

# Quasi-uniform Space

Roland Coghetto Rue de la Brasserie 5 7100 La Louvière, Belgium

**Summary.** In this article, using mostly Pervin [9], Kunzi [6], [8], [7], Williams [11] and Bourbaki [3] works, we formalize in Mizar [2] the notions of quasiuniform space, semi-uniform space and locally uniform space.

We define the topology induced by a quasi-uniform space. Finally we formalize from the sets of the form  $((X \setminus \Omega) \times X) \cup (X \times \Omega)$ , the Csaszar-Pervin quasi-uniform space induced by a topological space.

 $\mathrm{MSC}{:}\ 54\mathrm{E15}\ 03\mathrm{B35}$ 

Keywords: quasi-uniform space; quasi-uniformity; Pervin space; Csaszar-Pervin quasi-uniformity

MML identifier: UNIFORM2, version: 8.1.05 5.37.1275

#### 1. Preliminaries

From now on X denotes a set, A denotes a subset of X, and R, S denote binary relations on X.

Now we state the propositions:

- (1)  $(X \setminus A) \times X \cup X \times A \subseteq X \times X.$
- (2)  $(X \setminus A) \times X \cup X \times A = A \times A \cup (X \setminus A) \times X.$ PROOF:  $(X \setminus A) \times X \cup X \times A \subseteq A \times A \cup (X \setminus A) \times X$  by (1), [4, (87)].  $\Box$
- (3)  $R \cdot S = \{\langle x, y \rangle, \text{ where } x, y \text{ are elements of } X : \text{ there exists an element } z \text{ of } X \text{ such that } \langle x, z \rangle \in R \text{ and } \langle z, y \rangle \in S \}.$ PROOF:  $R \cdot S \subseteq \{\langle x, y \rangle, \text{ where } x, y \text{ are elements of } X : \text{ there exists an element } z \text{ of } X \text{ such that } \langle x, z \rangle \in R \text{ and } \langle z, y \rangle \in S \} \text{ by } [4, (87)]. \{\langle x, y \rangle, \text{ where } x, y \text{ are elements of } X : \text{ there exists an element } z \text{ of } X \text{ such that } \langle x, z \rangle \in R \text{ and } \langle z, y \rangle \in S \} \text{ by } [4, (87)]. \{\langle x, y \rangle, \text{ where } x, y \text{ are elements of } X : \text{ there exists an element } z \text{ of } X \text{ such that } \langle x, z \rangle \in R \text{ and } \langle z, y \rangle \in S \} \subseteq R \cdot S. \Box$

Let X be a set and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a family of subsets of X. One can check that  $[\mathcal{B}]$  is non empty.

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a family of subsets of  $X \times X$ . Note that every element of  $\mathcal{B}$  is relation-like.

Let B be an element of  $\mathcal{B}$ . We introduce the notation  $B[\sim]$  as a synonym of  $B^{\sim}$ .

Let us observe that the functor  $B[\sim]$  yields a subset of  $X \times X$ . Let  $B_1, B_2$ be elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ . We introduce the notation  $B_1 \otimes B_2$  as a synonym of  $B_1 \cdot B_2$ .

One can verify that the functor  $B_1 \otimes B_2$  yields a subset of  $X \times X$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (4) Let us consider a set X, and a family G of subsets of X. If G is upper, then FinMeetCl(G) is upper.
- (5) If R is symmetric in X, then  $R^{\sim}$  is symmetric in X.

### 2. Uniform Space Structure

We consider uniform space structures which extend 1-sorted structures and are systems

where the carrier is a set, the entourages constitute a family of subsets of (the carrier)  $\times$  (the carrier).

Let U be a uniform space structure. We say that U is void if and only if

(Def. 1) the entourages of U is empty.

Let X be a set. The functor UniformSpace(X) yielding a strict uniform space structure is defined by the term

(Def. 2) 
$$\langle X, \emptyset_{2^{X \times X}} \rangle$$
.

The functors: the trivial uniform space and the non empty trivial uniform space yielding strict uniform space structures are defined by terms

(Def. 3)  $\langle \emptyset, 2_*^{\emptyset \times \emptyset} \rangle$ ,

(Def. 4) there exists a family  $S_1$  of subsets of  $\{\emptyset\} \times \{\emptyset\}$  such that  $S_1 = \{\{\emptyset\} \times \{\emptyset\}\}$  and the non empty trivial uniform space =  $\langle\{\emptyset\}, S_1\rangle$ ,

respectively. Let X be an empty set. One can verify that UniformSpace(X) is empty.

