## Special Issue: 25 years of the Mizar Mathematical Library

FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS Vol. 22, No. 2, Pages 125–155, 2014 DOI: 10.2478/forma-2014-0015



# Term Context

Grzegorz Bancerek Association of Mizar Users Białystok, Poland

**Summary.** Two construction functors: simple term with a variable and compound term with an operation and argument terms and schemes of term induction are introduced. The degree of construction as a number of used operation symbols is defined. Next, the term context is investigated. An x-context is a term which includes a variable x once only. The compound term is x-context iff the argument terms include an x-context once only. The context induction is shown and used many times. As a key concept, the context substitution is introduced. Finally, the translations and endomorphisms are expressed by context substitution.

MSC: 08A35 03B35

Keywords: construction degree; context; translation; endomorphism

MML identifier: MSAFREE5, version: 8.1.03 5.23.1210

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [1], [2], [3], [4], [6], [43], [24], [22], [26], [53], [33], [45], [27], [28], [29], [8], [25], [9], [51], [39], [46], [47], [41], [48], [23], [10], [11], [49], [36], [37], [12], [13], [14], [15], [31], [50], [34], [55], [56], [16], [38], [54], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21], [35], and [32].

#### 1. Preliminaries

Let  $\Sigma$  be a non-empty non void many sorted signature,  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$ , and  $\sigma$  be a sort symbol of  $\Sigma$ .

An element of  $\mathfrak{A}$  from  $\sigma$  is an element of (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{A}$ )( $\sigma$ ). From now on a, b denote objects, I, J denote sets, f denotes a function, R denotes a binary relation, i, j, n denote natural numbers, m denotes an element of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Sigma$  denotes a non empty non void many sorted signature,  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$  denote sort symbols of  $\Sigma$ ,  $\sigma_1$  denotes an operation symbol of  $\sigma_2$ ,  $\sigma_3$  denotes a non-empty many sorted set

indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$ , x,  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  denote elements of  $X(\sigma)$ ,  $x_{11}$  denotes an element of  $X(\sigma_1)$ , T denotes a free in itself including  $\Sigma$ -terms over X algebra over  $\Sigma$  with all variables and inheriting operations, g denotes a translation in  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  from  $\sigma_1$  into  $\sigma_2$ , and h denotes an endomorphism of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma$  and X. Let T be an including  $\Sigma$ -terms over X algebra over  $\Sigma$  with all variables and  $\rho$  be an element of T. The functor  ${}^{@}\rho$  yielding an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  is defined by the term

(Def. 1)  $\rho$ .

Let us consider T. Observe that every element of T is finite and every set which is natural-membered is also  $\subseteq$ -linear.

In the sequel  $\rho$ ,  $\rho_1$ ,  $\rho_2$  denote elements of T and  $\tau$ ,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$  denote elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an algebra over  $\Sigma$ . Let us consider a. We say that  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$  if and only if

(Def. 2)  $a \in \bigcup$  (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{A}$ ).

Let us consider b. We say that b is a-different if and only if

(Def. 3)  $b \neq a$ .

Let I be a non trivial set. Note that there exists an element of I which is a-different.

Now we state the proposition:

- (1) Let us consider trees  $\tau$ ,  $\tau_1$  and finite sequences p, q of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Suppose
  - (i)  $p \in \tau$ , and
  - (ii)  $q \in \tau$  with-replacement $(p, \tau_1)$ .

Then

- (iii) if  $p \not \leq q$ , then  $q \in \tau$ , and
- (iv) for every finite sequence  $\rho$  of elements of  $\mathbb N$  such that  $q=p^{\smallfrown}\rho$  holds  $\rho\in\tau_1.$

PROOF: If  $p \npreceq q$ , then  $q \in \tau$  by [17, (1)].  $\square$ 

Let R be a finite binary relation. Let us consider a. Let us note that  $\mathrm{Coim}(R,a)$  is finite.

Let us consider finite sequences  $p, q, \rho$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (2) If  $p \cap q \leq \rho$ , then  $p \leq \rho$ .
- (3) If  $p \cap q \leq p \cap \rho$ , then  $q \leq \rho$ .

- (4) Let us consider finite sequences p, q. Suppose  $i \leq \text{len } p$ . Then  $(p \cap q) \upharpoonright \text{Seg } i = p \upharpoonright \text{Seg } i$ .
- (5) Let us consider finite sequences  $p, q, \rho$ . If  $q \leq p \cap \rho$ , then  $q \leq p$  or  $p \leq q$ . The theorem is a consequence of (4).

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ . We say that  $\Sigma$  is sufficiently rich if and only if

(Def. 4) There exists o such that  $\sigma \in \operatorname{rng} \operatorname{Arity}(o)$ .

We say that  $\Sigma$  is growable if and only if

(Def. 5) There exists  $\tau$  such that height dom  $\tau = n$ .

Let us consider n. We say that  $\Sigma$  is n-ary operation including if and only if

(Def. 6) There exists o such that len Arity(o) = n.

Let us note that there exists a non empty non void many sorted signature which is *n*-ary operation including and there exists a non empty non void many sorted signature which is sufficiently rich.

Let us consider R. We say that R is nontrivial if and only if

(Def. 7) If  $I \in \operatorname{rng} R$ , then I is not trivial.

We say that R is infinite-yielding if and only if

(Def. 8) If  $I \in \operatorname{rng} R$ , then I is infinite.

Let us observe that every binary relation which is nontrivial is also nonempty and every binary relation which is infinite-yielding is also nontrivial.

Let I be a set. Observe that there exists a many sorted set indexed by I which is infinite-yielding and there exists a finite sequence which is infinite-yielding.

Let I be a non empty set, f be a nontrivial many sorted set indexed by I, and a be an element of I. Let us note that f(a) is non trivial.

Let f be an infinite-yielding many sorted set indexed by I. Note that f(a) is infinite.

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X, and o. Let us note that every element of  $\operatorname{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X))$  is decorated tree yielding.

In the sequel Y denotes an infinite-yielding many sorted set indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$ , y,  $y_1$  denote elements of  $Y(\sigma)$ ,  $y_{11}$  denotes an element of  $Y(\sigma_1)$ , Q denotes a free in itself including  $\Sigma$ -terms over Y algebra over  $\Sigma$  with all variables and inheriting operations, q,  $q_1$  denote elements of  $\operatorname{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y))$ , u, u1, u2 denote elements of Q, v,  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  denote elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$ , Z denotes a nontrivial many sorted set indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$ , z,  $z_1$  denote elements of  $Z(\sigma)$ , z, z denote elements of  $Z(\sigma)$ , z, z algebra over z with all variables and inheriting operations, and z, z denote elements of z and z denote elements of z algebra over z with all variables and inheriting operations, and z denote elements of z denote e

Let p be a finite sequence. Note that  $p \cap \emptyset$  reduces to p and  $\emptyset \cap p$  reduces to p.

Let I be a finite sequence-membered set. The functor  $p \cap I$  yielding a set is defined by the term

(Def. 9)  $\{p \cap q, \text{ where } q \text{ is an element of } I : q \in I\}.$ 

Let us observe that  $p \cap I$  is finite sequence-membered.

Let f be a finite sequence and E be an empty set. One can verify that  $f \cap E$  reduces to E.

Let p be a decorated tree yielding finite sequence. Let us consider a. Let us note that p(a) is relation-like and every set which is tree-like is also finite sequence-membered.

Let p be a decorated tree yielding finite sequence. Let us consider a. One can check that dom(p(a)) is finite sequence-membered.

Let  $\tau$ ,  $\tau_1$  be trees. One can check that  $\tau_1$  with-replacement( $\varepsilon_{\mathbb{N}}, \tau$ ) reduces to  $\tau$ .

Let d,  $d_1$  be decorated trees. One can check that  $d_1$  with-replacement  $(\varepsilon_{\mathbb{N}}, d)$  reduces to d.

Now we state the proposition:

- (6) Let us consider finite sequences  $\xi$ , w of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ , tree yielding finite sequences p, q, and trees d,  $\tau$ . Suppose
  - (i) i < len p, and
  - (ii)  $\xi = \langle i \rangle \cap w$ , and
  - (iii) d = p(i + 1), and
  - (iv)  $q = p + (i + 1, d \text{ with-replacement}(w, \tau))$ , and
  - (v)  $\xi \in \widehat{p}$ .

Then  $\widehat{p}$  with-replacement  $(\xi, \tau) = \widehat{q}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (2).

Let F be a function yielding function and f be a function. Let us consider a. Note that F + (a, f) is function yielding.

Now we state the propositions:

- (7) Let us consider a function yielding function F and a function f. Then  $\operatorname{dom}_{\kappa}(F+\cdot(a,f))(\kappa)=\operatorname{dom}_{\kappa}F(\kappa)+\cdot(a,\operatorname{dom} f).$
- (8) Let us consider finite sequences  $\xi$ , w of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ , decorated tree yielding finite sequences p, q, and decorated trees d,  $\tau$ . Suppose
  - (i) i < len p, and
  - (ii)  $\xi = \langle i \rangle \cap w$ , and
  - (iii) d = p(i + 1), and
  - (iv)  $q = p + (i + 1, d \text{ with-replacement}(w, \tau))$ , and
  - (v)  $\xi \in \widetilde{\operatorname{dom}_{\kappa} p(\kappa)}$ .

Then (a-tree(p)) with-replacement  $(\xi, \tau) = a\text{-tree}(q)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (7), (6), (2), and (3).

(9) Let us consider a set a and a decorated tree yielding finite sequence w. Then  $dom(a\text{-tree}(w)) = \{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup \{\langle i \rangle \cap dom(w(i+1)) : i < \text{len } w\}$ . PROOF: Set  $\mathfrak{A} = \{\langle i \rangle \cap dom(w(i+1)) : i < \text{len } w\}$ .  $dom(a\text{-tree}(w)) \subseteq \{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup \mathfrak{A}$  by [20, (11)].  $\square$ 

Let p be a decorated tree yielding finite sequence. Let us consider a and I. Note that  $p(a)^{-1}(I)$  is finite sequence-membered.

Now we state the proposition:

(10) Let us consider a finite sequence-membered set I and a finite sequence p. Then  $\overline{\overline{p \cap I}} = \overline{\overline{I}}$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{F}(\text{element of } I) = p \cap \$_1$ . Consider f such that dom f = I and for every element q of I such that  $q \in I$  holds  $f(q) = \mathcal{F}(q)$  from [7, Sch. 2]. rng  $f = p \cap I$ . f is one-to-one by [22, (33)].

Let I be a finite finite sequence-membered set and p be a finite sequence. Note that  $p \cap I$  is finite.

Now we state the proposition:

- (11) Let us consider finite sequence-membered sets I, J and finite sequences p, q. Suppose
  - (i) len p = len q, and
  - (ii)  $p \neq q$ .

Then  $p \cap I$  misses  $q \cap J$ .

Let us consider i. Let us note that  $\overline{i}$  reduces to i. Let us consider j. We identify i + j with i + j.

The scheme CardUnion deals with a unary functor  $\mathcal{I}$  yielding a set and a finite sequence f of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  and states that

(Sch. 1) 
$$\overline{\bigcup \{\mathcal{I}(i) : i < \text{len } f\}} = \sum f$$
 provided

- for every i and j such that i < len f and j < len f and  $i \neq j$  holds  $\mathcal{I}(i)$  misses  $\mathcal{I}(j)$  and
- for every i such that i < len f holds  $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{I}(i)}} = f(i+1)$ .

Let f be a finite sequence. Note that  $\{f\}$  is finite sequence-membered. Now we state the propositions:

- (12) Let us consider finite sequences f, g. Then  $f \cap \{g\} = \{f \cap g\}$ .
- (13) Let us consider finite sequence-membered sets I, J and a finite sequence f. Then  $I \subseteq J$  if and only if  $f \cap I \subseteq f \cap J$ .

In the sequel c,  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  denote sets and d,  $d_1$  denote decorated trees. Now we state the proposition:

(14) Leaves(the elementary tree of 0) =  $\{\emptyset\}$ .

Let us note that sethood property holds for trees.

