

Flexary Operations¹

Karol Pąk Institute of Informatics University of Białystok Ciołkowskiego 1M, 15-245 Białystok Poland

Summary. In this article we introduce necessary notation and definitions to prove the Euler's Partition Theorem according to H.S. Wilf's lecture notes [31]. Our aim is to create an environment which allows to formalize the theorem in a way that is as similar as possible to the original informal proof.

Euler's Partition Theorem is listed as item #45 from the "Formalizing 100 Theorems" list maintained by Freek Wiedijk at http://www.cs.ru.nl/F.Wiedijk/100/ [30].

MSC: 11B99 03B35

Keywords: summation method; flexary plus; matrix generalization

MML identifier: FLEXARY1, version: 8.1.04 5.32.1237

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [1], [2], [6], [8], [15], [27], [13], [14], [23], [9], [10], [7], [25], [24], [3], [4], [19], [5], [22], [32], [33], [11], [21], [28], [18], and [12].

1. Auxiliary Facts about Finite Sequences Concatenation

From now on x, y denote objects, D, D_1 , D_2 denote non empty sets, i, j, k, m, n denote natural numbers, f, g denote finite sequences of elements of D^* , f_1 denotes a finite sequence of elements of D_1^* , and f_2 denotes a finite sequence of elements of D_2^* .

Now we state the propositions:

¹This work has been financed by the resources of the Polish National Science Centre granted by decision no. DEC-2012/07/N/ST6/02147.

- (1) Let us consider a function yielding function F, and an object a. Then $a \in \text{Values } F$ if and only if there exists x and there exists y such that $x \in \text{dom } F$ and $y \in \text{dom}(F(x))$ and a = F(x)(y).
- (2) Let us consider a set D, and finite sequences f, g of elements of D^* . Then Values $f \cap g =$ Values $f \cup$ Values g. PROOF: Set $F = f \cap g$. Values $f \subseteq$ Values F by (1), [6, (26)]. Values $g \subseteq$ Values F by (1), [6, (28)]. Values $F \subseteq$ Values $f \cup$ Values g by (1), [6, (25)]. \Box
- (3) The concatenation of $D \odot f \cap g =$ (the concatenation of $D \odot f) \cap$ (the concatenation of $D \odot g$).
- (4) rng(the concatenation of D ⊙ f) = Values f.
 PROOF: Set D₃ = the concatenation of D. Define P[natural number] ≡ for every finite sequence f of elements of D* such that len f = \$1 holds rng(D₃ ⊙ f) = Values f. P[0]. If P[i], then P[i + 1] by [8, (19), (16)], (3), [27, (11)]. P[i] from [4, Sch. 2]. □
- (5) If f₁ = f₂, then the concatenation of D₁ ⊙ f₁ = the concatenation of D₂ ⊙ f₂.
 PROOF: Set C = the concatenation of D₂. Set N = the concatenation of D₁. Define P[natural number] ≡ for every finite sequence f₄ of elements of D₁* for every finite sequence f₃ of elements of D₂* such that \$₁ = len f₄ and f₄ = f₃ holds N ⊙ f₄ = C ⊙ f₃. P[0]. If P[i], then P[i+1] by [8, (19), (16)], (3), [27, (11)]. P[i] from [4, Sch. 2]. □
- (6) $i \in \text{dom}(\text{the concatenation of } D \odot f)$ if and only if there exists n and there exists k such that $n + 1 \in \text{dom } f$ and $k \in \text{dom}(f(n + 1))$ and $i = k + \text{len}(\text{the concatenation of } D \odot f \restriction n).$

PROOF: Set D_3 = the concatenation of D. Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv \text{for}$ every i for every finite sequence f of elements of D^* such that len $f = \$_1$ holds $i \in \text{dom}(D_3 \odot f)$ iff there exists n and there exists k such that $n+1 \in \text{dom } f$ and $k \in \text{dom}(f(n+1))$ and $i = k + \text{len}(D_3 \odot f \upharpoonright n)$. $\mathcal{P}[0]$. If $\mathcal{P}[j]$, then $\mathcal{P}[j+1]$ by [8, (19), (16)], (3), [27, (11)]. $\mathcal{P}[j]$ from [4, Sch. 2]. \Box

- (7) Suppose $i \in \text{dom}(\text{the concatenation of } D \odot f)$. Then
 - (i) (the concatenation of $D \odot f$)(i) = (the concatenation of $D \odot f \cap g$)(i), and
 - (ii) (the concatenation of $D \odot f$)(i) = (the concatenation of $D \odot g^{\frown} f$) $(i + len(the concatenation of <math>D \odot g$)).

