

Constructing Binary Huffman Tree¹

Hiroyuki Okazaki²
Shinshu University
Nagano, Japan

Yuichi Futa
Japan Advanced Institute
of Science and Technology
Ishikawa, Japan

Yasunari Shidama³
Shinshu University
Nagano, Japan

Summary. Huffman coding is one of a most famous entropy encoding methods for lossless data compression [16]. JPEG and ZIP formats employ variants of Huffman encoding as lossless compression algorithms. Huffman coding is a bijective map from source letters into leaves of the Huffman tree constructed by the algorithm. In this article we formalize an algorithm constructing a binary code tree, Huffman tree.

MSC: 14G50 68P30 03B35

Keywords: formalization of Huffman coding tree; source coding

MML identifier: HUFFMAN1, version: 8.1.02 5.17.1181

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [9], [1], [20], [8], [14], [11], [12], [23], [22], [2], [3], [18], [19], [17], [25], [26], [24], [4], [5], [6], [7], and [13].

1. CONSTRUCTING BINARY DECODED TREES

Let D be a non empty set and x be an element of D . Observe that the root tree of x is binary as a decorated tree.

The functor $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ yielding a set is defined by the term

¹This research was presented during the 2013 International Conference on Foundations of Computer Science FCS'13 in Las Vegas, USA.

²This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI 21240001.

³This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI 22300285.

(Def. 1) $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Note that $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is non empty.

Let D be a non empty set. The binary finite trees of D yielding a set of trees decorated with elements of D is defined by

(Def. 2) Let us consider a tree T decorated with elements of D . Then $\text{dom } T$ is finite and T is binary if and only if $T \in \text{it}$.

The Boolean binary finite trees of D yielding a non empty subset of $2^{\text{the binary finite trees of } D}$ is defined by the term

(Def. 3) $\{x, \text{ where } x \text{ is an element of } 2^\alpha : x \text{ is finite and } x \neq \emptyset\}$, where α is the binary finite trees of D .

In this paper \mathbb{S} denotes a non empty finite set, p denotes a probability on the trivial σ -field of \mathbb{S} , T_1 denotes a finite sequence of elements of the Boolean binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$, and q denotes a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{N} .

Let us consider \mathbb{S} and p . The functor $\text{InitTrees } p$ yielding a non empty finite subset of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is defined by the term

(Def. 4) $\{T, \text{ where } T \text{ is an element of } \text{FinTrees}(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}) : T \text{ is a finite binary tree decorated with elements of } \mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}} \text{ and there exists an element } x \text{ of } \mathbb{S} \text{ such that } T = \text{the root tree of } \langle (\text{CFS}(\mathbb{S}))^{-1}(x), p(\{x\}) \rangle\}$.

Let p be a tree decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. The value of root from right of p yielding a real number is defined by the term

(Def. 5) $p(\emptyset)_2$.

The value of root from left of p yielding a natural number is defined by the term

(Def. 6) $p(\emptyset)_1$.

Let T be a finite binary tree decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and p be an element of $\text{dom } T$. The value of tree of p yielding a real number is defined by the term

(Def. 7) $T(p)_2$.

Let p, q be finite binary trees decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and k be a natural number. The functor $\text{MakeTree}(p, q, k)$ yielding a finite binary tree decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is defined by the term

(Def. 8) $\langle k, (\text{the value of root from right of } p) + (\text{the value of root from right of } q) \rangle\text{-tree}(p, q)$.

Let X be a non empty finite subset of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. The maximal value of X yielding a natural number is defined by

(Def. 9) There exists a non empty finite subset L of \mathbb{N} such that

- (i) $L = \{\text{the value of root from left of } p, \text{ where } p \text{ is an element of the binary finite trees of } \mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}} : p \in X\}$, and
- (ii) $\text{it} = \max L$.