Let X be a non empty set. One can check that UniformSpace(X) is non empty.

Let X be a set. Note that UniformSpace(X) is void and the trivial uniform space is empty and non void and the non empty trivial uniform space is non empty and non void and there exists a uniform space structure which is empty,

strict, and void and there exists a uniform space structure which is empty, strict, and non void and there exists a uniform space structure which is non empty, strict, and void and there exists a uniform space structure which is non empty, strict, and non void.

Let X be a set and  $S_1$  be a family of subsets of  $X \times X$ . The functor  $S_1 [\sim]$  yielding a family of subsets of  $X \times X$  is defined by the term

(Def. 5) the set of all  $S[\sim]$  where S is an element of  $S_1$ .

Let U be a uniform space structure. The functor  $U[\sim]$  yielding a uniform space structure is defined by the term

(Def. 6)  $\langle$  the carrier of U, (the entourages of U)  $[\sim] \rangle$ .

Let U be a non empty uniform space structure. One can verify that  $U[\sim]$  is non empty.

#### 3. Axioms

Let U be a uniform space structure. We say that U is upper if and only if (Def. 7) the entourages of U is upper.

We say that U is  $\cap$ -closed if and only if

(Def. 8) the entourages of U is  $\cap$ -closed.

We say that U satisfies axiom U1 if and only if

(Def. 9) for every element S of the entourages of U,  $id_{\alpha} \subseteq S$ , where  $\alpha$  is the carrier of U.

We say that U satisfies axiom U2 if and only if

- (Def. 10) for every element S of the entourages of  $U, S[\sim] \in$  the entourages of U. We say that U satisfies axiom U3 if and only if
- (Def. 11) for every element S of the entourages of U, there exists an element W of the entourages of U such that  $W \otimes W \subseteq S$ .

Let us consider a non void uniform space structure U. Now we state the propositions:

- (6) U satisfies axiom U1 if and only if for every element S of the entourages of U, there exists a binary relation R on the carrier of U such that R = S and R is reflexive in the carrier of U.
- (7) U satisfies axiom U1 if and only if for every element S of the entourages of U, there exists a total, reflexive binary relation R on the carrier of U such that R = S. The theorem is a consequence of (6).

Note that every uniform space structure which is void does not satisfy also axiom U2.

Now we state the proposition:

(8) Let us consider a uniform space structure U. Suppose U satisfies axiom U2. Let us consider an element S of the entourages of U, and elements x, y of U. Suppose  $\langle x, y \rangle \in S$ . Then  $\langle y, x \rangle \in \bigcup$  (the entourages of U).

Let us consider a non void uniform space structure U. Now we state the propositions:

- (9) Suppose for every element S of the entourages of U, there exists a binary relation R on the carrier of U such that S = R and R is symmetric in the carrier of U. Then U satisfies axiom U2. The theorem is a consequence of (5).
- (10) Suppose for every element S of the entourages of U, there exists a binary relation R on the carrier of U such that S = R and R is symmetric. Then U satisfies axiom U2. The theorem is a consequence of (9).
- (11) If for every element S of the entourages of U, there exists a tolerance R of the carrier of U such that S = R, then U satisfies axiom U1 and axiom U2. The theorem is a consequence of (7) and (10).

Let X be an empty set. Observe that UniformSpace(X) is upper and  $\cap$ closed and satisfies axiom U1 and axiom U3 and does not satisfy axiom U2 and UniformSpace( $\{\emptyset\}$ ) is upper and  $\cap$ -closed and does not satisfy axiom U2 and the trivial uniform space is upper and  $\cap$ -closed and satisfies axiom U1, axiom U2, and axiom U3 and the non empty trivial uniform space is upper and  $\cap$ -closed and satisfies axiom U1, axiom U2, and axiom U3.

There exists a uniform space structure which is strict, empty, non void, upper, and  $\cap$ -closed and satisfies axiom U1, axiom U2, and axiom U3 and every strict uniform space structure which is empty satisfies also axiom U1 and there exists a uniform space structure which is strict, non empty, non void, upper, and  $\cap$ -closed and satisfies axiom U1, axiom U2, and axiom U3.