The theorem is a consequence of (3).

(8) Suppose $k \in \text{dom}(f(n+1))$. Then f(n+1)(k) = (the concatenation of f(n+1)(k))

 $D \odot f(k + \text{len}(\text{the concatenation of } D \odot f \restriction n))$. The theorem is a consequence of (3).

2. Flexary Plus

From now on f denotes a complex-valued function and g, h denote complex-valued finite sequences.

Let us consider k and n. Let f, g be complex-valued functions. The functor $(f,k) + \ldots + (g,n)$ yielding a complex number is defined by

(Def. 1) (i)
$$h(0+1) = f(0+k)$$
 and ... and $h(n-k+1) = f(n-k+k)$, then $it = \sum (h \upharpoonright (n-k+1))$, if $f = g$ and $k \le n$,

(ii) it = 0, otherwise.

Now we state the propositions:

- (9) Suppose $k \leq n$. Then there exists h such that
 - (i) $(f, k) + ... + (f, n) = \sum h$, and
 - (ii) len h = n k + 1, and
 - (iii) h(0+1) = f(0+k) and ... and h(n k + 1) = f(n k + k).

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}(\text{natural number}) = f(k + \$_1 - 1)$. Set $n_3 = n - k + 1$. Consider p being a finite sequence such that $\text{len } p = n_3$ and for every i such that $i \in \text{dom } p$ holds $p(i) = \mathcal{P}(i)$ from [6, Sch. 2]. rng $p \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. p(1+0) = f(k+0) and ... and p(1 + (n - k)) = f(k + (n - k)) by [4, (11)], [26, (25)]. \Box

- (10) If $(f, k) + \ldots + (f, n) \neq 0$, then there exists i such that $k \leq i \leq n$ and $i \in \text{dom } f$. PROOF: Consider h such that $(f, k) + \ldots + (f, n) = \sum h$ and len h = n - k + 1 and h(0+1) = f(0+k) and \ldots and h(n-k+1) = f(n-k+k). rng $h \subseteq \{0\}$ by [26, (25)], [4, (11)]. \Box
- (11) $(f,k) + \ldots + (f,k) = f(k)$. The theorem is a consequence of (9).
- (12) If $k \le n+1$, then $(f, k) + \ldots + (f, (n+1)) = ((f, k) + \ldots + (f, n)) + f(n+1)$. The theorem is a consequence of (11) and (9).
- (13) If $k \leq n$, then $(f, k) + \ldots + (f, n) = f(k) + ((f, (k+1)) + \ldots + (f, n))$. The theorem is a consequence of (11) and (9).
- (14) If $k \leq m \leq n$, then $((f,k) + \ldots + (f,m)) + ((f,(m+1)) + \ldots + (f,n)) = (f,k) + \ldots + (f,n)$. PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[$ natural number $] \equiv ((f,k) + \ldots + (f,m)) + ((f,(m+1)) + \ldots + (f,(m+\$_1))) = (f,k) + \ldots + (f,(m+\$_1))$. $\mathcal{P}[0]$ by [4, (13)]. If $\mathcal{P}[i]$, then $\mathcal{P}[i+1]$ by [4, (11)], (12). $\mathcal{P}[i]$ from [4, Sch. 2]. \Box

- (15) If k > len h, then $(h, k) + \ldots + (h, n) = 0$. The theorem is a consequence of (9).
- (16) If $n \ge \text{len } h$, then $(h, k) + \ldots + (h, n) = (h, k) + \ldots + (h, \text{len } h)$. The theorem is a consequence of (15) and (12).
- (17) $(h, 0) + \ldots + (h, k) = (h, 1) + \ldots + (h, k)$. The theorem is a consequence of (13).
- (18) $(h, 1) + \ldots + (h, \ln h) = \sum h$. The theorem is a consequence of (9).
- (19) $(g^{h}, k) + \ldots + (g^{h}, n) = ((g, k) + \ldots + (g, n)) + ((h, (k ' \operatorname{len} g)) + \ldots + (h, (n ' \operatorname{len} g)))$. The theorem is a consequence of (11), (15), (16), (17), and (14).

