

## Random Variables and Product of Probability Spaces<sup>1</sup>

Hiroyuki Okazaki Shinshu University Nagano, Japan Yasunari Shidama Shinshu University Nagano, Japan

**Summary.** We have been working on the formalization of the probability and the randomness. In [15] and [16], we formalized some theorems concerning the real-valued random variables and the product of two probability spaces. In this article, we present the generalized formalization of [15] and [16]. First, we formalize the random variables of arbitrary set and prove the equivalence between random variable on  $\Sigma$ , Borel sets and a real-valued random variable on  $\Sigma$ . Next, we formalize the product of countably infinite probability spaces.

MML identifier: RANDOM\_3, version: 8.1.01 5.7.1169

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [1], [14], [12], [4], [11], [18], [7], [8], [5], [2], [3], [9], [13], [22], [15], [16], [20], [21], [17], [19], [6], and [10].

## 1. RANDOM VARIABLES

In this paper  $\Omega$ ,  $\Omega_1$ ,  $\Omega_2$  denote non empty sets,  $\Sigma$  denotes a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $\Omega$ ,  $S_1$  denotes a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $\Omega_1$ , and  $S_2$  denotes a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $\Omega_2$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(1) Let us consider a non empty set B and a function f. Then  $f^{-1}(\bigcup B) = \bigcup \{f^{-1}(Y) \text{ where } Y \text{ is an element of } B \text{ : not contradiction} \}.$ 

Let us consider a function f from  $\Omega_1$  into  $\Omega_2$ , a sequence B of subsets of  $\Omega_2$ , and a sequence D of subsets of  $\Omega_1$ . Now we state the propositions:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The 1st author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI 21240001, and the 2nd author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI 22300285.

- (2) If for every element n of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $D(n) = f^{-1}(B(n))$ , then  $f^{-1}(\bigcup B) = \bigcup D$ .
- (3) If for every element n of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $D(n) = f^{-1}(B(n))$ , then  $f^{-1}(\text{Intersection } B) = \text{Intersection } D$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (4) Let us consider a function F from  $\Omega$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  and a real number r. Suppose F is a real-valued random variable on  $\Sigma$ . Then  $F^{-1}(]-\infty, r[) \in \Sigma$ . PROOF: Consider X being an element of  $\Sigma$  such that  $X = \Omega$  and F is measurable on X. For every element  $z, z \in F^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)$  iff  $z \in \Omega_{\Sigma} \cap \mathrm{LE}\text{-dom}(F, r)$ .  $\square$
- (5) Let us consider a function F from  $\Omega$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose F is a real-valued random variable on  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\{x \text{ where } x \text{ is an element of the Borel sets} : F^{-1}(x) \text{ is element of } \Sigma\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (4) and (3). PROOF: Set  $S = \{x \text{ where } x \text{ is an element of the Borel sets} : F^{-1}(x) \text{ is an element of } \Sigma\}$ . For every element x such that  $x \in S$  holds  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  the Borel sets. Set  $x \in S$  the element of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Reconsider  $y \in S$  holds  $x \in S$  holds  $x \in S$  holds  $x \in S$ . For every sequence  $x \in S$  such that  $x \in S$  holds  $x \in S$  holds  $x \in S$  holds  $x \in S$ . For every sequence  $x \in S$  holds intersection  $x \in S$  holds intersection  $x \in S$ .  $x \in S$

Let us consider a function f from  $\Omega$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (6) Suppose f is a real-valued random variable on  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\{x \text{ where } x \text{ is an element of the Borel sets}: f^{-1}(x) \text{ is an element of } \Sigma\} = \text{the Borel sets}.$
- (7) f is random variable on  $\Sigma$  and the Borel sets if and only if f is a real-valued random variable on  $\Sigma$ .
- (8) The set of random variables on  $\Sigma$  and the Borel sets = the real-valued random variables set on  $\Sigma$ .

Let us consider  $\Omega_1$ ,  $\Omega_2$ ,  $S_1$ , and  $S_2$ . Let F be a function from  $\Omega_1$  into  $\Omega_2$ . We say that F is  $(S_1, S_2)$ -random variable-like if and only if

(Def. 1) F is random variable on  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ .

Observe that there exists a function from  $\Omega_1$  into  $\Omega_2$  which is  $(S_1, S_2)$ -random variable-like.