Now we state the propositions:

(15) Let us consider a non empty tree yielding finite sequence p. Then Leaves $(p) = \{\langle i \rangle \cap q, \text{ where } q \text{ is a finite sequence of elements of } \mathbb{N}, d \text{ is a tree} : q \in \text{Leaves}(d) \text{ and } i+1 \in \text{dom } p \text{ and } d = p(i+1)\}.$  PROOF: Set  $i_0$  = the element of dom p. Leaves $(p) \subseteq \{\langle i \rangle \cap q, \text{ where } q \text{ is a finite sequence of elements of } \mathbb{N}, d \text{ is a tree } : q \in \text{Leaves}(d) \text{ and } i+1 \in \text{dom } p \text{ and } d=p(i+1)\} \text{ by } [13, (11), (13)], [52, (25)], [17, (1)]. \square$ 

- (16) Leaves(the root tree of c) =  $\{c\}$ .
- (17) dom  $d \subseteq \text{dom } d_{c \leftarrow d_1}$ .

Let us consider c and d. Observe that (the root tree of c) $_{c \leftarrow d}$  reduces to d. Now we state the proposition:

(18) Suppose  $c_1 \neq c_2$ . Then (the root tree of  $c_1$ ) $_{c_2 \leftarrow d} =$  the root tree of  $c_1$ . PROOF: dom(the root tree of  $c_1$ ) $_{c_2 \leftarrow d} =$  dom(the root tree of  $c_1$ ) by [20, (3)], [17, (29)], [40, (15)].  $\square$ 

Let f be a non empty function yielding function. Note that  $\operatorname{dom}_{\kappa} f(\kappa)$  is non empty and  $\operatorname{rng}_{\kappa} f(\kappa)$  is non empty.

Now we state the proposition:

- (19) Let us consider non empty decorated tree yielding finite sequences p, q. Suppose
  - (i) dom q = dom p, and
  - (ii) for every i and  $d_1$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } p$  and  $d_1 = p(i)$  holds  $q(i) = d_{1c \leftarrow d}$ .

Then  $(b\text{-tree}(p))_{c\leftarrow d} = b\text{-tree}(q)$ . PROOF: Leaves  $(\operatorname{dom} p(\kappa)) = \{\langle i \rangle \cap q, \text{ where } q \text{ is a finite sequence of elements of } \mathbb{N}, d \text{ is a tree} : q \in \operatorname{Leaves}(d) \text{ and } i+1 \in \operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{dom}_{\kappa} p(\kappa)) \text{ and } d = (\operatorname{dom}_{\kappa} p(\kappa))(i+1)\}. \operatorname{dom}(b\text{-tree}(p))_{c\leftarrow d} = \operatorname{dom}(b\text{-tree}(q)) \text{ by } [17, (22)], [13, (11), (13)], [52, (25)]. \square$ 

Let us consider  $\Sigma$  and  $\sigma$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a non empty algebra over  $\Sigma$  and a be an element of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . We say that a is  $\sigma$ -sort if and only if

(Def. 10)  $a \in (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})(\sigma)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$ . One can verify that there exists an element of  $\mathfrak{A}$  which is  $\sigma$ -sort and every element of (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{A}$ )( $\sigma$ ) is  $\sigma$ -sort.

Let  $\mathfrak A$  be a non empty algebra over  $\Sigma$ . Assume  $\mathfrak A$  is disjoint valued. Let a be an element of  $\mathfrak A$ . The functor the sort of a yielding a sort symbol of  $\Sigma$  is defined by

(Def. 11)  $a \in (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})(it)$ .

- (20) Let us consider a disjoint valued non-empty algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\Sigma$  and a  $\sigma$ -sort element a of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then the sort of  $a = \sigma$ .
- (21) Let us consider a disjoint valued non empty algebra  $\mathfrak A$  over  $\Sigma$ . Then every element of  $\mathfrak A$  is (the sort of a)-sort.
- (22) The sort of  ${}^{@}\rho$  = the sort of  $\rho$ .

- (23) Let us consider an element  $\rho$  of (the sorts of T)( $\sigma$ ). Then the sort of  $\rho = \sigma$ .
- (24) Let us consider a term u of  $\Sigma$  over X. Suppose  $\tau = u$ . Then the sort of  $\tau =$ the sort of u.

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X, o, and T. One can verify that every element of  $\operatorname{Args}(o,T)$  is (U)(the sorts of T))-valued.

Now we state the proposition:

(25) Let us consider an element q of Args(o, T). Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } q$ . Then the sort of  $q_i = Arity(o)_i$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  be non-empty algebras over  $\Sigma$  and f be a many sorted function from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$ . Assume  $\mathfrak{A}$  is disjoint valued. Let a be an element of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . The functor f(a) yielding an element of  $\mathcal{B}$  is defined by the term

(Def. 12) f(the sort of a)(a).

Let us consider a disjoint valued non-empty algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\Sigma$ , a non-empty algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  over  $\Sigma$ , a many sorted function f from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$ , and an element a of (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{A}$ )( $\sigma$ ). Now we state the propositions:

- (26)  $f(a) = f(\sigma)(a).$
- (27) f(a) is an element of (the sorts of  $\mathcal{B}$ )( $\sigma$ ). The theorem is a consequence of (26).

Now we state the propositions:

- (28) Let us consider disjoint valued non-empty algebras  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  over  $\Sigma$ , a many sorted function f from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$ , and an element a of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then the sort of f(a) = the sort of a.
- (29) Let us consider disjoint valued non-empty algebras  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  over  $\Sigma$ , a non-empty algebra  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\Sigma$ , a many sorted function f from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$ , a many sorted function g from  $\mathcal{B}$  into  $\mathcal{C}$ , and an element g of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $g \circ f(g) = g(f(g))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (28).
- (30) Let us consider a disjoint valued non-empty algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\Sigma$ , a non-empty algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  over  $\Sigma$ , and many sorted functions  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$ . If for every element a of  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $f_1(a) = f_2(a)$ , then  $f_1 = f_2$ . The theorem is a consequence of (26).

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  be algebras over  $\Sigma$ . Assume there exists a many sorted function h from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$  such that h is a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$ .

A homomorphism from  $\mathfrak A$  to  $\mathcal B$  is a many sorted function from  $\mathfrak A$  into  $\mathcal B$  and is defined by

(Def. 13) it is a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(31) Let us consider a many sorted function h from  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  into T. Then h is a homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  to T if and only if h is a homomorphism of

 $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  into T.

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X, and T. Observe that the functor the canonical homomorphism of T yields a homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  to T. Let us consider  $\rho$ . One can check that (the canonical homomorphism of T)( ${}^{@}\rho$ ) reduces to  $\rho$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(32) Suppose  $\tau_2$  = (the canonical homomorphism of T)( $\tau_1$ ). Then (the canonical homomorphism of T)( $\tau_1$ ) = (the canonical homomorphism of T)( $\tau_2$ ). The theorem is a consequence of (22) and (28).

#### 2. Constructing Terms

In the sequel w denotes an element of  $\operatorname{Args}(o,T)$  and  $p, p_1$  denote elements of  $\operatorname{Args}(o,\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X))$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X,  $\sigma$ , and x. The functor x-term yielding an element of (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ )( $\sigma$ ) is defined by the term

(Def. 14) The root tree of  $\langle x, \sigma \rangle$ .

Let us consider o and p. The functor o-term p yielding an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  from the result sort of o is defined by the term

(Def. 15)  $\langle o, \text{ the carrier of } \Sigma \rangle$ -tree(p).

Now we state the propositions:

- (33) The sort of x-term =  $\sigma$ .
- (34) The sort of o-term p = the result sort of o. The theorem is a consequence of (24).
- (35) Let us consider an object i. Then  $i \in (\text{FreeGenerator}(T))(\sigma)$  if and only if there exists x such that i = x-term.

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X,  $\sigma$ , and x. Let us note that x-term is non compound. Let us consider o and p. One can check that o-term p is compound and (the result sort of o)-sort.

Now we state the propositions:

- (36) (i) there exists  $\sigma$  and there exists x such that  $\tau = x$ -term, or
  - (ii) there exists o and there exists p such that  $\tau = o$ -term p.
- (37) If  $\tau$  is not compound, then there exists  $\sigma$  and there exists x such that  $\tau = x$ -term.
- (38) If  $\tau$  is compound, then there exists o and there exists p such that  $\tau = o$ -term p.
- (39) x-term  $\neq o$ -term p.

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ . Let X be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$ . Note that there exists an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  which is compound.

Let us consider X. Let e be a compound element of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ . Let us note that the functor main-constr e yields an operation symbol of  $\Sigma$ . One can check that the functor args e yields an element of  $\operatorname{Args}(\operatorname{main-constr} e, \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X))$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (40)  $\operatorname{args}(x\operatorname{-term}) = \emptyset.$
- (41) Let us consider a compound element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ . Then  $\tau = \text{main-constr } \tau \text{-term args } \tau$ . The theorem is a consequence of (38).
- (42) x-term  $\in T$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X, T,  $\sigma$ , and x. Note that (the canonical homomorphism of T)(x-term) reduces to x-term.

The scheme TermInd deals with a unary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$  and a non-empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$  and a non-empty many sorted set  $\mathcal{X}$  indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$  and an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{X})$  and states that

(Sch. 2)  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  provided

- for every sort symbol  $\sigma$  of  $\Sigma$  and for every element x of  $\mathcal{X}(\sigma)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[x\text{-term}]$  and
- for every operation symbol o of  $\Sigma$  and for every element p of  $\operatorname{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{X}))$  such that for every element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{X})$  such that  $\tau \in \operatorname{rng} p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[o\text{-term }p]$ .

The scheme TermAlgebraInd deals with a unary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$  and a non empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$  and a non-empty many sorted set  $\mathcal{X}$  indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$  and a free in itself including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $\mathcal{X}$  algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\Sigma$  with all variables and inheriting operations and an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and states that

(Sch. 3)  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  provided

- for every sort symbol  $\sigma$  of  $\Sigma$  and for every element x of  $\mathcal{X}(\sigma)$  and for every element  $\rho$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\rho = x$ -term holds  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$  and
- for every operation symbol o of  $\Sigma$  and for every element p of  $\operatorname{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{X}))$  and for every element  $\rho$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\rho = o$ -term p and for every element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\tau \in \operatorname{rng} p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$ .

#### 3. Construction Degree

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X, T, and  $\rho$ . The functors: the construction degree of  $\rho$  and height  $\rho$  yielding natural numbers are defined by terms,

- (Def. 16)  $\overline{\rho^{-1}(\alpha \times \{\beta\})}$ , where  $\alpha$  is the carrier of  $\Sigma$  and  $\beta$  is the carrier of  $\Sigma$ ,
- (Def. 17) height dom  $\rho$ ,

respectively. We introduce deg  $\rho$  as a synonym of the construction degree of  $\rho$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (43)  $\deg^{@}\rho = \deg \rho$ .
- (44) height  ${}^{@}\rho = \text{height }\rho.$
- (45)  $\operatorname{height}(x\operatorname{-term}) = 0.$

One can verify that every set which is natural-membered is also ordinal-membered and finite-membered.

Let I be a finite natural-membered set. One can verify that  $\bigcup I$  is natural.

Let I be a non empty finite natural-membered set. We identify  $\bigcup I$  with max I. Now we state the propositions:

- (46) (i)  $\{\text{height } \tau_1 : \tau_1 \in \text{rng } p\}$  is natural-membered and finite, and
  - (ii)  $\bigcup \{ \text{height } \tau : \tau \in \text{rng } p \} \text{ is a natural number. }$

PROOF: Set  $I = \{ \text{height } \tau : \tau \in \text{rng } p \}$ . I is natural-membered. Define  $\mathcal{F}(\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)) = \text{height } \$_1$ .  $\{ \mathcal{F}(\tau_1) : \tau_1 \in \text{rng } p \}$  is finite from [44, Sch. 21].  $\square$ 

- (47) Suppose Arity(o)  $\neq \emptyset$  and  $n = \bigcup \{ \text{height } \tau_1 : \tau_1 \in \operatorname{rng} p \}$ . Then  $\operatorname{height}(o \operatorname{-term} p) = n + 1$ . PROOF: Set  $I = \{ \text{height } \tau_1 : \tau_1 \in \operatorname{rng} p \}$ . I is natural-membered. Define  $\mathcal{F}(\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)) = \text{height } \mathfrak{F}_1$ .  $\{ \mathcal{F}(\tau_1) : \tau_1 \in \operatorname{rng} p \}$  is finite from [44, Sch. 21].  $\square$
- (48) If  $Arity(o) = \emptyset$ , then height(o-term p) = 0.
- (49)  $\deg(x \text{-term}) = 0.$
- (50)  $\deg \tau \neq 0$  if and only if there exists o and there exists p such that  $\tau = o$ -term p. PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)] \equiv \deg \$_1 \neq 0$  iff there exists o and there exists p such that  $\$_1 = o$ -term p.  $\mathcal{P}[x$ -term].  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  from TermInd.  $\square$

Let  $\tau$  be a decorated tree. Let us consider I. Observe that  $\tau^{-1}(I)$  is finite sequence-membered.