Let us consider n and k. Let f be a real-valued finite sequence. One can check that $(f, k) + \ldots + (f, n)$ is real.

Let f be a natural-valued finite sequence. Note that $(f,k) + \ldots + (f,n)$ is natural.

Let f be a complex-valued function. Assume dom $f \cap \mathbb{N}$ is finite. The functor $(f, n) + \ldots$ yielding a complex number is defined by

(Def. 2) for every k such that for every i such that $i \in \text{dom } f$ holds $i \leq k$ holds $it = (f, n) + \ldots + (f, k)$.

Let us consider h. One can check that the functor $(h, n) + \ldots$ yields a complex number and is defined by the term

(Def. 3) $(h, n) + \ldots + (h, \operatorname{len} h)$.

Let n be a natural number and h be a natural-valued finite sequence. Let us note that $(h, n) + \ldots$ is natural.

Now we state the propositions:

(20) Let us consider a finite, complex-valued function f. Then $f(n) + (f, (n + 1)) + \ldots = (f, n) + \ldots$ The theorem is a consequence of (13).

(21)
$$\sum h = (h, 1) + \dots$$

(22) $\sum h = h(1) + (h, 2) + \dots$ The theorem is a consequence of (18) and (20).

The scheme TT deals with complex-valued finite sequences f, g and natural numbers a, b and non zero natural numbers n, k and states that

- (Sch. 1) $(f, a) + \ldots = (g, b) + \ldots$ provided
 - for every j, $(f, (a + j \cdot n)) + \ldots + (f, (a + j \cdot n + (n 1))) = (g, (b + j \cdot k)) + \ldots + (g, (b + j \cdot k + (k 1))).$

3. Power Function

Let r be a real number and f be a real-valued function. The functor r^{f} yielding a real-valued function is defined by

(Def. 4) dom it = dom f and for every x such that $x \in \text{dom } f$ holds $it(x) = r^{f(x)}$.

Let n be a natural number and f be a natural-valued function. One can verify that n^f is natural-valued.

Let r be a real number and f be a real-valued finite sequence. One can check that r^{f} is finite sequence-like and r^{f} is (len f)-element.

Let f be a one-to-one, natural-valued function. Observe that $(2+n)^f$ is one-to-one.

- (23) Let us consider real numbers r, s. Then $r^{\langle s \rangle} = \langle r^s \rangle$.
- (24) Let us consider a real number r, and real-valued finite sequences f, g. Then $r^{f^{g}} = r^{f} \cap r^{g}$. PROOF: Set $f_{r} = f \cap g$. Set $r_{r} = r^{f}$. Set $r_{r} = r^{g}$. For every i such that

PROOF: Set $f_5 = f \cap g$. Set $r_2 = r^f$. Set $r_3 = r^g$. For every *i* such that $1 \leq i \leq \text{len } f_5 \text{ holds } r^{f_5}(i) = (r_2 \cap r_3)(i)$ by [26, (25)], [6, (25)]. \Box

