

Semiring of Sets: Examples

Roland Coghetto
Rue de la Brasserie 5
7100 La Louvière, Belgium

Summary. This article proposes the formalization of some examples of semiring of sets proposed by Goguadze [8] and Schmets [13].

MSC: 28A05 03E02 03E30 03B35

Keywords: semiring of sets

MML identifier: SRINGS.2, version: 8.1.03 5.23.1204

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [2], [14], [7], [17], [15], [5], [16], [9], [12], [19], [10], [18], and [6].

1. PRELIMINARIES

From now on X denotes a set and S denotes a family of subsets of X .

Now we state the propositions:

- (1) Let us consider sets X_1, X_2 , a family S_1 of subsets of X_1 , and a family S_2 of subsets of X_2 . Then $\{a \times b, \text{ where } a \text{ is an element of } S_1, b \text{ is an element of } S_2 : a \in S_1 \text{ and } b \in S_2\} = \{s, \text{ where } s \text{ is a subset of } X_1 \times X_2 : \text{ there exist sets } a, b \text{ such that } a \in S_1 \text{ and } b \in S_2 \text{ and } s = a \times b\}$. PROOF: $\{a \times b, \text{ where } a \text{ is an element of } S_1, b \text{ is an element of } S_2 : a \in S_1 \text{ and } b \in S_2\} \subseteq \{s, \text{ where } s \text{ is a subset of } X_1 \times X_2 : \text{ there exist sets } a, b \text{ such that } a \in S_1 \text{ and } b \in S_2 \text{ and } s = a \times b\}$ by [6, (96)]. \square
- (2) Let us consider sets X_1, X_2 , a non empty family S_1 of subsets of X_1 , and a non empty family S_2 of subsets of X_2 . Then $\{s, \text{ where } s \text{ is a subset of } X_1 \times X_2 : \text{ there exist sets } x_1, x_2 \text{ such that } x_1 \in S_1 \text{ and } x_2 \in S_2 \text{ and } s = x_1 \times x_2\} = \text{the set of all } x_1 \times x_2 \text{ where } x_1 \text{ is an element of } S_1, x_2 \text{ is an element of } S_2$.
- (3) Let us consider sets X_1, X_2 , a family S_1 of subsets of X_1 , and a family S_2 of subsets of X_2 . Suppose

- (i) S_1 is \cap -closed, and
- (ii) S_2 is \cap -closed.

Then $\{s, \text{ where } s \text{ is a subset of } X_1 \times X_2 : \text{ there exist sets } x_1, x_2 \text{ such that } x_1 \in S_1 \text{ and } x_2 \in S_2 \text{ and } s = x_1 \times x_2\}$ is \cap -closed. PROOF: Set $Y = \{s, \text{ where } s \text{ is a subset of } X_1 \times X_2 : \text{ there exist sets } x_1, x_2 \text{ such that } x_1 \in S_1 \text{ and } x_2 \in S_2 \text{ and } s = x_1 \times x_2\}$. Y is \cap -closed by [6, (100)]. \square

Let X be a set. Note that every σ -field of subsets of X is \cap_{fp} -closed and \bigcup_{fp}^{\subseteq} -closed and has countable cover and empty element.

2. ORDINARY EXAMPLES OF SEMIRINGS OF SETS

Now we state the proposition:

- (4) Every σ -field of subsets of X is a semiring of sets of X .

Let X be a set. Note that 2^X is \cap_{fp} -closed and \bigcup_{fp}^{\subseteq} -closed and has countable cover and empty element as a family of subsets of X .

Now we state the proposition:

- (5) 2^X is a semiring of sets of X .

Let us consider X . Note that $\text{Fin } X$ is \cap_{fp} -closed and \bigcup_{fp}^{\subseteq} -closed and has empty element as a family of subsets of X .

Let D be a denumerable set. Observe that $\text{Fin } D$ has countable cover as a family of subsets of D .