Let  $S_4$  be a non empty uniform space structure satisfying axiom U1, x be an element of  $S_4$ , and V be an element of the entourages of  $S_4$ . The functor Nbh(V, x) yielding a non empty subset of  $S_4$  is defined by the term

(Def. 12)  $\{y, \text{ where } y \text{ is an element of } S_4 : \langle x, y \rangle \in V \}.$ 

Now we state the proposition:

(12) Let us consider a non empty uniform space structure U satisfying axiom U1, an element x of the carrier of U, and an element V of the entourages of U. Then  $x \in Nbh(V, x)$ .

Let U be a  $\cap$ -closed uniform space structure and  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$  be elements of the entourages of U. One can check that the functor  $V_1 \cap V_2$  yields an element of the entourages of U. Now we state the proposition:

(13) Let us consider a non empty,  $\cap$ -closed uniform space structure U satisfying axiom U1, an element x of U, and elements V, W of the entourages of U. Then  $Nbh(V, x) \cap Nbh(W, x) = Nbh(V \cap W, x)$ .

Let U be a non empty uniform space structure satisfying axiom U1. Let us observe that the entourages of U has non empty elements and the entourages of U is non empty.

Let x be a point of U. The functor Neighborhood x yielding a family of subsets of U is defined by the term

## (Def. 13) the set of all Nbh(V, x) where V is an element of the entourages of U. Let us note that Neighborhood x is non empty. Now we state the proposition:

- (14) Let us consider a non empty uniform space structure  $S_4$  satisfying axiom U1, an element x of the carrier of  $S_4$ , and an element V of the entourages of  $S_4$ . Then
  - (i)  $Nbh(V, x) = V^{\circ}\{x\}$ , and
  - (ii)  $Nbh(V, x) = rng(V \upharpoonright \{x\})$ , and
  - (iii)  $Nbh(V, x) = V^{\circ}x$ , and
  - (iv)  $Nbh(V, x) = [x]_V$ , and
  - (v) Nbh(V, x) = neighbourhood(x, V).
  - PROOF: Nbh $(V, x) = V^{\circ}\{x\}$  by [4, (87)].  $\Box$

Let U be a non empty uniform space structure satisfying axiom U1. The functor Neighborhood U yielding a function from the carrier of U into  $2^{2^{(\text{the carrier of }U)}}$ is defined by

(Def. 14) for every element x of U, it(x) =Neighborhood x.

We say that U is topological if and only if

(Def. 15)  $\langle \text{the carrier of } U, \text{Neighborhood } U \rangle$  is a topology from neighbourhoods.

4. QUASI-UNIFORM SPACE

A quasi-uniform space is an upper,  $\cap$ -closed uniform space structure satisfying axiom U1 and axiom U3. In the sequel Q denotes a quasi-uniform space.

Now we state the propositions:

- (15) If the entourages of Q is empty, then the entourages of  $Q[\sim] = \{\emptyset\}$ .
- (16) Suppose the entourages of  $Q[\sim] = \{\emptyset\}$  and the entourages of  $Q[\sim]$  is upper. Then the carrier of Q is empty.

Let Q be a non void quasi-uniform space. One can check that  $Q[\sim]$  is upper and  $\cap$ -closed and satisfies axiom U1 and axiom U3.

Let X be a set and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a family of subsets of  $X \times X$ . We say that  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfies axiom UP1 if and only if

(Def. 16) for every element B of  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathrm{id}_X \subseteq B$ .

We say that  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfies axiom UP3 if and only if

(Def. 17) for every element  $B_1$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ , there exists an element  $B_2$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $B_2 \otimes B_2 \subseteq B_1$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (17) Let us consider a non empty set X, and an empty family  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of  $X \times X$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  does not satisfy axiom UP1.
- (18) Let us consider a set X, and a family  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of  $X \times X$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  is quasi-basis and satisfies axiom UP1 and axiom UP3. Then  $\langle X, [\mathcal{B}] \rangle$  is a quasi-uniform space.

#### 5. Semi-Uniform Space

A semi-uniform space is an upper,  $\cap$ -closed uniform space structure satisfying axiom U1 and axiom U2. From now on  $S_4$  denotes a semi-uniform space.