- (25) Let us consider a real-valued function f, and a function g. Then $2^f \cdot g = 2^{f \cdot g}$. PROOF: Set $h = 2^f$. Set $f_5 = f \cdot g$. dom $(h \cdot g) \subseteq \text{dom} 2^{f_5}$ by [9, (11)]. dom $2^{f_5} \subseteq \text{dom}(h \cdot g)$ by [9, (11)]. For every x such that $x \in \text{dom} 2^{f_5}$ holds $(h \cdot g)(x) = 2^{f_5}(x)$ by [9, (11), (13)]. \Box
- (26) Let us consider an increasing, natural-valued finite sequence f. If n > 1, then $n^{f}(1) + (n^{f}, 2) + \ldots < 2 \cdot n^{f(\operatorname{len} f)}$. PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[$ natural number $] \equiv$ for every increasing, natural-valued finite sequence f such that n > 1 and $f(\operatorname{len} f) \leq \$_{1}$ and $f \neq \emptyset$ holds $\sum n^{f} < 2 \cdot n^{f(\operatorname{len} f)}$. For every natural-valued finite sequence f such that n > 1 and $\operatorname{len} f = 1$ holds $\sum n^{f} < 2 \cdot n^{f(\operatorname{len} f)}$ by [26, (25)], [19, (83)], [6, (40)], [11, (73)]. $\mathcal{P}[0]$ by [26, (25)], [4, (25)]. If $\mathcal{P}[i]$, then $\mathcal{P}[i+1]$ by [4, (8), (25), (13)], [26, (25)]. $\mathcal{P}[i]$ from [4, Sch. 2]. $\sum n^{f} = n^{f}(1) + (n^{f}, 2) + \ldots \square$
- (27) Let us consider increasing, natural-valued finite sequences f_1 , f_2 . Suppose n > 1 and $n^{f_1}(1) + (n^{f_1}, 2) + \ldots = n^{f_2}(1) + (n^{f_2}, 2) + \ldots$. Then $f_1 = f_2$. PROOF: For every natural-valued finite sequence f such that n > 1 and $\sum n^f \leq 0$ holds $f = \emptyset$ by [11, (85)], [19, (83)]. Define $\mathcal{P}[$ natural number] \equiv for every increasing, natural-valued finite sequences f_1 , f_2 such that n > 1 and $\sum n^{f_1} \leq \$_1$ and $\sum n^{f_1} = \sum n^{f_2}$ holds $f_1 = f_2$. $\mathcal{P}[0]$. If $\mathcal{P}[i]$, then $\mathcal{P}[i+1]$ by (21), (22), [4, (8)], [11, (72)]. $\mathcal{P}[i]$ from [4, Sch. 2]. $n^{f_1}(1) + (n^{f_1}, 2) + \ldots = \sum n^{f_1} \cdot n^{f_2}(1) + (n^{f_2}, 2) + \ldots = \sum n^{f_2} \cdot \Box$
- (28) Let us consider a natural-valued function f. If n > 1, then $\operatorname{Coim}(n^f, n^k) = \operatorname{Coim}(f, k)$. PROOF: $\operatorname{Coim}(n^f, n^k) \subseteq \operatorname{Coim}(f, k)$ by [17, (30)]. \Box

- (29) Let us consider natural-valued functions f_1 , f_2 . Suppose n > 1. Then f_1 and f_2 are fiberwise equipotent if and only if n^{f_1} and n^{f_2} are fiberwise equipotent. PROOF: If f_1 and f_2 are fiberwise equipotent, then n^{f_1} and n^{f_2} are fiberwise equipotent by [9, (72)], [17, (30)], (28). For every object x, $\overline{\text{Coim}(f_1, x)} = \overline{\text{Coim}(f_2, x)}$ by [9, (72)], [17, (30)], (28). \Box
- (30) Let us consider one-to-one, natural-valued finite sequences f_1 , f_2 . Suppose n > 1 and $n^{f_1}(1) + (n^{f_1}, 2) + \ldots = n^{f_2}(1) + (n^{f_2}, 2) + \ldots$ Then rng $f_1 = \operatorname{rng} f_2$. PROOF: Reconsider $F_1 = f_1$, $F_2 = f_2$ as a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{R} . Set $s_1 = \operatorname{sort}_a F_1$. Set $s_2 = \operatorname{sort}_a F_2$. n^{F_1} and n^{s_1} are fiberwise equipotent. n^{F_2} and n^{s_2} are fiberwise equipotent. For every extended reals e_1 , e_2 such that e_1 , $e_2 \in \operatorname{dom} s_1$ and $e_1 < e_2$ holds $s_1(e_1) < s_1(e_2)$ by [16, (2)], [2, (77)]. For every extended reals e_1 , e_2 such that e_1 , $e_2 \in \operatorname{dom} s_2$ and $e_1 < e_2$
 - holds $s_2(e_1) < s_2(e_2)$ by $[16, (2)], [2, (77)]. \sum n^{s_1} = n^{s_1}(1) + (n^{s_1}, 2) + \dots$ $\sum n^{f_1} = n^{f_1}(1) + (n^{f_1}, 2) + \dots \sum n^{s_1} = \sum n^{s_2}. n^{s_1}(1) + (n^{s_1}, 2) + \dots = n^{s_2}(1) + (n^{s_2}, 2) + \dots$ and s_1 is increasing and natural-valued. \Box
- (31) There exists an increasing, natural-valued finite sequence f such that $n = 2^{f}(1) + (2^{f}, 2) + \dots$