Now we state the propositions:

- (1) Let us consider a non empty finite subset X of the binary finite trees of \mathbb{R}_N and a finite binary tree w decorated with elements of \mathbb{R}_N . Suppose $X = \{w\}$. Then the maximal value of $X =$ the value of root from left of w . PROOF: Consider L being a non empty finite subset of \mathbb{N} such that $L = \{\text{the value of root from left of } p, \text{ where } p \text{ is an element of the binary finite trees of } \mathbb{R}_N : p \in X\}$ and the maximal value of $X = \max L$. For every element n such that $n \in L$ holds $n =$ the value of root from left of w . For every element n such that $n =$ the value of root from left of w holds $n \in L$. \square
- (2) Let us consider non empty finite subsets X, Y, Z of the binary finite trees of \mathbb{R}_N . Suppose $Z = X \cup Y$. Then the maximal value of $Z = \max(\text{the maximal value of } X, \text{the maximal value of } Y)$.
- (3) Let us consider non empty finite subsets X, Z of the binary finite trees of \mathbb{R}_N and a set Y . Suppose $Z = X \setminus Y$. Then the maximal value of $Z \leq$ the maximal value of X . The theorem is a consequence of (2).
- (4) Let us consider a non empty finite subset X of the binary finite trees of \mathbb{R}_N and an element p of the binary finite trees of \mathbb{R}_N . Suppose $p \in X$. Then the value of root from left of $p \leq$ the maximal value of X .

Let X be a non empty finite subset of the binary finite trees of \mathbb{R}_N . A minimal value tree of X is a finite binary tree decorated with elements of \mathbb{R}_N and is defined by

- (Def. 10) (i) $it \in X$, and
- (ii) for every finite binary tree q decorated with elements of \mathbb{R}_N such that $q \in X$ holds the value of root from right of $it \leq$ the value of root from right of q .

Now we state the propositions:

- (5) $\overline{\text{InitTrees } p} = \overline{\mathbb{S}}$. PROOF: Reconsider $f_1 = (\text{CFS}(\mathbb{S}))^{-1}$ as a function from \mathbb{S} into $\text{Seg } \overline{\mathbb{S}}$. Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{element}, \text{element}] \equiv \mathbb{S}_2 =$ the root tree of $\langle f_1(\mathbb{S}_1), p(\{\mathbb{S}_1\}) \rangle$. For every element x such that $x \in \mathbb{S}$ there exists an element y such that $y \in \text{InitTrees } p$ and $\mathcal{P}[x, y]$ by [12, (5)], [13, (87)], [7, (3)]. Consider f being a function from \mathbb{S} into $\text{InitTrees } p$ such that for every element x such that $x \in \mathbb{S}$ holds $\mathcal{P}[x, f(x)]$ from [12, Sch. 1]. \square
- (6) Let us consider a non empty finite subset X of the binary finite trees of \mathbb{R}_N and finite binary trees s, t decorated with elements of \mathbb{R}_N . Then $\text{MakeTree}(t, s, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1)) \notin X$.

Let X be a set. The set of leaves of X yielding a subset of $2^{\mathbb{R}_N}$ is defined by the term

- (Def. 11) $\{\text{Leaves}(p), \text{ where } p \text{ is an element of the binary finite trees of } \mathbb{R}_N : p \in X\}$.

Now we state the propositions:

- (7) Let us consider a finite binary tree X decorated with elements of \mathbb{R}_N . Then the set of leaves of $\{X\} = \{\text{Leaves}(X)\}$. PROOF: For every element x , $x \in$ the set of leaves of $\{X\}$ iff $x \in \{\text{Leaves}(X)\}$. \square
- (8) Let us consider sets X, Y . Then the set of leaves of $X \cup Y =$ (the set of leaves of X) \cup (the set of leaves of Y). PROOF: For every element x , $x \in$ the set of leaves of $X \cup Y$ iff $x \in$ (the set of leaves of X) \cup (the set of leaves of Y). \square
- (9) Let us consider trees s, t . Then $\emptyset \notin \text{Leaves}(\widehat{t, s})$. PROOF: For every element p , $p \in \widehat{t, s}$ iff $p \in$ the elementary tree of 0 by [4, (19), (29)], [10, (130)]. \square
- (10) Let us consider trees s, t . Then $\text{Leaves}(\widehat{t, s}) = \{\langle 0 \rangle \wedge p$, where p is an element of $t : p \in \text{Leaves}(t)\} \cup \{\langle 1 \rangle \wedge p$, where p is an element of $s : p \in \text{Leaves}(s)\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (9). PROOF: Set $L = \{\langle 0 \rangle \wedge p$, where p is an element of $t : p \in \text{Leaves}(t)\}$. Set $R = \{\langle 1 \rangle \wedge p$, where p is an element of $s : p \in \text{Leaves}(s)\}$. Set $H = \text{Leaves}(\widehat{t, s})$. For every element x , $x \in H$ iff $x \in L \cup R$ by [2, (23)], [9, (6)]. \square

Let us consider decorated trees s, t , an element x , and a finite sequence q of elements of \mathbb{N} . Now we state the propositions:

- (11) If $q \in \text{dom } t$, then $(x\text{-tree}(t, s))(\langle 0 \rangle \wedge q) = t(q)$.
- (12) If $q \in \text{dom } s$, then $(x\text{-tree}(t, s))(\langle 1 \rangle \wedge q) = s(q)$.