Now we state the propositions:

- (6) $\text{Fin } X$ is a semiring of sets of X .
- (7) Let us consider sets X_1, X_2 , a semiring S_1 of sets of X_1 , and a semiring S_2 of sets of X_2 . Then $\{s, \text{ where } s \text{ is a subset of } X_1 \times X_2 : \text{ there exist sets } x_1, x_2 \text{ such that } x_1 \in S_1 \text{ and } x_2 \in S_2 \text{ and } s = x_1 \times x_2\}$ is a semiring of sets of $X_1 \times X_2$. PROOF: Set $Y = \{s, \text{ where } s \text{ is a subset of } X_1 \times X_2 : \text{ there exist sets } x_1, x_2 \text{ such that } x_1 \in S_1 \text{ and } x_2 \in S_2 \text{ and } s = x_1 \times x_2\}$. Y has empty element. Y is \cap_{fp} -closed by [6, (100)], [4, (8)], [1, (10)]. Y is \bigcup_{fp}^{\subseteq} -closed by [1, (10)], [11, (39)], [4, (8)], [11, (45)]. \square
- (8) Let us consider non empty sets X_1, X_2 , a family S_1 of subsets of X_1 with countable cover, a family S_2 of subsets of X_2 with countable cover, and a family S of subsets of $X_1 \times X_2$. Suppose $S = \{s, \text{ where } s \text{ is a subset of } X_1 \times X_2 : \text{ there exist sets } x_1, x_2 \text{ such that } x_1 \in S_1 \text{ and } x_2 \in S_2 \text{ and } s = x_1 \times x_2\}$. Then S has countable cover. PROOF: There exists a countable subset U of S such that $\bigcup U = X_1 \times X_2$ and U is a subset of S by [6, (2), (77)], [2, (95)], [3, (7)]. \square

Let us consider a family S of subsets of \mathbb{R} . Now we state the propositions:

- (9) Suppose $S = \{[a, b], \text{ where } a, b \text{ are real numbers : } a \leq b\}$. Then

- (i) S is \cap -closed, and
 - (ii) S is \setminus_{fp} -closed and has empty element, and
 - (iii) S has countable cover.
- (10) Suppose $S = \{s, \text{ where } s \text{ is a subset of } \mathbb{R} : s \text{ is left open interval}\}$. Then
- (i) S is \cap -closed, and
 - (ii) S is \setminus_{fp} -closed and has empty element, and
 - (iii) S has countable cover.

PROOF: S is \cap -closed. S has empty element. S is \setminus_{fp} -closed by [11, (39)], [6, (75)]. \square

3. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

The functor string_8^4 yielding a family of subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ is defined by the term

(Def. 1) $\{\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, \{1\}, (\{2\}), (\{3\}), (\{4\}), (\emptyset)\}$.

One can verify that string_8^4 has empty element and string_8^4 is \cap_{fp} -closed and non \cap -closed and string_8^4 is \setminus_{fp} -closed.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Countable sets and Hessenberg's theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):65–69, 1991.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Minimal signature for partial algebra. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):405–414, 1996.
- [5] Józef Białas. Properties of the intervals of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):263–269, 1992.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [7] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [8] D.F. Goguadze. About the notion of semiring of sets. *Mathematical Notes*, 74:346–351, 2003. ISSN 0001-4346. doi:10.1023/A:1026102701631.
- [9] Andrzej Nędzusiak. σ -fields and probability. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):401–407, 1990.
- [10] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [11] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):441–444, 1990.
- [12] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):777–780, 1990.
- [13] Jean Schmets. Théorie de la mesure. Notes de cours, Université de Liège, 146 pages, 2004.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):97–105, 1990.
- [16] Andrzej Trybulec. On the sets inhabited by numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(4):341–347, 2003.

- [17] Andrzej Trybulec and Agata Darmochwał. Boolean domains. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):187–190, 1990.
- [18] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [19] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

Received March 31, 2014