Let us consider a. Let J, K be sets. Let us observe that the functor IFIN(a, I, J, K) yields a set. Now we state the propositions:

(51) Suppose  $J = \langle o, \text{ the carrier of } \Sigma \rangle$ . Then  $(o \text{-term } p)^{-1}(I) = \text{IFIN}(J, I, \{\emptyset\}, \emptyset) \cup \bigcup \{\langle i \rangle \cap p(i+1)^{-1}(I) : i < \text{len } p\}$ . PROOF: Set  $X = \{\langle i \rangle \cap p(i+1)^{-1}(I) : i < \text{len } p\}$ .  $(o \text{-term } p)^{-1}(I) \subseteq \text{IFIN}(J, I, \{\emptyset\}, \emptyset) \cup \bigcup X \text{ by } [20, (10)], [13, (11), (13)], [52, (25)]. \square$ 

(52) Suppose there exists a finite sequence f of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $i = \sum f$  and dom f = dom Arity(o) and for every i and  $\tau$  such that  $i \in \text{dom Arity}(o)$  and  $\tau = p(i)$  holds  $f(i) = \text{deg } \tau$ . Then deg(o-term p) = i+1. PROOF: Set  $\tau = o\text{-term } p$ . Set  $I = \{\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma\} \times \{\text{the carrier of } \Sigma\}$ . Set  $\mathfrak{A} = \{\langle i \rangle \cap p(i+1)^{-1}(I) : i < \text{len } p\}$ .  $\emptyset \notin \bigcup \mathfrak{A}$ .  $\tau^{-1}(I) = \{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup \mathfrak{A}$ . Define  $\mathcal{J}(\text{natural number}) = \langle \$_1 \rangle \cap p(\$_1 + 1)^{-1}(I)$ . For every i and j such that i < len f and j < len f and  $i \neq j$  holds  $\overline{\mathcal{J}(i)}$  misses  $\mathcal{J}(j)$  by [22, (40)], (11). For every i such that i < len f holds  $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{J}(i)}} = f(i+1)$  by [13, (12), (13)], [52, (25)], [12, (2)].  $\overline{\bigcup \{\mathcal{J}(i) : i < \text{len } f\}} = \sum f$  from CardUnion.  $\Box$ 

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X, T, and i. The functor  $T \deg_{\leq} i$  yielding a subset of T is defined by the term

(Def. 18)  $\{\rho : \deg \rho \leqslant i\}.$ 

The functor T height $\leq i$  yielding a subset of T is defined by the term

(Def. 19)  $\{\tau : \tau \in T \text{ and height } \tau \leq i\}.$ 

Now we state the propositions:

- (53)  $\rho \in T \deg_{\leq} i$  if and only if  $\deg \rho \leq i$ .
- (54)  $T \deg_{\leqslant} 0 = \text{the set of all } x\text{-term. PROOF: } T \deg_{\leqslant} 0 \subseteq \text{the set of all } x\text{-term}$  by [10, (39)], (36), (50). Consider  $\sigma$ , x such that a = x-term.  $\deg(x\text{-term}) = 0 \leqslant 0$  and  $x\text{-term} \in T$ . Reconsider  $\rho = x\text{-term}$  as an element of T.  $\deg \rho = \deg^{@} \rho = 0$ .  $\square$
- (55)  $T \operatorname{height}_{\leq} 0 = \text{the set of all } x \operatorname{-term} p : o \operatorname{-term} p \in T \text{ and } \operatorname{Arity}(o) = \emptyset$ . The theorem is a consequence of (36), (46), (47), (42), and (48).
- (56)  $T \deg_{\leq} 0 = \bigcup \operatorname{FreeGenerator}(T)$ . PROOF:  $T \deg_{\leq} 0 = \operatorname{the set}$  of all x-term.  $T \deg_{\leq} 0 \subseteq \bigcup \operatorname{FreeGenerator}(T)$  by [5, (2)]. Consider b such that  $b \in \operatorname{dom} \operatorname{FreeGenerator}(T)$  and  $a \in (\operatorname{FreeGenerator}(T))(b)$ . Consider y being a set such that  $y \in X(b)$  and  $a = \operatorname{the root tree}$  of  $\langle y, b \rangle$ .  $\square$
- (57)  $\rho \in T \text{ height} \leq i \text{ if and only if height } \rho \leq i.$

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X, T, and i. One can check that  $T \deg_{\leq} i$  is non empty and  $T \operatorname{height}_{\leq} i$  is non empty.

Let us assume that  $i \leq j$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (58)  $T \deg_{\leq} i \subseteq T \deg_{\leq} j$ .
- (59)  $T \operatorname{height}_{\leq} i \subseteq T \operatorname{height}_{\leq} j$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(60)  $T \deg_{\leq}(i+1) = (T \deg_{\leq} 0) \cup \{o\text{-term } p : \text{ there exists a finite sequence } f$  of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $i \geq \sum f$  and  $\dim f = \dim \operatorname{Arity}(o)$  and for every i and  $\tau$  such that  $i \in \dim \operatorname{Arity}(o)$  and  $\tau = p(i)$  holds  $f(i) = \deg \tau \cap U$  (the sorts of T). PROOF: Set  $I = \{o\text{-term } p : \text{ there exists a finite sequence } f$  of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $i \geq \sum f$  and  $\dim f = g$ 

- dom Arity(o) and for every i and  $\tau$  such that  $i \in \text{dom Arity}(o)$  and  $\tau = p(i)$  holds  $f(i) = \text{deg } \tau$ }.  $T \text{deg}_{\leq}(i+1) \subseteq (T \text{deg}_{\leq} 0) \cup I \cap \bigcup (\text{the sorts of } T)$  by [10, (39)], (36), (54), [36, (6)].  $T \text{deg}_{\leq} 0 \subseteq T \text{deg}_{\leq}(i+1)$ .  $I \cap \bigcup (\text{the sorts of } T) \subseteq T \text{deg}_{\leq}(i+1)$ .  $\square$
- (61)  $T \operatorname{height}_{\leqslant}(i+1) = (T \operatorname{height}_{\leqslant} 0) \cup \{o \operatorname{-term} p : \bigcup \{\operatorname{height} \tau : \tau \in \operatorname{rng} p\} \subseteq i\} \cap \bigcup \{\operatorname{the sorts of} T\}.$  PROOF: Set  $I = \{o \operatorname{-term} p : \bigcup \{\operatorname{height} \tau : \tau \in \operatorname{rng} p\} \subseteq i\}.$   $T \operatorname{height}_{\leqslant}(i+1) \subseteq (T \operatorname{height}_{\leqslant} 0) \cup I \cap \bigcup (\operatorname{the sorts of} T)$  by (36), (55), (46), (47).  $T \operatorname{height}_{\leqslant} 0 \subseteq T \operatorname{height}_{\leqslant}(i+1).$   $I \cap \bigcup (\operatorname{the sorts of} T) \subseteq T \operatorname{height}_{\leqslant}(i+1)$  by (46), (47), [13, (39)], (48).  $\square$
- (62)  $\operatorname{deg} \tau \geqslant \operatorname{height} \tau$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\operatorname{element} \text{ of } \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)] \equiv \operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{F}_{1} \geqslant \operatorname{height} \mathfrak{F}_{1}$ . For every operation symbol o of  $\Sigma$  and for every element p of  $\operatorname{Args}(o,\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X))$  such that for every element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  such that  $\tau \in \operatorname{rng} p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[o\text{-term} p]$  by (48), [36, (6)], (46), [42, (9)].  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  from  $\operatorname{TermInd}$ .  $\square$
- (63)  $\bigcup$  (the sorts of T) =  $\bigcup$  { $T \deg_{\leq} i : \text{not contradiction}$ }.
- (64)  $\bigcup$  (the sorts of T) =  $\bigcup$  {T height $\leq$  i: not contradiction}. The theorem is a consequence of (57).
- (65)  $T \deg_{\leqslant} i \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X) \deg_{\leqslant} i$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv T \deg_{\leqslant} \$_1$  $\subseteq \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X) \deg_{\leqslant} \$_1$ .  $T \deg_{\leqslant} 0 = \bigcup \text{FreeGenerator}(T) \text{ and } \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X) \deg_{\leqslant} 0 = \bigcup \text{FreeGenerator}(\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X))$ . For every i,  $\mathcal{P}[i]$  from [13, Sch. 2].  $\square$

## 4. Context

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X, T,  $\sigma$ , x, and  $\rho$ . We say that  $\rho$  is x-context if and only if (Def. 20)  $\overline{\overline{\operatorname{Coim}(\rho,\langle x,\sigma\rangle)}}=1$ .

We say that  $\rho$  is x-omitting if and only if

(Def. 21)  $\operatorname{Coim}(\rho, \langle x, \sigma \rangle) = \emptyset$ .

The functor vf  $\rho$  yielding a set is defined by the term

(Def. 22)  $\pi_1(\operatorname{rng} \rho \cap (\bigcup X \times (\operatorname{the carrier of } \Sigma))).$ 

Now we state the propositions:

- (66) vf  $\rho = \bigcup \operatorname{Var}_X \rho$ . PROOF: vf  $\rho \subseteq \bigcup \operatorname{Var}_X \rho$  by [32, (87)], [5, (2)], [10, (44)], [23, (9)].  $\square$
- (67)  $vf(x-term) = \{x\}.$
- (68)  $\operatorname{vf}(o \operatorname{-term} p) = \bigcup \{\operatorname{vf} \tau : \tau \in \operatorname{rng} p\}. \text{ PROOF: } \operatorname{vf}(o \operatorname{-term} p) \subseteq \bigcup \{\operatorname{vf} \tau : \tau \in \operatorname{rng} p\} \text{ by } (66), [5, (2)], [23, (13)], [55, (167)]. \square$

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X, T, and  $\rho$ . Note that vf  $\rho$  is finite.

Now we state the proposition:

(69) If  $x \notin \text{vf } \rho$ , then  $\rho$  is x-omitting.

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X,  $\sigma$ , and  $\tau$ . We say that  $\tau$  is  $\sigma$ -context if and only if

(Def. 23) There exists x such that  $\tau$  is x-context.

Let us consider x. Let us observe that every element of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  which is x-context is also  $\sigma$ -context.

One can verify that x-term is x-context.

One can check that there exists an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  which is x-context and non compound and every element of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  which is x-omitting is also non x-context.

Now we state the proposition:

(70) Let us consider sort symbols  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$  of  $\Sigma$ , an element  $x_1$  of  $X(\sigma_1)$ , and an element  $x_2$  of  $X(\sigma_2)$ . Then  $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$  or  $x_1 \neq x_2$  if and only if  $x_1$ -term is  $x_2$ -omitting.

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma_1$ , Z, and z. Let z' be a z-different element of  $Z(\sigma_1)$ . One can check that z'-term is z-omitting.

One can check that there exists an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Z)$  which is z-omitting.

Let us consider  $\sigma_1$ . Let  $z_1$  be a z-different element of  $Z(\sigma_1)$ . Observe that there exists an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Z)$  which is z-omitting and  $z_1$ -context.

Let us consider X. Let us consider x.

A context of x is an x-context element of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ . Now we state the proposition:

(71) Let us consider a sort symbol  $\rho$  of  $\Sigma$  and an element y of  $X(\rho)$ . Then x-term is a context of y if and only if  $\rho = \sigma$  and x = y.

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X, and  $\sigma$ .

A context of  $\sigma$  and X is a  $\sigma$ -context element of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ . In the sequel  $\mathcal{C}$  denotes a context of x,  $\mathcal{C}_1$  denotes a context of y,  $\mathcal{C}'$  denotes a context of z,  $\mathcal{C}_{11}$  denotes a context of  $x_{11}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{12}$  denotes a context of  $y_{11}$ , and D denotes a context of  $\sigma$  and X.