Let us observe that every semi-uniform space is non void.

Now we state the proposition:

(19) If  $S_4$  is empty, then  $\emptyset \in$  the entourages of  $S_4$ .

Let  $S_4$  be an empty semi-uniform space. One can verify that the entourages of  $S_4$  has the empty element.

#### 6. Locally Uniform Space

Let  $S_4$  be a non empty semi-uniform space. We say that  $S_4$  satisfies axiom UL if and only if

(Def. 18) for every element S of the entourages of  $S_4$  and for every element x of  $S_4$ , there exists an element W of the entourages of  $S_4$  such that  $\{y, where y \text{ is an element of } S_4 : \langle x, y \rangle \in W \otimes W\} \subseteq \text{Nbh}(S, x).$ 

One can verify that every non empty semi-uniform space which satisfies axiom U3 satisfies also axiom UL and there exists a non empty semi-uniform space which satisfies axiom UL.

A locally uniform space is a non empty semi-uniform space satisfying axiom UL. Now we state the propositions:

(20) Let us consider a non empty, upper uniform space structure U satisfying axiom U1, and an element x of the carrier of U. Then Neighborhood x is upper.

- (21) Let us consider a non empty uniform space structure U satisfying axiom U1, an element x of U, and an element V of the entourages of U. Then  $x \in Nbh(V, x)$ .
- (22) Let us consider a non empty,  $\cap$ -closed uniform space structure U satisfying axiom U1, and an element x of U. Then Neighborhood x is  $\cap$ -closed. The theorem is a consequence of (13).
- (23) Let us consider a non empty, upper,  $\cap$ -closed uniform space structure U satisfying axiom U1, and an element x of U. Then Neighborhood x is a filter of the carrier of U. The theorem is a consequence of (22) and (20). Let us observe that every locally uniform space is topological.

7. TOPOLOGICAL SPACE INDUCED BY A UNIFORM SPACE STRUCTURE

Let U be a topological, non empty uniform space structure satisfying axiom U1. The FMT induced by U yielding a non empty, strict topology from neighbourhoods is defined by the term

(Def. 19)  $\langle \text{the carrier of } U, \text{Neighborhood } U \rangle$ .

The topological space induced by U yielding a topological space is defined by the term

(Def. 20) FMT2TopSpace(the FMT induced by U).

# 8. The Quasi-Uniform Pervin Space Induced by a Topological Space

Let X be a set and A be a subset of X. The functor qBlock(A) yielding a subset of  $X \times X$  is defined by the term

(Def. 21)  $(X \setminus A) \times X \cup X \times A$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(24) (i)  $\operatorname{id}_X \subseteq \operatorname{qBlock}(A)$ , and

(ii)  $qBlock(A) \cdot qBlock(A) \subseteq qBlock(A)$ .

PROOF:  $\operatorname{id}_X \subseteq \operatorname{qBlock}(A)$  by [4, (96)].  $\Box$ 

Let T be a topological space. The functor qBlocks(T) yielding a family of subsets of (the carrier of T) × (the carrier of T) is defined by the term

(Def. 22) the set of all qBlock(O) where O is an element of the topology of T.

Let T be a non empty topological space. One can check that qBlocks(T) is non empty.

Let T be a topological space. The functor FMCqBlocks(T) yielding a family of subsets of (the carrier of T) × (the carrier of T) is defined by the term (Def. 23)  $\operatorname{FinMeetCl}(\operatorname{qBlocks}(T))$ .

Let X be a set. One can check that every non empty family of subsets of  $X \times X$  which is  $\cap$ -closed is also quasi-basis.

In the sequel T denotes a topological space.

Let us consider T. One can check that FMCqBlocks(T) is  $\cap$ -closed and FMCqBlocks(T) is quasi-basis and FMCqBlocks(T) satisfies axiom UP1 and FMCqBlocks(T) satisfies axiom UP3.

Let T be a topological space. The Pervin quasi-uniformity of T yielding a strict quasi-uniform space is defined by the term

(Def. 24) (the carrier of T, [FMCqBlocks(T)]).