Now we state the propositions:

- (13) Let us consider decorated trees s, t and an element x . Then $\text{Leaves}(x\text{-tree}(t, s)) = \text{Leaves}(t) \cup \text{Leaves}(s)$. The theorem is a consequence of (10), (11), and (12). PROOF: Set $L = \{\langle 0 \rangle \wedge p$, where p is an element of $\text{dom } t : p \in \text{Leaves}(\text{dom } t)\}$. Set $R = \{\langle 1 \rangle \wedge p$, where p is an element of $\text{dom } s : p \in \text{Leaves}(\text{dom } s)\}$. For every element z , $z \in (x\text{-tree}(t, s))^\circ L$ iff $z \in t^\circ(\text{Leaves}(\text{dom } t))$. For every element z , $z \in (x\text{-tree}(t, s))^\circ R$ iff $z \in s^\circ(\text{Leaves}(\text{dom } s))$. \square
- (14) Let us consider a natural number k and finite binary trees s, t decorated with elements of \mathbb{R}_N . Then \bigcup the set of leaves of $\{s, t\} = \bigcup$ the set of leaves of $\{\text{MakeTree}(t, s, k)\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (8), (7), and (13).
- (15) $\text{Leaves}(\text{the elementary tree of } 0) = \text{the elementary tree of } 0$. PROOF: For every element x , $x \in \text{Leaves}(\text{the elementary tree of } 0)$ iff $x \in$ the elementary tree of 0 by [4, (29), (54)]. \square
- (16) Let us consider an element x , a non empty set D , and a finite binary tree T decorated with elements of D . Suppose $T =$ the root tree of x . Then $\text{Leaves}(T) = \{x\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (15).

2. BINARY HUFFMAN TREE

Let us consider \mathbb{S} , p , T_1 , and q . We say that T_1 , q , and p are constructing binary Huffman tree if and only if

- (Def. 12) (i) $T_1(1) = \text{InitTrees } p$, and
 (ii) $\text{len } T_1 = \overline{\mathbb{S}}$, and
 (iii) for every natural number i such that $1 \leq i < \text{len } T_1$ there exist non empty finite subsets X, Y of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and there exists a minimal value tree s of X and there exists a minimal value tree t of Y and there exists a finite binary tree v decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $T_1(i) = X$ and $Y = X \setminus \{s\}$ and $v \in \{\text{MakeTree}(t, s, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1)), \text{MakeTree}(s, t, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1))\}$ and $T_1(i + 1) = (X \setminus \{t, s\}) \cup \{v\}$, and
 (iv) there exists a finite binary tree T decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\{T\} = T_1(\text{len } T_1)$, and
 (v) $\text{dom } q = \text{Seg } \overline{\mathbb{S}}$, and
 (vi) for every natural number k such that $k \in \text{Seg } \overline{\mathbb{S}}$ holds $q(k) = \overline{T_1(k)}$ and $q(k) \neq 0$, and
 (vii) for every natural number k such that $k < \overline{\mathbb{S}}$ holds $q(k + 1) = q(1) - k$, and
 (viii) for every natural number k such that $1 \leq k < \overline{\mathbb{S}}$ holds $2 \leq q(k)$.

Now we state the proposition:

- (17) There exists T_1 and there exists q such that T_1 , q , and p are constructing binary Huffman tree. The theorem is a consequence of (5) and (6). PROOF: Define $\mathcal{A}[\text{natural number, set, set}] \equiv$ if there exist elements u, v such that $u \neq v$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{S}_2$, then there exist non empty finite subsets X, Y of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and there exists a minimal value tree s of X and there exists a minimal value tree t of Y and there exists a finite binary tree w decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\mathbb{S}_2 = X$ and $Y = X \setminus \{s\}$ and $w \in \{\text{MakeTree}(t, s, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1)), \text{MakeTree}(s, t, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1))\}$ and $\mathbb{S}_3 = (X \setminus \{t, s\}) \cup \{w\}$. For every natural number n such that $1 \leq n < \overline{\mathbb{S}}$ for every element x of the Boolean binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$, there exists an element y of the Boolean binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\mathcal{A}[n, x, y]$. Reconsider $I = \text{InitTrees } p$ as an element of the Boolean binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Consider T_1 being a finite sequence of elements of the Boolean binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\text{len } T_1 = \overline{\mathbb{S}}$ and $T_1(1) = I$ or $\overline{\mathbb{S}} = 0$ and for every natural number n such that $1 \leq n < \overline{\mathbb{S}}$ holds $\mathcal{A}[n, T_1(n), T_1(n + 1)]$ from [15, Sch. 4]. Define $\mathcal{B}[\text{element, element}] \equiv$ there exists a finite set X such that