Now we state the propositions:

- (72)  $\mathcal{C}$  is a context of  $\sigma$  and X.
- (73)  $x \in \operatorname{vf} \mathcal{C}$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , o,  $\sigma$ , X, x, and p. We say that p is x-context including once only if and only if

- (Def. 24) There exists i such that
  - (i)  $i \in \text{dom } p$ , and
  - (ii) p(i) is a context of x, and
  - (iii) for every j and  $\tau$  such that  $j \in \text{dom } p$  and  $j \neq i$  and  $\tau = p(j)$  holds  $\tau$  is x-omitting.

Let us note that every element of  $\operatorname{Args}(o,\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X))$  which is x-context including once only is also non empty.

- (74) p is x-context including once only if and only if o-term p is a context of x. Proof: Set  $I = \{\langle x, \sigma \rangle\}$ . Set k = p. (o-term k) $^{-1}(I) = \emptyset \cup \bigcup \{\langle i \rangle \cap k(i+1)^{-1}(I) : i < \text{len } k\}$ . If k is x-context including once only, then o-term k is a context of x by [3, (42)], [52, (25)], [13, (10), (13), (11)].  $\square$
- (75) for every i such that  $i \in \text{dom } p$  holds  $p_i$  is x-omitting if and only if o-term p is x-omitting. The theorem is a consequence of (51) and (13).
- (76) for every  $\tau$  such that  $\tau \in \operatorname{rng} p$  holds  $\tau$  is x-omitting if and only if o-term p is x-omitting. The theorem is a consequence of (75).

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $\sigma$ , and o. We say that o is  $\sigma$ -dependent if and only if (Def. 25)  $\sigma \in \operatorname{rng} \operatorname{Arity}(o)$ .

Let  $\Sigma$  be a sufficiently rich non void non empty many sorted signature and  $\sigma$  be a sort symbol of  $\Sigma$ . Let us note that there exists an operation symbol of  $\Sigma$  which is  $\sigma$ -dependent.

In the sequel  $\Sigma'$  denotes a sufficiently rich non empty non void many sorted signature,  $\sigma'$  denotes a sort symbol of  $\Sigma'$ ,  $\sigma'$  denotes a  $\sigma'$ -dependent operation symbol of  $\Sigma'$ , X' denotes a nontrivial many sorted set indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma'$ , and x' denotes an element of  $X'(\sigma')$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma'$ ,  $\sigma'$ , o', X', and x'. Let us observe that there exists an element of  $\operatorname{Args}(\sigma', \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma'}(X'))$  which is x'-context including once only.

Let p' be an x'-context including once only element of  $\operatorname{Args}(o', \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma'}(X'))$ . One can check that o'-term p' is x'-context.

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , o,  $\sigma$ , X, x, and p. Assume p is x-context including once only. The functor the x-context position in p yielding a natural number is defined by

(Def. 26) p(it) is a context of x.

The functor the x-context in p yielding a context of x is defined by (Def. 27)  $it \in \operatorname{rng} p$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (77) Suppose p is x-context including once only. Then
  - (i) the x-context position in  $p \in \text{dom } p$ , and
  - (ii) the x-context in p = p(the x-context position in p).
- (78) Suppose p is x-context including once only and the x-context position in  $p \neq i \in \text{dom } p$ . Then  $p_i$  is x-omitting.

Let us assume that p is x-context including once only. Now we state the propositions:

- (79) p yields the x-context in p just once. The theorem is a consequence of (77).
- (80)  $p \leftarrow (\text{the } x\text{-context in } p) = \text{the } x\text{-context position in } p$ . The theorem is a consequence of (79).

Now we state the proposition:

- (81) (i) C = x-term, or
  - (ii) there exists o and there exists p such that p is x-context including once only and C = o-term p.

The theorem is a consequence of (36), (71), and (74).

Let us consider  $\Sigma'$ , X',  $\sigma'$ , and x'. One can verify that there exists an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma'}(X')$  which is x'-context and compound.

The scheme ContextInd deals with a unary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$  and a non-empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$  and a sort symbol  $\sigma$  of  $\Sigma$  and a non-empty many sorted set  $\mathcal{X}$  indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$  and an element x of  $\mathcal{X}(\sigma)$  and a context  $\mathcal{C}$  of x and states that

- (Sch. 4)  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}]$  provided
  - $\mathcal{P}[x\text{-term}]$  and
  - for every operation symbol o of  $\Sigma$  and for every element w of  $\operatorname{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{X}))$  such that w is x-context including once only holds if  $\mathcal{P}[\text{the } x\text{-context in } w]$ , then for every context  $\mathcal{C}$  of x such that  $\mathcal{C} = o$ -term w holds  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}]$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (82) If  $\tau$  is x-omitting, then  $\tau_{\langle x, \sigma \rangle \leftarrow \tau_1} = \tau$ .
- (83) Suppose the sort of  $\tau_1 = \sigma$ . Then  $\tau_{\langle x, \sigma \rangle \leftarrow \tau_1} \in (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X))(\text{the sort of } \tau)$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)] \equiv \$_1_{\langle x, \sigma \rangle \leftarrow \tau_1} \in (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X))(\text{the sort of } \$_1)$ . For every  $\sigma_1$  and for every element y of  $X(\sigma_1)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[y\text{-term}]$ . For every o and p such that for every  $\tau_2$  such that  $\tau_2 \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau_2]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[o\text{-term } p]$  by  $[20, (20)], (18), [52, (29)], [12, (2)]. \mathcal{P}[\tau]$  from TermInd.  $\square$

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X,  $\sigma$ , x, C, and  $\tau$ . Assume the sort of  $\tau = \sigma$ . The functor  $C[\tau]$  yielding an element of (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ )(the sort of C) is defined by the term

(Def. 28)  $\mathcal{C}_{\langle x, \sigma \rangle \leftarrow \tau}$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(84) If the sort of  $\tau = \sigma$ , then x-term $[\tau] = \tau$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X,  $\sigma$ , x, and C. Observe that C[x-term] reduces to C. Now we state the propositions:

- (85) Let us consider an element w of  $\operatorname{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Z))$  and an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Z)$ . Suppose
  - (i) w is z-context including once only, and
  - (ii) the sort of  $\tau = \text{Arity}(o)$  (the z-context position in w).

Then  $w + \cdot$  (the z-context position in  $w, \tau$ )  $\in \text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Z))$ .

- (86) Suppose the sort of  $\mathcal{C}' = \sigma_1$ . Let us consider a z-different element  $z_1$  of  $Z(\sigma_1)$  and a z-omitting context  $\mathcal{C}_1$  of  $z_1$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}_1[\mathcal{C}']$  is a context of z. Proof: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Z)] \equiv \text{if } \mathfrak{F}_1 \text{ is } z\text{-omitting, then } \mathfrak{F}_1\langle z_1, \sigma_1 \rangle \leftarrow \mathcal{C}'$  is a context of z. For every o and k such that k is  $z_1\text{-context including once}$  only holds if  $\mathcal{P}[\text{the } z_1\text{-context in } k]$ , then for every context  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $z_1$  such that  $\mathcal{C} = o\text{-term } k$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}]$ .  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}_1]$  from ContextInd.  $\square$
- (87) Let us consider elements w, p of  $\operatorname{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Z))$  and an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Z)$ . Suppose
  - (i) w is z-context including once only, and
  - (ii) C' = o-term w, and
  - (iii)  $p = w + (\text{the } z\text{-context position in } w, (\text{the } z\text{-context in } w)[\tau]), \text{ and}$
  - (iv) the sort of  $\tau = \sigma$ .

Then  $C'[\tau] = o$ -term p. The theorem is a consequence of (77), (78), (82), and (19).

- (88) The sort of  $C[\tau]$  = the sort of C.
- (89) If  $\tau(a) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle$ , then  $a \in \text{Leaves}(\text{dom }\tau)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (36).
- (90) Let us consider a sort symbol  $\sigma_0$  of  $\Sigma$  and an element  $x_0$  of  $X(\sigma_0)$ . Suppose
  - (i) the sort of  $\tau = \sigma$ , and
  - (ii)  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $x_0$ -omitting, and
  - (iii)  $\tau$  is  $x_0$ -omitting.

Then  $\mathcal{C}[\tau]$  is  $x_0$ -omitting. The theorem is a consequence of (89).

- (91) Suppose p is x-context including once only. Then the sort of the x-context in p = Arity(o) (the x-context position in p). The theorem is a consequence of (77).
- (92) Let us consider a disjoint valued non-empty algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\Sigma$ , a non-empty algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  over  $\Sigma$ , an operation symbol o of  $\Sigma$ , elements p, q of  $\operatorname{Args}(o,\mathfrak{A})$ , a many sorted function h from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$ , an element a of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and i. Suppose
  - (i)  $i \in \text{dom } p$ , and
  - (ii) q = p + (i, a).

Then h # q = h # p + (i, h(a)).

(93) Let us consider an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Z)$ . Suppose the sort of  $\tau = \sigma$ . Then (the canonical homomorphism of R)( $\mathcal{C}'[\tau]$ ) = (the canonical homomorphism of R)( $\mathcal{C}'[0]$ ((the canonical homomorphism of R)( $\tau$ ))). Proof: Set H = 0

the canonical homomorphism of R. Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{context of } z] \equiv H(\$_1[\tau]) = H(\$_1[^{@}(H(\tau))])$ . The sort of  $^{@}(H(\tau)) = \text{the sort of } H(\tau)$ .  $\mathcal{P}[z\text{-term}]$  by (84), [10, (48)], [28, (15)].  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}']$  from ContextInd.  $\square$ 

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X, T,  $\sigma$ , and x. Let h be a many sorted function from  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  into T. We say that h is x-constant if and only if

- (Def. 29) (i) h(x -term) = x -term, and
  - (ii) for every  $\sigma_1$  and for every element  $x_1$  of  $X(\sigma_1)$  such that  $x_1 \neq x$  or  $\sigma \neq \sigma_1$  holds  $h(x_1$ -term) is x-omitting.

Now we state the proposition:

(94) The canonical homomorphism of T is x-constant. The theorem is a consequence of (70).

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X, T,  $\sigma$ , and x. Note that there exists a homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  to T which is x-constant.

From now on  $h_1$  denotes an x-constant homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  to T and  $h_2$  denotes a y-constant homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$  to Q.

Let  $x,\,y$  be objects. The functor  $x \leftrightarrow y$  yielding a function is defined by the term

(Def. 30)  $\{\langle x, y \rangle, \langle y, x \rangle\}$ .

Let us observe that the functor is commutative.

Now we state the proposition:

- (95) (i)  $dom(a \leftrightarrow b) = \{a, b\}$ , and
  - (ii)  $(a \leftrightarrow b)(a) = b$ , and
  - (iii)  $(a \leftrightarrow b)(b) = a$ , and
  - (iv)  $\operatorname{rng}(a \leftrightarrow b) = \{a, b\}.$

Let  $\mathfrak A$  be a non empty set and a, b be elements of  $\mathfrak A$ . One can verify that  $a \leftrightarrow b$  is  $\mathfrak A$ -valued and  $\mathfrak A$ -defined.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a set,  $\mathcal{B}$  be a non empty set, f be a function from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$ , and g be a  $\mathfrak{A}$ -defined  $\mathcal{B}$ -valued function. Let us note that the functor f+g yields a function from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let I be a non empty set,  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  be many sorted sets indexed by I, f be a many sorted function from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$ , x be an element of I, and g be a function from  $\mathfrak{A}(x)$  into  $\mathfrak{B}(x)$ . One can verify that the functor f+(x,g) yields a many sorted function from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X, T,  $\sigma$ ,  $x_1$ , and  $x_2$ . The functor  $\mathrm{Hom}(T,x_1,x_2)$  yielding an endomorphism of T is defined by

- (Def. 31) (i)  $it(\sigma)(x_1 \text{-term}) = x_2 \text{-term}$ , and
  - (ii)  $it(\sigma)(x_2 \text{-term}) = x_1 \text{-term}$ , and
  - (iii) for every  $\sigma_1$  and for every element y of  $X(\sigma_1)$  such that  $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma$  or  $y \neq x_1$  and  $y \neq x_2$  holds  $it(\sigma_1)(y$ -term) = y-term.