PROOF: Set D = digits(n, 2). Consider d being a finite 0-sequence of \mathbb{N} such that dom d = dom D and for every natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom } d$ holds $d(i) = D(i) \cdot 2^i$ and $\text{value}(D, 2) = \sum d$. Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv \text{if } \$_1 \leq \text{len } d$, then there exists an increasing, natural-valued finite sequence f such that $(\text{len } f = 0 \text{ or } f(\text{len } f) < \$_1)$ and $\sum 2^f = \sum (d | \$_1)$. $\mathcal{P}[(0 \text{ qua natural number})]$ by [11, (72)]. If $\mathcal{P}[i]$, then $\mathcal{P}[i+1]$ by [4, (13)], [29, (86)], [20, (65)], [4, (25), (23)]. $\mathcal{P}[i]$ from [4, Sch. 2]. Consider f being an increasing, natural-valued finite sequence such that len f = 0 or f(len f) < len d and $\sum 2^f = \sum (d | \text{len } d)$. $\sum 2^f = 2^f(1) + (2^f, 2) + \dots$. \Box

4. VALUE-BASED FUNCTION (RE)ORGANIZATION

Let o be a function yielding function and x, y be objects. The functor $o_{x,y}$ yielding a set is defined by the term

(Def. 5) o(x)(y).

Let F be a function yielding function. We say that F is double one-to-one if and only if

(Def. 6) for every objects x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 such that $x_1 \in \text{dom } F$ and $y_1 \in \text{dom}(F(x_1))$ and $x_2 \in \text{dom } F$ and $y_2 \in \text{dom}(F(x_2))$ and $F_{x_1,y_1} = F_{x_2,y_2}$ holds $x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 = y_2$. Let D be a set. Observe that every finite sequence of elements of D^* which is empty is also double one-to-one and there exists a function yielding function which is double one-to-one and there exists a finite sequence of elements of D^* which is double one-to-one.

Let F be a double one-to-one, function yielding function and x be an object. One can check that F(x) is one-to-one.

Let F be a one-to-one function. One can check that $\langle F \rangle$ is double one-to-one. Now we state the propositions:

- (32) Let us consider a function yielding function f. Then f is double one-toone if and only if for every x, f(x) is one-to-one and for every x and ysuch that $x \neq y$ holds $\operatorname{rng}(f(x))$ misses $\operatorname{rng}(f(y))$.
- (33) Let us consider a set D, and double one-to-one finite sequences f_1 , f_2 of elements of D^* . Suppose Values f_1 misses Values f_2 . Then $f_1 \cap f_2$ is double one-to-one. The theorem is a consequence of (1).

Let D be a finite set.

A double reorganization of D is a double one-to-one finite sequence of elements of D^* and is defined by

(Def. 7) Values it = D.

Now we state the propositions:

(34) (i) \emptyset is a double reorganization of \emptyset , and

(ii) $\langle \emptyset \rangle$ is a double reorganization of \emptyset .

- (35) Let us consider a finite set D, and a one-to-one, onto finite sequence F of elements of D. Then $\langle F \rangle$ is a double reorganization of D.
- (36) Let us consider finite sets D_1 , D_2 . Suppose D_1 misses D_2 . Let us consider a double reorganization o_1 of D_1 , and a double reorganization o_2 of D_2 . Then $o_1 \cap o_2$ is a double reorganization of $D_1 \cup D_2$. The theorem is a consequence of (33) and (2).
- (37) Let us consider a finite set D, a double reorganization o of D, and a oneto-one finite sequence F. Suppose $i \in \text{dom } o$ and $\operatorname{rng} F \cap D \subseteq \operatorname{rng}(o(i))$. Then o + (i, F) is a double reorganization of $\operatorname{rng} F \cup (D \setminus \operatorname{rng}(o(i)))$. PROOF: Set $r_1 = \operatorname{rng} F$. Set $o_3 = o(i)$. Set $r_4 = \operatorname{rng} o_3$. Set $o_4 = o + (i, F)$. $\operatorname{rng} o_4 \subseteq (r_1 \cup (D \setminus r_4))^*$ by [7, (31), (32)]. o_4 is double one-to-one by [7, (32)], (1). Values $o_4 \subseteq r_1 \cup (D \setminus r_4)$ by (1), [7, (31), (32)]. $D \setminus r_4 \subseteq$ Values o_4 by (1), [7, (32)]. $r_1 \subseteq$ Values o_4 . \Box

