}_F$ . Suppose  $\text{len } u_1 = 3$ . Then  $\langle u_1 \rangle^T = I_{\mathbb{R}_F}^{3 \times 3} \cdot \langle u_1 \rangle^T$ . The theorem is a consequence of (77), (91), (2), (68), (7), and (93).

(100) Let us consider an element  $u$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^3$ , and a finite sequence  $u_1$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}_F$ . Suppose  $u = u_1$  and  $\langle u_1 \rangle^T = \langle \langle 0 \rangle, \langle 0 \rangle, \langle 0 \rangle \rangle$ . Then  $u = 0_{\mathcal{E}_T^3}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (77).

(101) Let us consider an invertible square matrix  $N$  over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension 3, elements  $u, \mu$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^3$ , a finite sequence  $u_1$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}_F$ , and a finite sequence  $u_2$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}^1$ . Suppose  $u$  is not zero and  $u = u_1$  and  $u_2 = N \cdot u_1$  and  $\mu = \text{M2F}(u_2)$ . Then  $\mu$  is not zero. The theorem is a consequence of (75), (85), (80), (8), (99), and (100).

Let  $N$  be an invertible square matrix over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension 3. The homography of  $N$  yielding a function from the projective space over  $\mathcal{E}_T^3$  into the projective space over  $\mathcal{E}_T^3$  is defined by

(Def. 4) for every point  $x$  of the projective space over  $\mathcal{E}_T^3$ , there exist elements  $u, v$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^3$  and there exists a finite sequence  $u_1$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}_F$  and there exists a finite sequence  $p$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}^1$  such that  $x =$  the direction of  $u$  and  $u$  is not zero and  $u = u_1$  and  $p = N \cdot u_1$  and  $v = \text{M2F}(p)$  and  $v$  is not zero and  $it(x) =$  the direction of  $v$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(102) Let us consider an invertible square matrix  $N$  over  $\mathbb{R}_F$  of dimension 3, and points  $p, q, r$  of the projective space over  $\mathcal{E}_T^3$ . Then  $p, q$  and  $r$  are

collinear if and only if (the homography of  $N(p)$ ), (the homography of  $N(q)$ ) and (the homography of  $N(r)$ ) are collinear.

PROOF: If  $p$ ,  $q$  and  $r$  are collinear, then (the homography of  $N(p)$ ), (the homography of  $N(q)$ ) and (the homography of  $N(r)$ ) are collinear by [10, (23)], (43), [9, (22), (1)]. If (the homography of  $N(p)$ ), (the homography of  $N(q)$ ) and (the homography of  $N(r)$ ) are collinear, then  $p$ ,  $q$  and  $r$  are collinear.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Susanne Apel. *The geometry of brackets and the area principle*. Phd thesis, Technische Universität München, Fakultät für Mathematik, 2014.
- [2] Susanne Apel and Jürgen Richter-Gebert. Cancellation patterns in automatic geometric theorem proving. In *Automated Deduction in Geometry*, pages 1–33. Springer, 2010.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pąk, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8\_17.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [6] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [7] Laurent Fuchs and Laurent Thery. A formalization of Grassmann-Cayley algebra in Coq and its application to theorem proving in projective geometry. In *Automated Deduction in Geometry*, pages 51–67. Springer, 2010.
- [8] Kanchun, Hiroshi Yamazaki, and Yatsuka Nakamura. Cross products and tripple vector products in 3-dimensional Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(4):381–383, 2003.
- [9] Wojciech Leończuk and Krzysztof Prażmowski. A construction of analytical projective space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):761–766, 1990.
- [10] Wojciech Leończuk and Krzysztof Prażmowski. Projective spaces – part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):767–776, 1990.
- [11] Xiquan Liang, Piqing Zhao, and Ou Bai. Vector functions and their differentiation formulas in 3-dimensional Euclidean spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 18(1):1–10, 2010. doi:10.2478/v10037-010-0001-2.
- [12] Nicolas Magaud, Julien Narboux, and Pascal Schreck. Formalizing projective plane geometry in Coq. In *Automated Deduction in Geometry*, pages 141–162. Springer, 2008.
- [13] Timothy James McKenzie Makarios. A mechanical verification of the independence of Tarski’s Euclidean Axiom. Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand, 2012. Master’s thesis.
- [14] Karol Pąk. Basic properties of the rank of matrices over a field. *Formalized Mathematics*, 15(4):199–211, 2007. doi:10.2478/v10037-007-0024-5.
- [15] Karol Pąk. Linear transformations of Euclidean topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 19(2):103–108, 2011. doi:10.2478/v10037-011-0016-3.
- [16] Jürgen Richter-Gebert. Mechanical theorem proving in projective geometry. *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence*, 13(1-2):139–172, 1995.
- [17] Jürgen Richter-Gebert. *Perspectives on projective geometry: a guided tour through real and complex geometry*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.

- [18] Wojciech Skaba. The collinearity structure. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):657–659, 1990.
- [19] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Non-contiguous substrings and one-to-one finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):569–573, 1990.

*Received October 18, 2016*

---