- (96) Let us consider an endomorphism h of T. Suppose  $h(\sigma)(x\text{-term}) = x\text{-term}$ . Then  $h = \mathrm{id}_{\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha$  is the sorts of T. PROOF:  $h \upharpoonright \mathrm{FreeGenerator}$   $(T) = \mathrm{id}_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \mathrm{FreeGenerator}(T)$ , where  $\alpha$  is the sorts of T by [27, (49), (18)].  $\square$
- (97)  $\operatorname{Hom}(T, x, x) = \operatorname{id}_{\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha$  is the sorts of T. The theorem is a consequence of (96).
- (98)  $\operatorname{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2) = \operatorname{Hom}(T, x_2, x_1).$
- (99)  $\operatorname{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2) \circ \operatorname{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2) = \operatorname{id}_{\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha$  is the sorts of T. PROOF: Set  $h = \operatorname{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2)$ . For every  $\sigma$  and x,  $(h \circ h)(\sigma)(x$ -term) = x-term by [28, (15)], [36, (2)].  $\square$
- (100) If  $\rho$  is  $x_1$ -omitting and  $x_2$ -omitting, then  $(\operatorname{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2))(\rho) = \rho$ . Proof: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } T] \equiv \text{if } \$_1 \text{ is } x_1\text{-omitting and } x_2\text{-omitting, then } (\operatorname{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2))(\text{the sort of } \$_1)(\$_1) = \$_1$ . For every  $\sigma$ , x, and  $\rho$  such that  $\rho = x$ -term holds  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$ . For every o, p, and  $\rho$  such that  $\rho = o$ -term p and for every element  $\tau$  of T such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$  by (22), (34), [10, (13)], [36, (6)].  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$  from TermAlgebraInd.  $\square$

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X, T,  $\sigma$ , and x. Let us observe that (the canonical homomorphism of T)( $\sigma$ )(x-term) reduces to x-term.

- (101) (The canonical homomorphism of T)  $\circ$  Hom $(\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X), x, x_1) = \text{Hom}(T, x, x_1) \circ (\text{the canonical homomorphism of } T)$ . Proof: Set H = the canonical homomorphism of T. Set  $h = \text{Hom}(T, x, x_1)$ . Set  $g = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X), x, x_1)$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)] \equiv (H \circ g)(\$_1) = (h \circ H)(\$_1)$ . For every  $\sigma$  and x,  $\mathcal{P}[x\text{-term}]$  by [36, (2)], [28, (15)]. For every operation symbol o of  $\Sigma$  and for every element p of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X))$  such that for every element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[o\text{-term } p]$  by [10, (13)], (34), [36, (6)], [52, (29), (25)].  $(H \circ g)(\sigma) = (h \circ H)(\sigma)$ .  $\square$
- (102) Let us consider an element  $\rho$  of T from  $\sigma$ . Then  $(\operatorname{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2))(\sigma)(\rho) =$  ((the canonical homomorphism of T)  $\circ$   $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X), x_1, x_2))(\sigma)(\rho)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (101).
- (103) If  $x_1 \neq x_2$  and  $\tau$  is  $x_2$ -omitting, then  $(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X), x_1, x_2))(\tau)$  is  $x_1$ omitting. PROOF: Set  $T = \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ . Set  $h = \operatorname{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2)$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element}]$ of  $T = \inf \$_1$  is  $x_2$ -omitting, then  $h(\$_1)$  is  $x_1$ -omitting. For every  $\sigma$  and x,  $\mathcal{P}[x\text{-term}]$ . For every  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma$  such that for every element  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$  such that  $\tau \in \operatorname{rng} p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\sigma\text{-term} p]$  by (34), [10, (13)], [36, (6)], [12, (2)].  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  from  $\operatorname{TermInd}$ .  $\square$
- (104) Let us consider a finite subset  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $\bigcup$  (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$ ). Then there exists y such that for every v such that  $v \in \mathfrak{A}$  holds v is y-omitting. PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{F}(\text{element of }\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)) = \text{vf }\mathfrak{F}_1$ .  $\{\mathcal{F}(v) : v \in \mathfrak{A}\}$  is finite from [44, Sch. 21].  $\square$

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X, and T. We say that T is structure-invariant if and only if

(Def. 32) Let us consider an element p of  $\operatorname{Args}(o,T)$ . Suppose  $(\operatorname{Den}(o,T))(p) = (\operatorname{Den}(o,\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)))(p)$ .  $(\operatorname{Den}(o,T))(\operatorname{Hom}(T,x_1,x_2)\#p) = (\operatorname{Den}(o,\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)))(\operatorname{Hom}(T,x_1,x_2)\#p)$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (105) Suppose T is structure-invariant. Let us consider an element  $\rho$  of T from  $\sigma$ . Then  $(\operatorname{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2))(\sigma)(\rho) = (\operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X), x_1, x_2))(\sigma)(\rho)$ . PROOF: Set  $h = \operatorname{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2)$ . Set  $g = \operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X), x_1, x_2)$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element} \text{ of } T] \equiv h(\text{the sort of } \$_1)(\$_1) = g(\text{the sort of } \$_1)(\$_1)$ . For every  $\sigma$ , x, and  $\rho$  such that  $\rho = x$ -term holds  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$ . For every  $\rho$ ,  $\rho$ , and  $\rho$  such that  $\rho = \sigma$ -term  $\rho$  and for every element  $\tau$  of T such that  $\tau \in \operatorname{rng} \rho$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$  by [10, (13)], (22), [36, (6)], [52, (29), (25)].  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$  from  $\operatorname{TermAlgebraInd}$ .  $\square$
- (106) If T is structure-invariant and  $x_1 \neq x_2$  and  $\rho$  is  $x_2$ -omitting, then  $(\operatorname{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2))(\rho)$  is  $x_1$ -omitting. PROOF: Set  $h = \operatorname{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2)$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } T] \equiv \text{if } \$_1$  is  $x_2$ -omitting, then  $h(\$_1)$  is  $x_1$ -omitting. For every  $\sigma$ , x, and  $\rho$  such that  $\rho = x$ -term holds  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$ . For every o, p, and  $\rho$  such that  $\rho = o$ -term p and for every element  $\tau$  of T such that  $\tau \in \operatorname{rng} p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$  by (22), (34), [10, (13), (41)].  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$  from  $\operatorname{TermAlgebraInd}$ .  $\square$
- (107) Suppose Q is structure-invariant and v is y-omitting. Then (the canonical homomorphism of Q)(v) is y-omitting. The theorem is a consequence of (104), (29), (101), (100), (98), and (106).
- (108) Suppose Q is structure-invariant. Let us consider an element p of  $\operatorname{Args}(o, Q)$ . Suppose an element  $\tau$  of Q. If  $\tau \in \operatorname{rng} p$ , then  $\tau$  is y-omitting. Let us consider an element  $\tau$  of Q. If  $\tau = (\operatorname{Den}(o, Q))(p)$ , then  $\tau$  is y-omitting. The theorem is a consequence of (76), (34), and (107).
- (109) If Q is structure-invariant and v is y-omitting, then  $h_2(v)$  is y-omitting. PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)] \equiv \text{if } \$_1 \text{ is } y$ -omitting, then  $h_2(\$_1)$  is y-omitting. For every  $\sigma$  and y,  $\mathcal{P}[y\text{-term}]$ . For every o and q such that for every v such that  $v \in \text{rng } q$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[v]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[o\text{-term } q]$  by (34), [10, (13)], [36, (6)], [12, (2)].  $\mathcal{P}[v]$  from TermInd.  $\square$

Let us consider a terminating invariant stable many sorted relation R indexed by  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  with NF-variables and unique normal form property. Now we state the propositions:

- (110) (i) for every element  $\tau$  of the algebra of normal forms of R,  $(\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X), x_1, x_2))$  (the sort of  $\tau$ )( $\tau$ ) =  $(\text{Hom}(\text{the algebra of normal forms of } R, x_1, x_2))(\tau)$ , and
  - (ii)  $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X), x_1, x_2) \upharpoonright \operatorname{NForms}(R) = \operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{the algebra of normal})$

forms of  $R, x_1, x_2$ ).

PROOF: Set  $F = \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ . Set T = the algebra of normal forms of R. Set  $H_3 = \operatorname{Hom}(F, x_1, x_2)$ . Set  $H_2 = \operatorname{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2)$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } T] \equiv H_3(\text{the sort of } \$_1)(\$_1) = H_2(\$_1)$ . For every sort symbol  $\sigma$  of  $\Sigma$  and for every element x of  $X(\sigma)$  and for every element  $\rho$  of T such that  $\rho = x$ -term holds  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$ . For every operation symbol  $\sigma$  of  $\Gamma$  and for every element  $\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma$  is an anomalog for every element  $\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma$  is an anomalog for every element  $\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma$  is an anomalog for every element  $\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma$  is an anomalog forms of  $\Gamma$  in the element  $\Gamma$  $\Gamma$  in  $\Gamma$  in the element  $\Gamma$  in  $\Gamma$  in

(111) Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } p$  and  $R(\text{Arity}(o)_i)$  reduces  $\tau_1$  to  $\tau_2$ . Then R(the result sort of o) reduces  $(\text{Den}(o,\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)))(p+\cdot(i,\tau_1))$  to  $(\text{Den}(o,\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)))(p+\cdot(i,\tau_2))$ . PROOF: Consider  $\rho$  being a reduction sequence w.r.t.  $R(\text{Arity}(o)_i)$  such that  $\rho(1) = \tau_1$  and  $\rho(\text{len } \rho) = \tau_2$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv \text{if } \$_1 \leqslant \text{len } \rho$ , then R(the result sort of o) reduces  $(\text{Den}(o,\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)))(p+\cdot(i,\tau_1))$  to  $(\text{Den}(o,\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)))(p+\cdot(i,\rho(\$_1)))$ . For every i such that  $1 \leqslant i$  and  $\mathcal{P}[i]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[i+1]$  by [13, (13)], [52, (25)], [32, (87)], [12, (7), (2)]. For every i such that  $i \geqslant 1$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[i]$  from [13, Sch. 8].  $\square$ 

Now we state the propositions:

- (112) Let us consider a terminating invariant stable many sorted relation R indexed by  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  with NF-variables and unique normal form property and  $\tau$ . Then R(the sort of  $\tau$ ) reduces  $\tau$  to (the canonical homomorphism of the algebra of normal forms of R)( $\tau$ ). PROOF: Set T = the algebra of normal forms of R. Set H = the canonical homomorphism of T. Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of }\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)] \equiv R(\text{the sort of }\$_1) \text{ reduces }\$_1 \text{ to } H(\$_1)$ . For every o and o such that for every  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma \in \text{rng } o$  holds  $\sigma \in \mathbb{P}[\sigma]$  holds  $\sigma \in \mathbb{P}[\sigma]$  by  $\sigma \in \mathbb{P}[\sigma]$  from  $\sigma \in \mathbb{P}[\sigma]$  from  $\sigma \in \mathbb{P}[\sigma]$  from  $\sigma \in \mathbb{P}[\sigma]$
- (113) Let us consider a terminating invariant stable many sorted relation R indexed by  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  with NF-variables and unique normal form property, o, and p. Then R(the result sort of o) reduces o-term p to (Den(o, the algebra of normal forms of R))((the canonical homomorphism of the algebra of normal forms of R)#p). The theorem is a consequence of (34) and (112).
- (114) Let us consider a terminating invariant stable many sorted relation R indexed by  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  with NF-variables and unique normal form property, o, p, and an element q of  $\operatorname{Args}(o, \operatorname{the algebra of normal forms of } R)$ . Suppose p = q. Then  $R(\operatorname{the result sort of } o)$  reduces o-term p to  $(\operatorname{Den}(o, \operatorname{the algebra of normal forms of } R))(q)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (113).

Let us consider  $\Sigma$  and X. Let R be a terminating invariant stable many sorted relation indexed by  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  with NF-variables and unique normal form property. Observe that the algebra of normal forms of R is structure-invariant.

Let us note that there exists a free in itself including  $\Sigma$ -terms over X algebra

over  $\Sigma$  with all variables and inheriting operations which is structure-invariant.

### 5. Context vs. Translations

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $\sigma_1$ , and  $\sigma_2$ . We say that  $\sigma_2$  is  $\sigma_1$ -reachable if and only if (Def. 33) TranslRel( $\Sigma$ ) reduces  $\sigma_1$  to  $\sigma_2$ .

One can verify that there exists a sort symbol of  $\Sigma$  which is  $\sigma_1$ -reachable.