$T_1(\$_1) = X$ and $\$_2 = \overline{X}$ and $\$_2 \neq 0$. For every natural number k such that $k \in \text{Seg } \overline{\mathbb{S}}$ there exists an element x of \mathbb{N} such that $\mathcal{B}[k, x]$ by [11, (3)]. Consider q being a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{N} such that $\text{dom } q = \text{Seg } \overline{\mathbb{S}}$ and for every natural number k such that $k \in \text{Seg } \overline{\mathbb{S}}$ holds $\mathcal{B}[k, q(k)]$ from [8, Sch. 5]. For every natural number k such that $k \in \text{Seg } \overline{\mathbb{S}}$ holds $q(k) = \overline{T_1(k)}$ and $q(k) \neq 0$. For every natural number k such that $1 \leq k < \overline{\mathbb{S}}$ holds if $2 \leq q(k)$, then $q(k+1) = q(k) - 1$ by [8, (1)], [2, (11), (13)]. Define $\mathcal{C}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ if $\$_1 < \overline{\mathbb{S}}$, then $q(\$_1 + 1) = q(1) - \$_1$. For every natural number n such that $\mathcal{C}[n]$ holds $\mathcal{C}[n+1]$ by [2, (11)], [8, (1)], [2, (14), (13)]. For every natural number n , $\mathcal{C}[n]$ from [2, Sch. 2]. For every natural number n such that $1 \leq n < \overline{\mathbb{S}}$ holds $2 \leq q(n)$ by [2, (21), (13)]. For every natural number k such that $1 \leq k < \text{len } T_1$ there exist non empty finite subsets X, Y of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and there exists a minimal value tree s of X and there exists a minimal value tree t of Y and there exists a finite binary tree w decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $T_1(k) = X$ and $Y = X \setminus \{s\}$ and $w \in \{\text{MakeTree}(t, s, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1)), \text{MakeTree}(s, t, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1))\}$ and $T_1(k+1) = (X \setminus \{t, s\}) \cup \{w\}$ by [8, (1)]. Consider T_2 being a finite set such that $T_1(\overline{\mathbb{S}}) = T_2$ and $q(\overline{\mathbb{S}}) = \overline{T_2}$ and $q(\overline{\mathbb{S}}) \neq 0$. Consider u being an element such that $T_2 = \{u\}$. \square

Let us consider \mathbb{S} and p . A binary Huffman tree of p is a finite binary tree decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and is defined by

- (Def. 13) There exists a finite sequence T_1 of elements of the Boolean binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and there exists a finite sequence q of elements of \mathbb{N} such that T_1, q , and p are constructing binary Huffman tree and $\{it\} = T_1(\text{len } T_1)$.

In this paper T denotes a binary Huffman tree of p .

Now we state the propositions:

- (18) \bigcup the set of leaves of $\text{InitTrees } p = \{z, \text{ where } z \text{ is an element of } \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} : \text{ there exists an element } x \text{ of } \mathbb{S} \text{ such that } z = \langle (\text{CFS}(\mathbb{S}))^{-1}(x), p(\{x\}) \rangle\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (16). PROOF: Set $L = \bigcup$ the set of leaves of $\text{InitTrees } p$. Set $R = \{z, \text{ where } z \text{ is an element of } \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} : \text{ there exists an element } x \text{ of } \mathbb{S} \text{ such that } z = \langle (\text{CFS}(\mathbb{S}))^{-1}(x), p(\{x\}) \rangle\}$. For every element $x, x \in L$ iff $x \in R$ by [13, (87)], [7, (3)]. \square
- (19) Suppose T_1, q , and p are constructing binary Huffman tree. Let us consider a natural number i . Suppose $1 \leq i \leq \text{len } T_1$. Then \bigcup the set of leaves of $T_1(i) = \{z, \text{ where } z \text{ is an element of } \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} : \text{ there exists an element } x \text{ of } \mathbb{S} \text{ such that } z = \langle (\text{CFS}(\mathbb{S}))^{-1}(x), p(\{x\}) \rangle\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (18), (8), and (14). PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ if $\$_1 < \text{len } T_1$, then \bigcup the set of leaves of $T_1(\$_1 + 1) = \{z, \text{ where } z \text{ is an element of } \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} : \text{ there exists an element } x \text{ of } \mathbb{S} \text{ such that } z = \langle (\text{CFS}(\mathbb{S}))^{-1}(x),$