Let D be a finite set and n be a non zero natural number. One can check that there exists a double reorganization of D which is n-element.

Let D be a finite, natural-membered set, o be a double reorganization of D, and x be an object. One can verify that o(x) is natural-valued.

Now we state the propositions:

(38) Let us consider a non empty finite sequence F, and a finite function G. Suppose rng $G \subseteq$ rng F. Then there exists a (len F)-element double reorganization o of dom G such that for every n, $F(n) = G(o_{n,1})$ and ... and $F(n) = G(o_{n,\text{len}(o(n))})$.

PROOF: Set D = dom G. Set d = the one-to-one, onto finite sequence of elements of D. Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv \text{if } \$_1 \leqslant \overline{\overline{G}}$, then there exists a (len F)-element double reorganization o of $d^{\circ}(\text{Seg} \$_1)$ such that for every $k, F(k) = G(o_{k,1})$ and ... and $F(k) = G(o_{k,\text{len}(o(k))})$. $\mathcal{P}[0]$. If $\mathcal{P}[i]$, then $\mathcal{P}[i+1]$ by [4, (13)], [26, (29)], [4, (11)], [26, (25)]. $\mathcal{P}[i]$ from [4, Sch. 2]. \Box

(39) Let us consider a non empty finite sequence F, and a finite sequence G. Suppose rng $G \subseteq$ rng F. Then there exists a (len F)-element double reorganization o of dom G such that for every n, o(n) is increasing and $F(n) = G(o_{n,1})$ and ... and $F(n) = G(o_{n,\text{len}(o(n))})$. PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv \text{if } \$_1 \leq \text{len } G$, then there exists a

(len F)-element double reorganization o of Seg $\$_1$ such that for every k, o(k) is increasing and $F(k) = G(o_{k,1})$ and ... and $F(k) = G(o_{k,\text{len}(o(k))})$. $\mathcal{P}[0]$. If $\mathcal{P}[i]$, then $\mathcal{P}[i+1]$ by [4, (13)], [26, (29)], [4, (11)], [26, (25)]. $\mathcal{P}[i]$ from [4, Sch. 2]. \Box

Let f be a finite function, o be a double reorganization of dom f, and x be an object. One can check that $f \cdot o(x)$ is finite sequence-like and there exists a finite sequence which is complex-functions-valued and finite sequence-yielding.

Let f be a function yielding function and g be a function. We introduce $g \odot f$ as a synonym of [g, f].

One can check that $g \odot f$ is function yielding.

Let f be a $((\operatorname{dom} g)^*)$ -valued finite sequence. One can check that $g \odot f$ is finite sequence-yielding.

Let x be an object. Let us note that $(g \odot f)(x)$ is $(\operatorname{len}(f(x)))$ -element.

Let f be a function yielding finite sequence. One can verify that $g \odot f$ is finite sequence-like and $g \odot f$ is (len f)-element.

Let f be a function yielding function and g be a complex-valued function. One can check that $g \odot f$ is complex-functions-valued.

Let g be a natural-valued function. One can check that $g \odot f$ is natural-functions-valued.

Let us consider a function yielding function f and a function g. Now we state the propositions:

(40) Values $g \odot f = g^{\circ}$ (Values f).