From now on  $\sigma_2$  denotes a  $\sigma_1$ -reachable sort symbol of  $\Sigma$  and  $g_1$  denotes a translation in  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$  from  $\sigma_1$  into  $\sigma_2$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(115) TranslRel( $\Sigma$ ) reduces  $\sigma$  to the sort of  $\mathcal{C}'$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of }\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Z)] \equiv \text{TranslRel}(\Sigma) \text{ reduces } \sigma \text{ to the sort of } \$_1. \mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}'] \text{ from } ContextInd.$ 

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X,  $\sigma$ , x, and  $\mathcal{C}$ . Observe that the sort of  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\sigma$ -reachable. Let us consider  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ , and g. Let  $\tau$  be an element of (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X))(\sigma_1)$ . One can check that the functor  $g(\tau)$  yields an element of (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X))(\sigma_2)$ . Let us consider  $\sigma$ , x, and  $\mathcal{C}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is basic if and only if

(Def. 34) There exists o and there exists p such that C = o-term p and the x-context in p = x-term.

The functor transl  $\mathcal{C}$  yielding a function from (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ )( $\sigma$ ) into (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ )(the sort of  $\mathcal{C}$ ) is defined by

(Def. 35) If the sort of  $\tau = \sigma$ , then  $it(\tau) = \mathcal{C}[\tau]$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (116) If C = x-term, then transl  $C = \mathrm{id}_{\alpha(\sigma)}$ , where  $\alpha$  is the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (84).
- (117) Suppose C' = o-term k and the z-context in k = z-term and k1 = k + (the z-context position in k, l). Then C'[l] = o-term k1. The theorem is a consequence of (74), (77), (84), and (87).
- (118) If  $\mathcal{C}'$  is basic, then transl  $\mathcal{C}'$  is an elementary translation in  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Z)$  from  $\sigma$  into the sort of  $\mathcal{C}'$ . The theorem is a consequence of (34), (74), (77), and (117).
- (119) Let us consider a finite set V. Suppose
  - (i)  $m \in \text{dom } q$ , and
  - (ii) Arity $(o)_m = \sigma$ .

Then there exists y and there exists  $C_1$  and there exists  $q_1$  such that  $y \notin V$  and  $C_1 = o$ -term  $q_1$  and  $q_1 = q + \cdot (m, y$ -term) and  $q_1$  is y-context including once only and m = the y-context position in  $q_1$  and the y-context in  $q_1 = y$ -term. Proof: Set y = the element of  $Y(\sigma) \setminus (V \cup \pi_1(\operatorname{rng}(o - \operatorname{term} q)))$ .

Reconsider  $q_1 = q + (m, y \text{-term})$  as an element of  $\operatorname{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y))$ .  $q_1$  is y-context including once only by [25, (30), (31), (32)], [52, (25)].  $\square$ 

- (120) Let us consider sort symbols  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$  of  $\Sigma$  and a finite set V. Suppose
  - (i)  $m \in \text{dom } q$ , and
  - (ii)  $\sigma_1 = \text{Arity}(o)_m$ .

Then there exists an element y of  $Y(\sigma_1)$  and there exists a context  $\mathcal{C}$  of y and there exists  $q_1$  such that  $y \notin V$  and  $q_1 = q + (m, y\text{-term})$  and  $q_1$  is y-context including once only and the y-context in  $q_1 = y\text{-term}$  and  $\mathcal{C} = o\text{-term }q_1$  and m = the y-context position in  $q_1$  and  $\text{transl }\mathcal{C} = o_m^{\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)}(q, -)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (119) and (117).

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ , X,  $\tau$ , and a. One can verify that  $\mathrm{Coim}(\tau,a)$  is finite sequence-membered.

Now we state the propositions:

- (121) Suppose X is nontrivial and the sort of  $\tau = \sigma$ . Then  $\overline{\text{Coim}(\tau, a)} \subseteq \overline{\text{Coim}(\mathcal{C}[\tau], a)}$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{context of } x] \equiv \text{for every } \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{C} = \$_1$  holds  $\overline{\text{Coim}(\tau, a)} \subseteq \overline{\text{Coim}(\mathcal{C}[\tau], a)}$ .  $\mathcal{P}[x\text{-term}]$ . For every o and p such that p is  $x\text{-context including once only holds if } \mathcal{P}[\text{the } x\text{-context in } p]$ , then for every context  $\mathcal{C}$  of x such that  $\mathcal{C} = o\text{-term } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}]$  by (77), [36, (6)], [13, (10)], [52, (25)].  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}]$  from ContextInd.  $\square$
- (122) If p is x-context including once only and  $i \in \text{dom } p$ , then  $p_i$  is not x-omitting iff  $p_i$  is x-context.

Let us assume that X is nontrivial and the sort of  $C = \sigma_1$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (123) Let us consider an element  $x_1$  of  $X(\sigma_1)$ , a context  $C_1$  of  $x_1$ , and a context  $C_2$  of x. Suppose  $C_2 = C_1[C]$ . If the sort of  $\tau = \sigma$ , then  $C_2[\tau] = C_1[C[\tau]]$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{context of } x_1] \equiv \text{for every context } C_1 \text{ of } x_1 \text{ for every context } C_2 \text{ of } x \text{ such that } C_1 = \$_1 \text{ and } C_2 = C_1[C] \text{ holds } C_2[\tau] = C_1[C[\tau]]$ .  $\mathcal{P}[x_1\text{-term}]$ . For every o and for every element w of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X))$  such that w is  $x_1\text{-context including once only holds if } \mathcal{P}[\text{the } x_1\text{-context in } w]$ , then for every context C of  $x_1$  such that C = o-term w holds  $\mathcal{P}[C]$  by (77), [36, (6)], [12, (2), (7)].  $\mathcal{P}[C_1]$  from ContextInd.  $\square$
- (124) Let us consider an element  $x_1$  of  $X(\sigma_1)$ , a context  $\mathcal{C}_1$  of  $x_1$ , and a context  $\mathcal{C}_2$  of x. Suppose  $\mathcal{C}_2 = \mathcal{C}_1[\mathcal{C}]$ . Then transl  $\mathcal{C}_2 = \operatorname{transl} \mathcal{C}_1 \cdot \operatorname{transl} \mathcal{C}$ . PROOF: Reconsider  $f = \operatorname{transl} \mathcal{C}$  as a function from (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ )( $\sigma$ ) into (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ )( $\sigma_1$ ). transl  $\mathcal{C}_2 = \operatorname{transl} \mathcal{C}_1 \cdot f$  by [28, (15)], (123).  $\square$  Now we state the proposition:
- (125) There exists  $y_{11}$  and there exists  $C_{12}$  such that the sort of  $C_{12} = \sigma_2$  and  $g_1 = \text{transl } C_{12}$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{function, sort symbol of } \Sigma, \text{sort symbol of } \Sigma] \equiv \text{for every finite set } V$ , there exists an element x of  $Y(\$_2)$  and

there exists a context  $\mathcal{C}$  of x such that  $x \notin V$  and the sort of  $\mathcal{C} = \$_3$  and  $\$_1 = \operatorname{transl} \mathcal{C}$ . For every  $\sigma$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[\operatorname{id}_{\alpha(\sigma)}, \sigma, \sigma]$ , where  $\alpha$  is the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$ . For every sort symbols  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ ,  $\sigma_3$  of  $\Sigma$  such that TranslRel( $\Sigma$ ) reduces  $\sigma_1$  to  $\sigma_2$  for every translation  $\tau$  in  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$  from  $\sigma_1$  into  $\sigma_2$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[\tau, \sigma_1, \sigma_2]$  for every function f such that f is an elementary translation in  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$  from  $\sigma_2$  into  $\sigma_3$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[f \cdot \tau, \sigma_1, \sigma_3]$  by [12, (2)], (120), (73), (69). For every sort symbols  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$  of  $\Sigma$  such that TranslRel( $\Sigma$ ) reduces  $\sigma_1$  to  $\sigma_2$  for every translation  $\tau$  in  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$  from  $\sigma_1$  into  $\sigma_2$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[\tau, \sigma_1, \sigma_2]$  from [12, Sch. 1].

The scheme LambdaTerm deals with a non empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$  and a non-empty many sorted set  $\mathcal{X}$  indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$  and including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $\mathcal{X}$  algebras  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  over  $\Sigma$  with all variables and inheriting operations and a unary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding an element of  $T_2$  and states that

- (Sch. 5) There exists a many sorted function f from  $T_1$  into  $T_2$  such that for every element  $\tau$  of  $T_1$ ,  $f(\tau) = \mathcal{F}(\tau)$  provided
  - for every element  $\tau$  of  $T_1$ , the sort of  $\tau$  = the sort of  $\mathcal{F}(\tau)$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (126) There exists an endomorphism g of T such that
  - (i) (the canonical homomorphism of T)  $\circ h = g \circ$  (the canonical homomorphism of T), and
  - (ii) for every element  $\tau$  of T,  $g(\tau) =$  (the canonical homomorphism of  $T)(h(^{@}\tau))$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (29).

(127) (The canonical homomorphism of T) $(h(\tau)) =$  (the canonical homomorphism of T) $(h(^{@}(the canonical homomorphism of <math>T)(\tau)))$ ). The theorem is a consequence of (126) and (29).

#### 6. Context vs. Endomorphism

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a non empty finite sequence of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$  and i be an element of dom  $\mathcal{B}$ . Note that the functor  $\mathcal{B}(i)$  yields a sort symbol of  $\Sigma$ . Let us consider X. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$  and V be a finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup X$ . We say that V is  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting if and only if

- (Def. 36) (i)  $\operatorname{dom} V = \operatorname{dom} \mathcal{B}$ , and
  - (ii) for every i such that  $i \in \text{dom } \mathcal{B} \text{ holds } V(i) \in X(\mathcal{B}(i))$ .

Let us observe that there exists a finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup X$  which is  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting.

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a non empty finite sequence of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$ . One can check that every finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup X$  which is  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting is also non empty.

Let V be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup X$  and i be an element of dom  $\mathcal{B}$ . Note that the functor V(i) yields an element of  $X(\mathcal{B}(i))$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$  and D be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ . We say that D is  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting if and only if

- (Def. 37) (i) dom  $D = \text{dom } \mathcal{B}$ , and
  - (ii) for every i such that  $i \in \text{dom } \mathcal{B} \text{ holds } D(i) \in (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X))(\mathcal{B}(i)).$

Note that there exists a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  which is  $\mathcal{B}$ sorting.

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a non empty finite sequence of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$ . One can verify that every finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  which is  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting is also non empty.

Let D be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  and i be an element of dom  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let us note that the functor D(i) yields an element of (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X))(\mathcal{B}(i))$ . Let V be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup X$  and F be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ . We say that F is V-context sequence if and only if

- (Def. 38) (i) dom  $F = \text{dom } \mathcal{B}$ , and
  - (ii) for every element i of dom  $\mathcal{B}$ , F(i) is a context of V(i).

Let us observe that every finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  which is V-context sequence is also non empty.

The scheme FinSeqLambda deals with a non empty finite sequence  $\mathcal{B}$  and a unary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding an object and states that

(Sch. 6) There exists a non empty finite sequence p such that  $\operatorname{dom} p = \operatorname{dom} \mathcal{B}$  and for every element i of  $\operatorname{dom} \mathcal{B}$ ,  $p(i) = \mathcal{F}(i)$ .

The scheme FinSeqRecLambda deals with a non empty finite sequence  $\mathcal{B}$  and an object  $\mathfrak{A}$  and a binary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding a set and states that

(Sch. 7) There exists a non empty finite sequence p such that  $\operatorname{dom} p = \operatorname{dom} \mathcal{B}$  and  $p(1) = \mathfrak{A}$  and for every elements i, j of  $\operatorname{dom} \mathcal{B}$  such that j = i + 1 holds  $p(j) = \mathcal{F}(i, p(i))$ .

The scheme FinSeqRec2Lambda deals with a non empty finite sequence  $\mathcal{B}$  and a decorated tree  $\mathfrak{A}$  and a binary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding a decorated tree and states that

(Sch. 8) There exists a non empty decorated tree yielding finite sequence p such that dom  $p = \text{dom } \mathcal{B}$  and  $p(1) = \mathfrak{A}$  and for every elements i, j of dom  $\mathcal{B}$ 

such that j = i + 1 for every decorated tree d such that d = p(i) holds  $p(j) = \mathcal{F}(i, d)$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma$  and X. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a non empty finite sequence of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$  and V be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup X$ . One can check that there exists a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  which is V-context sequence.