$p(\{x\})\}$. For every natural number k such that $\mathcal{P}[k]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[k + 1]$ by [2, (11)], [13, (78), (32)]. For every natural number k , $\mathcal{P}[k]$ from [2, Sch. 2].
 \square

- (20) $\text{Leaves}(T) = \{z$, where z is an element of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$: there exists an element x of \mathbb{S} such that $z = \langle (\text{CFS}(\mathbb{S}))^{-1}(x), p(\{x\}) \rangle$. The theorem is a consequence of (19) and (7).
- (21) Suppose T_1 , g , and p are constructing binary Huffman tree. Let us consider a natural number i , a finite binary tree T decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$, and elements t, s, r of $\text{dom } T$. Suppose
 - (i) $T \in T_1(i)$, and
 - (ii) $t \in \text{dom } T \setminus \text{Leaves}(\text{dom } T)$, and
 - (iii) $s = t \wedge \langle 0 \rangle$, and
 - (iv) $r = t \wedge \langle 1 \rangle$.

Then the value of tree of $t =$ (the value of tree of s) + (the value of tree of r). The theorem is a consequence of (15), (11), and (12). PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ if $1 \leq \$_1 \leq \text{len } T_1$, then for every finite binary tree T decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and for every elements a, b, c of $\text{dom } T$ such that $T \in T_1(\$_1)$ and $a \in \text{dom } T \setminus \text{Leaves}(\text{dom } T)$ and $b = a \wedge \langle 0 \rangle$ and $c = a \wedge \langle 1 \rangle$ holds the value of tree of $a =$ (the value of tree of b) + (the value of tree of c). For every natural number i such that $\mathcal{P}[i]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[i + 1]$ by [2, (16), (14)], [8, (44)]. For every natural number i , $\mathcal{P}[i]$ from [2, Sch. 2].
 \square

- (22) Let us consider elements t, s, r of $\text{dom } T$. Suppose
 - (i) $t \in \text{dom } T \setminus \text{Leaves}(\text{dom } T)$, and
 - (ii) $s = t \wedge \langle 0 \rangle$, and
 - (iii) $r = t \wedge \langle 1 \rangle$.

Then the value of tree of $t =$ (the value of tree of s) + (the value of tree of r). The theorem is a consequence of (21).

- (23) Let us consider a non empty finite subset X of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Suppose a finite binary tree T decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Suppose $T \in X$. Let us consider an element p of $\text{dom } T$ and an element r of \mathbb{N} . Suppose $r = T(p)_1$. Then $r \leq$ the maximal value of X . Let us consider finite binary trees s, t, w decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Suppose
 - (i) $s, t \in X$, and
 - (ii) $w = \text{MakeTree}(t, s, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1))$.

Let us consider an element p of $\text{dom } w$ and an element r of \mathbb{N} . Suppose $r = w(p)_1$. Then $r \leq$ (the maximal value of X) + 1. The theorem is a consequence of (11) and (12). PROOF: For every element a such that

$a \in \text{dom } d$ holds $a = \emptyset$ or there exists an element f of $\text{dom } t$ such that $a = \langle 0 \rangle \wedge f$ or there exists an element f of $\text{dom } s$ such that $a = \langle 1 \rangle \wedge f$ by [2, (23)]. \square

(24) Suppose T_1 , q , and p are constructing binary Huffman tree. Let us consider a natural number i . Suppose $1 \leq i < \text{len } T_1$. Let us consider non empty finite subsets X, Y of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_\mathbb{N}$. Suppose

- (i) $X = T_1(i)$, and
- (ii) $Y = T_1(i + 1)$.