PROOF: Set $g_3 = g \odot f$. Values $g_3 \subseteq g^{\circ}$ (Values f) by (1), [9, (11), (12)]. Consider b being an object such that $b \in \text{dom } g$ and $b \in \text{Values } f$ and g(b) = a. Consider x, y being objects such that $x \in \text{dom } f$ and $y \in$

(41) $(g \odot f)(x) = g \cdot f(x).$

Now we state the proposition:

dom(f(x)) and b = f(x)(y). \Box

(42) Let us consider a function yielding function f, a finite sequence g, and objects x, y. Then $(g \odot f)_{x,y} = g(f_{x,y})$. The theorem is a consequence of (41).

Let f be a complex-functions-valued, finite sequence-yielding function. The functor $\sum f$ yielding a complex-valued function is defined by

(Def. 8) dom it = dom f and for every set x, $it(x) = \sum (f(x))$.

Let f be a complex-functions-valued, finite sequence-yielding finite sequence. One can verify that $\sum f$ is finite sequence-like and $\sum f$ is (len f)-element.

Let f be a natural-functions-valued, finite sequence-yielding function. One can verify that $\sum f$ is natural-valued.

Let f, g be complex-functions-valued finite sequences. One can check that $f \cap g$ is complex-functions-valued.

Let f, g be extended real-valued finite sequences. One can verify that $f \cap g$ is extended real-valued.

Let f be a complex-functions-valued function and X be a set. One can check that $f \upharpoonright X$ is complex-functions-valued.

Let f be a finite sequence-yielding function. One can check that $f \upharpoonright X$ is finite sequence-yielding.

Let F be a complex-valued function. One can check that $\langle F\rangle$ is complex-functions-valued.

Let us consider finite sequences f, g. Now we state the propositions:

- (43) If $f \cap g$ is finite sequence-yielding, then f is finite sequence-yielding and g is finite sequence-yielding.
- (44) If $f \cap g$ is complex-functions-valued, then f is complex-functions-valued and g is complex-functions-valued.

Now we state the propositions:

- (45) Let us consider a complex-valued finite sequence f. Then $\sum \langle f \rangle = \langle \sum f \rangle$.
- (46) Let us consider complex-functions-valued, finite sequence-yielding finite sequences f, g. Then $\sum (f \cap g) = \sum f \cap \sum g$. PROOF: For every i such that $1 \leq i \leq \text{len } f + \text{len } g$ holds $(\sum (f \cap g))(i) = (\sum f \cap \sum g)(i)$ by [26, (25)], [6, (25)]. \Box
- (47) Let us consider a complex-valued finite sequence f, and a double reorganization o of dom f. Then $\sum f = \sum \sum (f \odot o)$.

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv \text{for every complex-valued finite sequence } f$ for every double reorganization o of dom f such that len $f = \$_1$ holds $\sum f = \sum \sum (f \odot o)$. $\mathcal{P}[0]$ by [26, (29)], [11, (72)], [23, (11)], [11, (81)]. If $\mathcal{P}[i]$, then $\mathcal{P}[i+1]$ by [4, (11)], [26, (25)], (1), [12, (116)]. $\mathcal{P}[i]$ from [4, Sch. 2]. \Box

Let us note that \mathbb{N}^* is natural-functions-membered and \mathbb{C}^* is complex-functions-membered.

Now we state the proposition:

(48) Let us consider a finite sequence f of elements of \mathbb{C}^* .

Then \sum (the concatenation of $\mathbb{C} \odot f$) = $\sum \sum f$.

PROOF: Set C = the concatenation of \mathbb{C} . Define $\mathcal{P}[$ natural number $] \equiv$ for every finite sequence f of elements of \mathbb{C}^* such that len $f = \$_1$ holds $\sum (C \odot f) = \sum \sum f. \mathcal{P}[0]$. If $\mathcal{P}[i]$, then $\mathcal{P}[i+1]$ by [8, (19), (16)], (46), (45). $\mathcal{P}[i]$ from [4, Sch. 2]. \Box

Let f be a finite function.

A valued reorganization of f is a double reorganization of dom f and is defined by

(Def. 9) for every n, there exists x such that $x = f(it_{n,1})$ and ... and $x = f(it_{n,\operatorname{len}(it(n))})$ and for every natural numbers n_1, n_2, i_1, i_2 such that $i_1 \in \operatorname{dom}(it(n_1))$ and $i_2 \in \operatorname{dom}(it(n_2))$ and $f(it_{n_1,i_1}) = f(it_{n_2,i_2})$ holds $n_1 = n_2$.