Let F be a V-context sequence finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  and i be an element of dom  $\mathcal{B}$ . One can verify that the functor F(i) yields a context of V(i). Let  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$  be  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequences of elements of  $\bigcup X$ . We say that  $V_2$  is  $V_1$ -omitting if and only if

- (Def. 39)  $\operatorname{rng} V_1$  misses  $\operatorname{rng} V_2$ .
  - Let D be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  and F be a  $V_2$ context sequence finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ . We say that F is  $(V_1, V_2, D)$ -consequent context sequence if and only if
- (Def. 40) Let us consider elements i, j of dom  $\mathcal{B}$ . If i+1=j, then  $F(j)[V_1(j)$ -term] = F(i)[D(i)].

Let V be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup X$ . We say that V is D-omitting if and only if

(Def. 41) If  $\tau \in \operatorname{rng} D$ , then vf  $\tau$  misses  $\operatorname{rng} V$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(128) Let us consider a non empty finite sequence  $\mathcal{B}$  of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma a$   $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence D of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)a$   $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence V of elements of  $\bigcup X$ . Suppose V is D-omitting. Let us consider elements  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$  of dom  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $D(b_1)$  is  $(V(b_2))$ -omitting. The theorem is a consequence of (69).

Let us consider  $\Sigma$  and Y. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a non empty finite sequence of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$ , V be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup Y$ , and D be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$ . Let us observe that there exists a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup Y$  which is one-to-one, V-omitting, and D-omitting.

Let us consider X and  $\tau$ .

A vf-sequence of  $\tau$  is a finite sequence and is defined by

- (Def. 42) There exists a one-to-one finite sequence f such that
  - (i) rng  $f = \{\xi, \text{ where } \xi \text{ is an element of } \text{dom } \tau : \text{ there exists } \sigma \text{ and there exists } x \text{ such that } \tau(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle \}$ , and
  - (ii) dom it = dom f, and
  - (iii) for every i such that  $i \in \text{dom } it \text{ holds } it(i) = \tau(f(i))$ .

Let f be a finite sequence. Let us observe that pr1(f) is finite sequence-like and pr2(f) is finite sequence-like.

- (129) Let us consider a vf-sequence f of  $\tau$ . Then pr2(f) is a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$ .
- (130) Let us consider a vf-sequence f of  $\tau$  and a finite sequence  $\mathcal{B}$  of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \operatorname{pr2}(f)$ . Then  $\operatorname{pr1}(f)$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup X$ .

Let f be a non empty finite sequence. One can verify that  $1 \in \text{dom } f$  reduces to 1 and  $(\text{len } f) \in \text{dom } f$  reduces to len f.

Now we state the propositions:

- (131) Let us consider an element  $\xi$  of dom  $\tau$ . Suppose  $\tau(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle$ . Suppose the sort of  $\tau_1 = \sigma$ . Then  $\tau$  with-replacement  $(\xi, \tau_1)$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  from the sort of  $\tau$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of }\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)] \equiv \text{for every element } \xi \text{ of dom } \$_1 \text{ for every } x_1 \text{ and } \tau \text{ such that } \$_1(\xi) = \langle x_1, \sigma \rangle \text{ and } \tau = \$_1 \text{ holds } \$_1 \text{ with-replacement}(\xi, \tau_1) \text{ is an element of } \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X) \text{ from the sort of } \tau$ .  $\mathcal{P}[x_{11}\text{-term}] \text{ by } [20, (3)], [17, (29)].$  For every o and p such that for every  $\tau$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[o\text{-term } p]$  by  $[20, (10)], [13, (12), (13)], [52, (25)]. \mathcal{P}[\tau]$  from TermInd.  $\square$
- (132) Suppose X is nontrivial. Let us consider an element  $\xi$  of dom  $\mathcal{C}$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{C}(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle$ . If the sort of  $\tau = \sigma$ , then  $\mathcal{C}[\tau] = \mathcal{C}$  with-replacement  $(\xi, \tau)$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)] \equiv \text{for every context } \mathcal{C} \text{ of } x \text{ such that } \mathcal{C} = \$_1 \text{ for every element } \xi \text{ of dom } \mathcal{C} \text{ such that } \mathcal{C}(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle \text{ holds } \mathcal{C}[\tau] = \mathcal{C} \text{ with-replacement}(\xi, \tau). \mathcal{P}[x \text{-term}] \text{ by } [17, (29)], [20, (3)], (84). For every operation symbol <math>o$  of  $\Sigma$  and for every element w of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X))$  such that w is x-context including once only holds if  $\mathcal{P}[\text{the } x\text{-context in } w]$ , then for every context  $\mathcal{C}$  of x such that  $\mathcal{C} = o$ -term w holds  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}]$  by  $[20, (10)], [19, (38)], [13, (12), (13)]. \mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}]$  from C-ontextInd.  $\square$
- (133) Let us consider finite sequences  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2$ . Suppose
  - (i)  $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$ , and
  - (ii)  $\xi_1, \, \xi_2 \in \operatorname{dom} \tau$ .

Let us consider sort symbols  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$  of  $\Sigma$ , an element  $x_1$  of  $X(\sigma_1)$ , and an element  $x_2$  of  $X(\sigma_2)$ . Suppose  $\tau(\xi_1) = \langle x_1, \sigma_1 \rangle$ . Then  $\xi_1 \not \leq \xi_2$ . The theorem is a consequence of (36).

Let us consider  $\tau$ ,  $\tau_1$ , and an element  $\xi$  of dom  $\tau$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (134) If  $\tau_1 = \tau$  with-replacement $(\xi, x$ -term) and  $\tau$  is x-omitting, then  $\tau_1$  is a context of x. Proof: Coim $(\tau_1, \langle x, \sigma \rangle) = \{\xi\}$  by [17, (1), (29)], [20, (3)], [22, (87)].  $\square$
- (135) If  $\tau(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle$ , then dom  $\tau \subseteq \text{dom}(\tau \text{ with-replacement}(\xi, \tau_1))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (89).

- (136) Let us consider an element  $\xi$  of dom  $\tau$ . Suppose  $\tau(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle$ . Then dom  $\tau = \text{dom}(\tau \text{ with-replacement}(\xi, x_1 \text{-term}))$ . PROOF: dom  $\tau \subseteq \text{dom}(\tau \text{ with-replacement}(\xi, x_1 \text{-term}))$ . dom $(\tau \text{ with-replacement}(\xi, x_1 \text{-term})) \subseteq \text{dom } \tau \text{ by } [17, (29)], [20, (3)]$ .  $\square$
- (137) Let us consider trees  $\tau$ ,  $\tau_1$  and an element  $\xi$  of  $\tau$ . Then  $(\tau \text{ with-replacement}(\xi, \tau_1)) | \xi = \tau_1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (1).
- (138) Let us consider decorated trees  $\tau$ ,  $\tau_1$  and a node  $\xi$  of  $\tau$ . Then  $(\tau \text{ with-replacement}(\xi, \tau_1)) \upharpoonright \xi = \tau_1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (137).

Let us consider a node  $\xi$  of  $\tau$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (139) If  $\tau_1 = \tau \upharpoonright \xi$ , then  $h(\tau) \upharpoonright \xi = h(\tau_1)$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)] \equiv$  for every node  $\xi$  of  $\$_1$  for every  $\tau_1$  such that  $\tau_1 = \$_1 \upharpoonright \xi$  holds  $h(\$_1) \upharpoonright \xi = h(\tau_1)$  and  $\xi \in \text{dom}(h(\$_1))$ .  $\mathcal{P}[x\text{-term}]$  by [17, (29)], [20, (3)], [21, (1)], [17, (22)]. For every o and p such that for every  $\tau$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[o\text{-term } p]$  by [20, (11)], [21, (1)], [17, (22)], [21, (3)].  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  from TermInd.  $\square$
- (140) If  $\tau(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle$ , then  $\tau \upharpoonright \xi = x$ -term. The theorem is a consequence of (36).

Now we state the propositions:

- (141) Let us consider trees  $\tau$ ,  $\tau_1$  and elements  $\xi$ ,  $\nu$  of  $\tau$ . Suppose
  - (i)  $\xi \not\subseteq \nu$ , and
  - (ii)  $\nu \not\subseteq \xi$ .

Then  $(\tau \text{ with-replacement}(\xi, \tau_1)) \upharpoonright \nu = \tau \upharpoonright \nu$ . The theorem is a consequence of (2) and (5).

- (142) Let us consider decorated trees  $\tau$ ,  $\tau_1$  and nodes  $\xi$ ,  $\nu$  of  $\tau$ . Suppose
  - (i)  $\xi \not\subseteq \nu$ , and
  - (ii)  $\nu \not\subseteq \xi$ .

Then  $(\tau \text{ with-replacement}(\xi, \tau_1)) \upharpoonright \nu = \tau \upharpoonright \nu$ . The theorem is a consequence of (141) and (5).

- (143) If  $\tau \subseteq \tau_1$ , then  $\tau = \tau_1$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)] \equiv \text{for every } \tau_1 \text{ such that } \$_1 \subseteq \tau_1 \text{ holds } \$_1 = \tau_1$ .  $\mathcal{P}[x\text{-term}]$  by [17, (22)], [30, (2)], [20, (3)], (36). For every o and p such that for every  $\tau$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[o\text{-term } p]$  by [17, (22)], [30, (2)], (36), [20, (3)].  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  from TermInd.  $\square$
- (144) Let us consider an endomorphism h of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ . Then
  - (i) dom  $\tau \subseteq \text{dom}(h(\tau))$ , and

(ii) for every I such that  $I = \{\xi, \text{ where } \xi \text{ is an element of } \operatorname{dom} \tau : \text{ there } \operatorname{exists } \sigma \text{ and there exists } x \text{ such that } \tau(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle \} \operatorname{holds} \tau \upharpoonright (\operatorname{dom} \tau \setminus I) = h(\tau) \upharpoonright (\operatorname{dom} \tau \setminus I).$ 

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of }\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)] \equiv \text{dom}\,\$_1 \subseteq \text{dom}(h(\$_1))$  and for every I such that  $I = \{\xi, \text{ where } \xi \text{ is an element of dom }\$_1 : \text{ there exists } \sigma \text{ and there exists } x \text{ such that } \$_1(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle \} \text{ holds } \$_1 \upharpoonright (\text{dom }\$_1 \setminus I) = h(\$_1) \upharpoonright (\text{dom }\$_1 \setminus I). \mathcal{P}[x \text{-term}] \text{ by } [17, (22)], [20, (3)], [17, (29)]. \text{ For every } \sigma \text{ and } p \text{ such that for every } \tau \text{ such that } \tau \in \text{rng } p \text{ holds } \mathcal{P}[\tau] \text{ holds } \mathcal{P}[\sigma \text{-term } p] \text{ by } (34), [10, (13)], [20, (11)], [17, (22)]. \mathcal{P}[\tau] \text{ from } TermInd. \square$ 

- (145) Suppose  $I = \{\xi, \text{ where } \xi \text{ is an element of } \text{dom } \tau : \text{ there exists } \sigma \text{ and }$  there exists x such that  $\tau(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle \}$ . Let us consider a node  $\xi$  of  $h(\tau)$ . Then
  - (i)  $\xi \in \operatorname{dom} \tau \setminus I$ , or
  - (ii) there exists an element  $\nu$  of dom  $\tau$  such that  $\nu \in I$  and there exists a node  $\mu$  of  $h(\tau) \upharpoonright \nu$  such that  $\xi = \nu \cap \mu$ .

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of }\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)] \equiv \text{for every } I \text{ such that } I = \{\xi, \text{ where } \xi \text{ is an element of } \text{dom } \$_1 : \text{ there exists } \sigma \text{ and there exists } x \text{ such that } \$_1(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle \}$  for every node  $\xi$  of  $h(\$_1)$ ,  $\xi \in \text{dom } \$_1 \setminus I$  or there exists an element  $\nu$  of dom  $\$_1$  such that  $\nu \in I$  and there exists a node  $\mu$  of  $h(\$_1) \upharpoonright \nu$  such that  $\xi = \nu \cap \mu$ .  $\mathcal{P}[x\text{-term}]$  by [17, (22)], [20, (3)], [21, (1)]. For every  $\sigma$  and p such that for every  $\tau$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\sigma\text{-term } p]$  by (34), [10, (13)], [20, (11)], [17, (22)].  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  from TermInd.  $\square$ 

- (146) Let us consider an endomorphism h of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$  a one-to-one finite sequence g of elements of dom v. Suppose
  - (i) rng  $g = \{\xi, \text{ where } \xi \text{ is an element of } \text{dom } v : \text{ there exists } \sigma \text{ and there exists } y \text{ such that } v(\xi) = \langle y, \sigma \rangle \}$ , and
  - (ii) dom  $v \subseteq \text{dom } v_1$ , and
  - (iii)  $v \upharpoonright (\operatorname{dom} v \setminus \operatorname{rng} g) = v_1 \upharpoonright (\operatorname{dom} v \setminus \operatorname{rng} g)$ , and
  - (iv) for every i such that  $i \in \text{dom } g$  holds  $h(v) \upharpoonright (g_i \text{ qua node of } v) = v_1 \upharpoonright (g_i \text{ qua node of } v)$ .