Then the maximal value of $Y = (\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1$. PROOF: Consider X, Y being non empty finite subsets of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_\mathbb{N}$, s being a minimal value tree of X , t being a minimal value tree of Y , v being a finite binary tree decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_\mathbb{N}$ such that $T_1(i) = X$ and $Y = X \setminus \{s\}$ and $v \in \{\text{MakeTree}(t, s, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1)), \text{MakeTree}(s, t, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1))\}$ and $T_1(i + 1) = (X \setminus \{t, s\}) \cup \{v\}$. Consider L_1 being a non empty finite subset of \mathbb{N} such that $L_1 = \{\text{the value of root from left of } p, \text{ where } p \text{ is an element of the binary finite trees of } \mathbb{R}_\mathbb{N} : p \in X0\}$ and the maximal value of $X0 = \max L_1$. Consider L_4 being a non empty finite subset of \mathbb{N} such that $L_4 = \{\text{the value of root from left of } p, \text{ where } p \text{ is an element of the binary finite trees of } \mathbb{R}_\mathbb{N} : p \in Y0\}$ and the maximal value of $Y0 = \max L_4$. Reconsider $p_1 = v$ as an element of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_\mathbb{N}$. For every extended real x such that $x \in L_4$ holds $x \leq$ the value of root from left of p_1 by [2, (16)]. \square

Let us consider a natural number i , a non empty finite subset X of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_\mathbb{N}$, a finite binary tree T decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_\mathbb{N}$, an element p of $\text{dom } T$, and an element r of \mathbb{N} . Now we state the propositions:

- (25) Suppose T_1 , q , and p are constructing binary Huffman tree. Then if $X = T_1(i)$, then if $T \in X$, then if $r = T(p)_1$, then $r \leq$ the maximal value of X .
- (26) Suppose T_1 , q , and p are constructing binary Huffman tree. Then if $X = T_1(i)$, then if $T \in X$, then if $r = T(p)_1$, then $r \leq$ the maximal value of X .

Now we state the proposition:

(27) Suppose T_1 , q , and p are constructing binary Huffman tree. Let us consider a natural number i , finite binary trees s, t decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_\mathbb{N}$, and a non empty finite subset X of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_\mathbb{N}$. Suppose

- (i) $X = T_1(i)$, and
- (ii) $s, t \in X$.

Let us consider a finite binary tree z decorated with elements of \mathbb{R}_N . Suppose $z \in X$. Then $\langle (\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1, (\text{the value of root from right of } t) + (\text{the value of root from right of } s) \rangle \notin \text{rng } z$. The theorem is a consequence of (26).

Let x be an element. Note that the root tree of x is one-to-one.

Now we state the propositions:

- (28) Let us consider a non empty finite subset X of the binary finite trees of \mathbb{R}_N and finite binary trees s, t, w decorated with elements of \mathbb{R}_N . Suppose
- (i) for every finite binary tree T decorated with elements of \mathbb{R}_N such that $T \in X$ for every element p of $\text{dom } T$ for every element r of \mathbb{N} such that $r = T(p)_1$ holds $r \leq$ the maximal value of X , and
 - (ii) for every finite binary trees p, q decorated with elements of \mathbb{R}_N such that $p, q \in X$ and $p \neq q$ holds $\text{rng } p \cap \text{rng } q = \emptyset$, and
 - (iii) $s, t \in X$, and
 - (iv) $s \neq t$, and
 - (v) $w \in X \setminus \{s, t\}$.

Then $\text{rng } \text{MakeTree}(t, s, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1)) \cap \text{rng } w = \emptyset$. The theorem is a consequence of (11) and (12). PROOF: Set $d = \text{MakeTree}(t, s, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1))$. For every element a such that $a \in \text{dom } d$ holds $a = \emptyset$ or there exists an element f of $\text{dom } t$ such that $a = \langle 0 \rangle \wedge f$ or there exists an element f of $\text{dom } s$ such that $a = \langle 1 \rangle \wedge f$ by [2, (23)]. Consider n_2 being an element such that $n_2 \in \text{rng } d \cap \text{rng } w$. Consider a_1 being an element such that $a_1 \in \text{dom } d$ and $n_2 = d(a_1)$. Consider b_1 being an element such that $b_1 \in \text{dom } w$ and $n_2 = w(b_1)$. $w \in X$ and $w \neq s$ and $w \neq t$. \square

- (29) Suppose T_1, q , and p are constructing binary Huffman tree. Let us consider a natural number i and finite binary trees T, S decorated with elements of \mathbb{R}_N . Suppose
- (i) $T, S \in T_1(i)$, and
 - (ii) $T \neq S$.