Now we state the propositions:

- (49) Let us consider a finite function f, and a valued reorganization o of f. Then
 - (i) $\operatorname{rng}((f \odot o)(n)) = \emptyset$, or

(ii) $\operatorname{rng}((f \odot o)(n)) = \{f(o_{n,1})\}\ \text{and}\ 1 \in \operatorname{dom}(o(n)).$

PROOF: Consider y such that $y \in \operatorname{rng}((f \odot o)(n))$. Consider x such that $x \in \operatorname{dom}((f \odot o)(n))$ and $(f \odot o)(n)(x) = y$. $n \in \operatorname{dom}(f \odot o)$. Consider w being an object such that $w = f(o_{n,1})$ and ... and $w = f(o_{n,\operatorname{len}(o(n))})$. rng $((f \odot o)(n)) \subseteq \{f(o_{n,1})\}$ by [9, (11), (12)], [26, (25)]. \Box

- (50) Let us consider a finite sequence f, and valued reorganizations o_1 , o_2 of f. Suppose $\operatorname{rng}((f \odot o_1)(i)) = \operatorname{rng}((f \odot o_2)(i))$. Then $\operatorname{rng}(o_1(i)) = \operatorname{rng}(o_2(i))$.
- (51) Let us consider a finite sequence f, a complex-valued finite sequence g, and double reorganizations o_1 , o_2 of dom g. Suppose o_1 is a valued reorganization of f and o_2 is a valued reorganization of f and $\operatorname{rng}((f \odot o_1)(i)) = \operatorname{rng}((f \odot o_2)(i))$. Then $(\sum (g \odot o_1))(i) = (\sum (g \odot o_2))(i)$. The theorem is a consequence of (41).

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Tarski's classes and ranks. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):563-567, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Monoids. Formalized Mathematics, 3(2):213–225, 1992.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41-46, 1990.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. Formalized Mathematics, 5(4):485–492, 1996.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1): 55–65, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. The sum and product of finite sequences of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):661–668, 1990.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [13] Marco B. Caminati. Preliminaries to classical first order model theory. Formalized Mathematics, 19(3):155–167, 2011. doi:10.2478/v10037-011-0025-2.
- [14] Marco B. Caminati. First order languages: Further syntax and semantics. Formalized Mathematics, 19(3):179–192, 2011. doi:10.2478/v10037-011-0027-0.
- [15] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [16] Noboru Endou, Katsumi Wasaki, and Yasunari Shidama. Scalar multiple of Riemann definite integral. Formalized Mathematics, 9(1):191–196, 2001.
- [17] Yoshinori Fujisawa, Yasushi Fuwa, and Hidetaka Shimizu. Public-key cryptography and Pepin's test for the primality of Fermat numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(2):317–321, 1998.
- [18] Artur Korniłowicz. Arithmetic operations on functions from sets into functional sets. Formalized Mathematics, 17(1):43–60, 2009. doi:10.2478/v10037-009-0005-y.
- [19] Rafał Kwiatek. Factorial and Newton coefficients. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):887–890, 1990.
- [20] Yatsuka Nakamura and Hisashi Ito. Basic properties and concept of selected subsequence of zero based finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 16(3):283–288, 2008. doi:10.2478/v10037-008-0034-y.
- [21] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [22] Konrad Raczkowski and Andrzej Nędzusiak. Real exponents and logarithms. Formalized Mathematics, 2(2):213–216, 1991.
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1 (2):329–334, 1990.
- [24] Andrzej Trybulec. On the sets inhabited by numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(4): 341–347, 2003.
- [25] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [26] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Non-contiguous substrings and one-to-one finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):569–573, 1990.
- [27] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Binary operations on finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1 (5):979–981, 1990.
- [28] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [29] Tetsuya Tsunetou, Grzegorz Bancerek, and Yatsuka Nakamura. Zero-based finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(4):825–829, 2001.

- [30] Freek Wiedijk. Formalizing 100 theorems.
- [31] Herbert S. Wilf. Lectures on integer partitions.
- [32] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1 (1):73–83, 1990.
- [33] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Received March 26, 2015

92