Now we state the propositions:

- (25) Let us consider a non empty topological space T, and an element V of the entourages of the Pervin quasi-uniformity of T. Then there exists an element b of FinMeetCl(qBlocks(T)) such that  $b \subseteq V$ .
- (26) Let us consider a non empty topological space T, and a subset V of (the carrier of T) × (the carrier of T). Suppose there exists an element b of FinMeetCl(qBlocks(T)) such that  $b \subseteq V$ . Then V is an element of the entourages of the Pervin quasi-uniformity of T.
- (27)  $\text{qBlocks}(T) \subseteq \text{the entourages of the Pervin quasi-uniformity of } T.$

Let us consider a non void quasi-uniform space Q. Now we state the propositions:

- (28) (The carrier of Q) × (the carrier of Q)  $\in$  the entourages of Q.
- (29) Suppose the carrier of T = the carrier of Q and  $qBlocks(T) \subseteq$  the entourages of Q. Then the entourages of the Pervin quasi-uniformity of  $T \subseteq$  the entourages of Q.

PROOF: The entourages of the Pervin quasi-uniformity of  $T \subseteq$  the entourages of Q by (28), [1, (1)].  $\Box$ 

Let T be a non empty topological space. One can check that the Pervin quasi-uniformity of T is non empty and the Pervin quasi-uniformity of T is topological.

Now we state the propositions:

- (30) Let us consider a non empty topological space T, an element x of qBlocks (T), and an element y of the Pervin quasi-uniformity of T. Then  $\{z, \text{ where } z \text{ is an element of the Pervin quasi-uniformity of } T : <math>\langle y, z \rangle \in x \} \in$  the topology of T.
- (31) Let us consider a non empty topological space T, an element x of the carrier of the Pervin quasi-uniformity of T, and an element b of FinMeetCl (qBlocks(T)). Then  $\{y, \text{ where } y \text{ is an element of } T : \langle x, y \rangle \in b\} \in \text{the to-}$

pology of T. The theorem is a consequence of (30).

- (32) Let us consider a non empty, strict quasi-uniform space U, a non empty, strict formal topological space F, and an element x of F. Suppose  $F = \langle \text{the carrier of } U, \text{Neighborhood } U \rangle$ . Then there exists an element y of U such that
  - (i) x = y, and
  - (ii)  $U_F(x) =$ Neighborhood y.
- (33) Let us consider a non empty topological space T. Then the open set family of the FMT induced by the Pervin quasi-uniformity of T = the topology of T.

PROOF: The open set family of the FMT induced by the Pervin quasi-uniformity of  $T \subseteq$  the topology of T by (32), [5, (18)], (31), [12, (25)]. The topology of  $T \subseteq$  the open set family of the FMT induced by the Pervin quasi-uniformity of T by (32), [10, (4)], [5, (18)], [4, (87)].  $\Box$ 

(34) Let us consider a non empty, strict topological space T. Then the topological space induced by the Pervin quasi-uniformity of T = T. The theorem is a consequence of (33).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The author wants to express his gratitude to the anonymous referee for his/her work for the introduction of new notations and to make the presentation more readable.

#### References

- William W. Armstrong, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Piotr Rudnicki. Armstrong's axioms. Formalized Mathematics, 11(1):39–51, 2003.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pak, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8\_17.
- [3] Nicolas Bourbaki. General Topology: Chapters 1-4. Springer Science and Business Media, 2013.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [5] Roland Coghetto. Convergent filter bases. Formalized Mathematics, 23(3):189–203, 2015. doi:10.1515/forma-2015-0016.
- [6] Hans-Peter A. Künzi. Quasi-uniform spaces eleven years later. In *Topology Proceedings*, volume 18, pages 143–171, 1993.
- [7] Hans-Peter A. Künzi. An introduction to quasi-uniform spaces. Beyond Topology, 486: 239–304, 2009.
- [8] Hans-Peter A. Künzi and Carolina Ryser. The Bourbaki quasi-uniformity. In *Topology Proceedings*, volume 20, pages 161–183, 1995.
- [9] William J. Pervin. Quasi-uniformization of topological spaces. Mathematische Annalen, 147(4):316–317, 1962.

#### ROLAND COGHETTO

- [10] Alexander Yu. Shibakov and Andrzej Trybulec. The Cantor set. Formalized Mathematics, 5(2):233–236, 1996.
- [11] James Williams. Locally uniform spaces. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 168:435–469, 1972.
- [12] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):231–237, 1990.

Received June 30, 2016