Then $\text{rng } T \cap \text{rng } S = \emptyset$. The theorem is a consequence of (26) and (28). PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ if $1 \leq \$_1 \leq \text{len } T_1$, then for every finite binary trees T, S decorated with elements of \mathbb{R}_N such that $T, S \in T_1(\$_1)$ and $T \neq S$ holds $\text{rng } T \cap \text{rng } S = \emptyset$. For every natural number i such that $\mathcal{P}[i]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[i + 1]$ by [21, (8)], [2, (16), (14)]. For every natural number i , $\mathcal{P}[i]$ from [2, Sch. 2]. \square

- (30) Let us consider a non empty finite subset X of the binary finite trees of \mathbb{R}_N and finite binary trees s, t decorated with elements of \mathbb{R}_N . Suppose
- (i) s is one-to-one, and

- (ii) t is one-to-one, and
- (iii) $t, s \in X$, and
- (iv) $\text{rng } s \cap \text{rng } t = \emptyset$, and
- (v) for every finite binary tree z decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $z \in X$ holds $\langle (\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1, (\text{the value of root from right of } t) + (\text{the value of root from right of } s) \rangle \notin \text{rng } z$.

Then $\text{MakeTree}(t, s, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1))$ is one-to-one. The theorem is a consequence of (11) and (12). PROOF: Set $d = \text{MakeTree}(t, s, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1))$. For every element a such that $a \in \text{dom } d$ holds $a = \emptyset$ or there exists an element f of $\text{dom } t$ such that $a = \langle 0 \rangle \hat{\ } f$ or there exists an element f of $\text{dom } s$ such that $a = \langle 1 \rangle \hat{\ } f$ by [2, (23)]. For every element x such that $x \in \text{dom } d$ and $x \neq \emptyset$ holds $d(x) \neq d(\emptyset)$ by [11, (3)]. For every elements x_1, x_2 such that $x_1, x_2 \in \text{dom } d$ and $d(x_1) = d(x_2)$ holds it is not true that there exists an element f of $\text{dom } s$ such that $x_1 = \langle 1 \rangle \hat{\ } f$ and there exists an element f of $\text{dom } t$ such that $x_2 = \langle 0 \rangle \hat{\ } f$ by [11, (3)]. For every elements x_1, x_2 such that $x_1, x_2 \in \text{dom } d$ and $d(x_1) = d(x_2)$ holds $x_1 = x_2$. \square

- (31) Suppose T_1, q , and p are constructing binary Huffman tree. Let us consider a natural number i and a finite binary tree T decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. If $T \in T_1(i)$, then T is one-to-one. The theorem is a consequence of (27), (29), and (30). PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ if $1 \leq \$_1 \leq \text{len } T_1$, then for every finite binary tree T decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $T \in T_1(\$_1)$ holds T is one-to-one. For every natural number i such that $\mathcal{P}[i]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[i + 1]$ by [2, (16), (14)]. For every natural number i , $\mathcal{P}[i]$ from [2, Sch. 2]. \square

Let us consider p .

NOW WE ARE AT THE POSITION WHERE WE CAN PRESENT THE MAIN THEOREM OF THE PAPER: Every binary Huffman tree of p is one-to-one.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Introduction to trees. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):421–427, 1990.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. König’s lemma. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(3):397–402, 1991.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sets and functions of trees and joining operations of trees. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):195–204, 1992.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. Joining of decorated trees. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):77–82, 1993.
- [8] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [9] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. On defining functions on binary trees. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):9–13, 1996.

- [10] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [14] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [15] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Recursive definitions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):321–328, 1990.
- [16] D. A. Huffman. *A method for the construction of minimum-redundancy codes*. Proceedings of the I.R.E, 1952.
- [17] Andrzej Kondracki. Basic properties of rational numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):841–845, 1990.
- [18] Andrzej Nędzusiak. σ -fields and probability. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):401–407, 1990.
- [19] Hiroyuki Okazaki and Yasunari Shidama. Probability on finite set and real-valued random variables. *Formalized Mathematics*, 17(2):129–136, 2009. doi:10.2478/v10037-009-0014-x.
- [20] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [21] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [22] Andrzej Trybulec. On the sets inhabited by numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(4):341–347, 2003.
- [23] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [24] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [25] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [26] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Received June 18, 2013