Then  $h(v) = v_1$ . PROOF:  $h(v) \upharpoonright (\operatorname{dom} v \setminus \operatorname{rng} g) = v_1 \upharpoonright (\operatorname{dom} v \setminus \operatorname{rng} g)$ .  $h(v) \subseteq v_1$  by [27, (1)], (145), [27, (49)], (144).  $\square$ 

(147) Let us consider an endomorphism h of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$  and a vf-sequence f of v. Suppose  $f \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists a non empty finite sequence  $\mathcal{B}$  of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$  and there exists a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence  $V_1$  of elements of  $\bigcup Y$  such that dom  $\mathcal{B} = \text{dom } f$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \text{pr2}(f)$  and  $V_1 = \text{pr1}(f)$  and there exists a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence D of elements

of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$  and there exists a  $V_1$ -omitting D-omitting  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence  $V_2$  of elements of  $\bigcup Y$  such that for every element i of dom  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $D(i) = h(V_1(i) \text{-term})$  and there exists a  $V_2$ -context sequence finite sequence F of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$  such that F is  $(V_1, V_2, D)$ -consequent context sequence and  $F(1 \in \text{dom } \mathcal{B})[V_1(1 \in \text{dom } \mathcal{B})) \text{-term}] = v$  and  $h(v) = F((\operatorname{len} \mathcal{B})(\in \operatorname{dom} \mathcal{B}))[D((\operatorname{len} \mathcal{B})(\in \operatorname{dom} \mathcal{B}))].$  Proof: Reconsider  $\mathcal{B} = \operatorname{pr2}(f)$  as a non empty finite sequence of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$ . Consider g being a one-to-one finite sequence such that rng  $g = \{\xi, \text{ where } \}$  $\xi$  is an element of dom v: there exists  $\sigma$  and there exists y such that  $v(\xi) = \langle y, \sigma \rangle$  and dom f = dom g and for every i such that  $i \in \text{dom } f$ holds f(i) = v(g(i)). rng  $g \subseteq \text{dom } v$ . Reconsider  $V_1 = \text{pr1}(f)$  as a  $\mathcal{B}$ sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup Y$ . Define  $\mathcal{F}(\text{element of dom }\mathcal{B}) =$  $h(V_1(\$_1)$ -term). Consider D being a non empty finite sequence such that  $\operatorname{dom} D = \operatorname{dom} \mathcal{B}$  and for every element i of  $\operatorname{dom} \mathcal{B}$ ,  $D(i) = \mathcal{F}(i)$  from Fin-SeqLambda. D is a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$ . D is  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting. Set  $V_2$  = the one-to-one  $V_1$ -omitting D-omitting  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup Y$ . Define  $\mathcal{H}(\text{element of dom }\mathcal{B}, \text{decorated tree}) = (\$_2 \text{ with-}$ replacement (( $(g_{\$_1}$  qua element of dom v) qua finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ ),  $D(\$_1)$ ) with-replacement (( $(g_{\$_1+1}$  qua element of dom v) qua finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ ), the root tree of  $\langle V_2(\$_1+1), \mathcal{B}(\$_1+1) \rangle$ ). Consider F being a non empty decorated tree yielding finite sequence such that dom  $F = \text{dom } \mathcal{B}$  and F(1) = v with-replacement (( $(g_1 \text{ qua element})$ of dom v) qua finite sequence of elements of N), the root tree of  $\langle V_2(1), \rangle$  $\mathcal{B}(1)$  and for every elements i, j of dom  $\mathcal{B}$  such that j=i+1 for every decorated tree d such that d = F(i) holds  $F(j) = \mathcal{H}(i,d)$  from FinSeqRec2Lambda. rng  $F \subseteq \bigcup$  (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$ ) by (131), [22, (87)], [20, (3)], (133). Define  $\mathcal{Q}[\text{natural number}] \equiv \text{for every element } b \text{ of dom } \mathcal{B} \text{ such }$ that  $\$_1 = b$  holds F(b) is a context of  $V_2(b)$  and dom  $v \subseteq \text{dom}(F(b))$ and  $F(b)(g_b) = \langle V_2(b), \mathcal{B}(b) \rangle$  and for every element  $b_1$  of dom  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $b_1 > b$  holds  $F_b$  is  $(V_2(b_1))$ -omitting and  $F(b)(g_{b_1}) = \langle V_1(b_1), \mathcal{B}(b_1) \rangle$ .  $\mathcal{Q}[1]$ by [27, (102)], (134), (135), [22, (87)]. For every i such that  $1 \leq i$  and  $\mathcal{Q}[i]$ holds Q[i+1] by [52, (25)], [13, (13)], [27, (102)], (132). For every i such that  $i \ge 1$  holds  $\mathcal{Q}[i]$  from [13, Sch. 8]. F is  $V_2$ -context sequence by [52, (25)]. F is  $(V_1, V_2, D)$ -consequent context sequence by [52, (25)], [13, (12), (13)], (132). Set  $b = 1 \in \text{dom } \mathcal{B}$ . Reconsider  $\nu = g_b, \xi = g_{\text{len } \mathcal{B}}$  as a node of v. Consider  $\mu$  being a node of v such that  $\nu = \mu$  and there exists  $\sigma$  and there exists y such that  $v(\mu) = \langle y, \sigma \rangle$ . dom(F(b)) = dom v. Reconsider  $\tau = V_1(b)$ -term as an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$ . Consider  $\mu$  being a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mu \in \text{dom}(V_2(b)\text{-term})$  and  $\nu = \nu \cap \mu$  and  $F(b)(\nu) = V_2(b)$ -term $(\mu)$ .  $F(b)[\tau] = F(b)$  with-replacement $(\nu, \tau)$ . Define  $\Sigma[\text{natural number}] \equiv \text{for every elements } b, b_1 \text{ of dom } \mathcal{B} \text{ such that } \$_1 = b$ and  $b_1 \leq b$  holds  $(F(b)[D(b)]) \upharpoonright (g_{b_1}$  qua node of  $v) = h(v) \upharpoonright (g_{b_1}$  qua node of

v) and  $(F(b)[D(b)]) \upharpoonright (\operatorname{dom} v \setminus \operatorname{rng} g) = v \upharpoonright (\operatorname{dom} v \setminus \operatorname{rng} g)$ .  $\Sigma[1]$  by [52, (25)], (132), (138), (140). For every i such that  $i \geq 1$  and  $\Sigma[i]$  holds  $\Sigma[i+1]$  by [52, (25)], [13, (13)], (132), (135). Set  $b = (\operatorname{len} \mathcal{B}) (\in \operatorname{dom} \mathcal{B})$ . Set  $v_1 = F(b)[D(b)]$ . For every i such that  $i \geq 1$  holds  $\Sigma[i]$  from  $[13, \operatorname{Sch.} 8]$ .  $v_1 = F(b)$  with-replacement  $((g_b \operatorname{\mathbf{qua}} \operatorname{node} \operatorname{of} v), D(b))$ .  $\operatorname{dom}(F(b)) \subseteq \operatorname{dom} v_1$ .  $\square$ 

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Towards the construction of a model of Mizar concepts. Formalized Mathematics, 16(2):207–230, 2008. doi:10.2478/v10037-008-0027-x.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal arithmetics. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):543-547, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):589-593, 1990.
- $[5] \ \ Grzegorz \ Bancerek. \ On \ powers \ of \ cardinals. \ \textit{Formalized Mathematics}, \ 3 (\textbf{1}): 89-93, \ 1992.$
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Algebra of morphisms. Formalized Mathematics, 6(2):303–310, 1997.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. Tarski's classes and ranks. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):563-567, 1990.
- [8] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cartesian product of functions. Formalized Mathematics, 2(4):547–552, 1991.
- [9] Grzegorz Bancerek. Institution of many sorted algebras. Part I: Signature reduct of an algebra. Formalized Mathematics, 6(2):279–287, 1997.
- [10] Grzegorz Bancerek. Free term algebras. Formalized Mathematics, 20(3):239–256, 2012. doi:10.2478/v10037-012-0029-6.
- [11] Grzegorz Bancerek. Terms over many sorted universal algebra. Formalized Mathematics, 5(2):191–198, 1996.
- [12] Grzegorz Bancerek. Translations, endomorphisms, and stable equational theories. Formalized Mathematics, 5(4):553–564, 1996.
- [13] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [14] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [15] Grzegorz Bancerek. Veblen hierarchy. Formalized Mathematics, 19(2):83–92, 2011. doi:10.2478/v10037-011-0014-5.
- [16] Grzegorz Bancerek. Reduction relations. Formalized Mathematics, 5(4):469–478, 1996.
- [17] Grzegorz Bancerek. Introduction to trees. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):421-427, 1990.
- [18] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's lemma. Formalized Mathematics, 2(3):397–402, 1991.
- [19] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sets and functions of trees and joining operations of trees. Formalized Mathematics, 3(2):195–204, 1992.
- [20] Grzegorz Bancerek. Joining of decorated trees. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):77–82, 1993.
- [21] Grzegorz Bancerek. Subtrees. Formalized Mathematics, 5(2):185–190, 1996.
- [22] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [23] Grzegorz Bancerek and Artur Korniłowicz. Yet another construction of free algebra. Formalized Mathematics, 9(4):779–785, 2001.
- [24] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. On defining functions on trees. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):91–101, 1993.
- [25] Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. Formalized Mathematics, 5(4):485–492, 1996.
- [26] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [27] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1): 55-65, 1990.
- [28] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990.

- [29] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [30] Czesław Byliński. Graphs of functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):169–173, 1990.
- [31] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [32] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [33] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [34] Andrzej Kondracki. Basic properties of rational numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5): 841–845, 1990.
- [35] Andrzej Kondracki. The Chinese Remainder Theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 6(4): 573–577, 1997.
- [36] Małgorzata Korolkiewicz. Homomorphisms of many sorted algebras. Formalized Mathematics, 5(1):61–65, 1996.
- [37] Małgorzata Korolkiewicz. Many sorted quotient algebra. Formalized Mathematics, 5(1): 79–84, 1996.
- [38] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent real sequences. Upper and lower bound of sets of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):477–481, 1990.
- [39] Yatsuka Nakamura. Determinant of some matrices of field elements. Formalized Mathematics, 14(1):1–5, 2006. doi:10.2478/v10037-006-0001-4.
- [40] Hiroyuki Okazaki, Yuichi Futa, and Yasunari Shidama. Constructing binary Huffman tree. Formalized Mathematics, 21(2):133–143, 2013. doi:10.2478/forma-2013-0015.
- [41] Beata Perkowska. Free many sorted universal algebra. Formalized Mathematics, 5(1): 67–74, 1996.
- [42] Karol Pak. Abstract simplicial complexes. Formalized Mathematics, 18(1):95–106, 2010. doi:10.2478/v10037-010-0013-y.
- [43] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1): 115–122, 1990.
- [44] Andrzej Trybulec. Function domains and Frænkel operator. Formalized Mathematics, 1 (3):495–500, 1990.
- [45] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1 (2):329–334, 1990.
- [46] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):97–105, 1990.
- [47] Andrzej Trybulec. On the sets inhabited by numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 11(4): 341–347, 2003.
- [48] Andrzej Trybulec. A scheme for extensions of homomorphisms of many sorted algebras. Formalized Mathematics, 5(2):205–209, 1996.
- [49] Andrzej Trybulec. Many sorted algebras. Formalized Mathematics, 5(1):37–42, 1996.
- [50] Andrzej Trybulec. Many sorted sets. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):15-22, 1993.
- [51] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [52] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Non-contiguous substrings and one-to-one finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):569–573, 1990.
- [53] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [54] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67-71, 1990.
- [55] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1 (1):73–83, 1990.
- [56] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990

Received June 13, 2014