A random variable of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  is an  $(S_1, S_2)$ -random variable-like function from  $\Omega_1$  into  $\Omega_2$ . Now we state the proposition:

(9) Let us consider a function f from  $\Omega$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then f is a random variable of  $\Sigma$  and the Borel sets if and only if f is a real-valued random variable on  $\Sigma$ .

Let F be a function. We say that F is random variable family-like if and only if

(Def. 2) Let us consider a set x. Suppose  $x \in \text{dom } F$ . Then there exist non empty sets  $\Omega_1$ ,  $\Omega_2$  and there exists a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $\Omega_1$  and there exists

a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $\Omega_2$  and there exists a random variable f of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  such that F(x) = f.

One can verify that there exists a function which is random variable familylike.

A random variable family is a random variable family-like function. In this paper F denotes a random variable of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ .

Let Y be a non empty set, S be a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of Y, and F be a function. We say that F is S-measure valued if and only if

(Def. 3) Let us consider a set x. If  $x \in \text{dom } F$ , then there exists a  $\sigma$ -measure M on S such that F(x) = M.

Note that there exists a function which is S-measure valued.

Let F be a function. We say that F is S-probability valued if and only if

(Def. 4) Let us consider a set x. If  $x \in \text{dom } F$ , then there exists a probability P on S such that F(x) = P.

Let us note that there exists a function which is S-probability valued.

Let X, Y be non empty sets. One can verify that there exists an S-probability valued function which is X-defined.

One can verify that there exists an X-defined S-probability valued function which is total.

Let Y be a non empty set. Let us note that every function which is S-probability valued is also S-measure valued.

Let F be a function. We say that F is S-random variable family if and only if

(Def. 5) Let us consider a set x. Suppose  $x \in \text{dom } F$ . Then there exists a real-valued random variable Z on S such that F(x) = Z.

Observe that there exists a function which is S-random variable family. Now we state the propositions:

- (10) Let us consider an element y of  $S_2$ . Suppose  $y \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\{z \text{ where } z \text{ is an element of } \Omega_1 : F(z) \text{ is an element of } y\} = F^{-1}(y)$ . PROOF: Set  $D = \{z \text{ where } z \text{ is an element of } \Omega_1 : F(z) \text{ is an element of } y\}$ . For every element  $x, x \in D$  iff  $x \in F^{-1}(y)$ .  $\square$
- (11) Let us consider a random variable F of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . Then
  - (i)  $\{x \text{ where } x \text{ is a subset of } \Omega_1 : \text{ there exists an element } y \text{ of } S_2 \text{ such that } x = F^{-1}(y)\} \subseteq S_1, \text{ and}$
  - (ii)  $\{x \text{ where } x \text{ is a subset of } \Omega_1 : \text{there exists an element } y \text{ of } S_2 \text{ such that } x = F^{-1}(y)\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $\Omega_1$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (3). PROOF: Set  $S = \{x \text{ where } x \text{ is a subset of } \Omega_1 : \text{ there exists an element } y \text{ of } S_2 \text{ such that } x = F^{-1}(y)\}.$  For every element x such that  $x \in S$  holds  $x \in S_1$ . For every subset A of

 $\Omega_1$  such that  $A \in S$  holds  $A^c \in S$ . For every sequence  $A_1$  of subsets of  $\Omega_1$  such that rng  $A_1 \subseteq S$  holds Intersection  $A_1 \in S$ .  $\square$ 

Let us consider  $\Omega_1$ ,  $\Omega_2$ ,  $S_1$ , and  $S_2$ . Let M be a measure on  $S_1$  and F be a random variable of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . The functor the image measure of F and M yielding a measure on  $S_2$  is defined by

(Def. 6) Let us consider an element y of  $S_2$ . Then  $it(y) = M(F^{-1}(y))$ .

Let M be a  $\sigma$ -measure on  $S_1$ . Note that the image measure of F and M is  $\sigma$ -additive.

Now we state the proposition:

(12) Let us consider a probability P on  $S_1$  and a random variable F of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . Then (the image measure of F and P2M P)( $\Omega_2$ ) = 1.

Let us consider  $\Omega_1$ ,  $\Omega_2$ ,  $S_1$ , and  $S_2$ . Let P be a probability on  $S_1$  and F be a random variable of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . The functor probability (F, P) yielding a probability on  $S_2$  is defined by the term

(Def. 7) M2P the image measure of F and P2M P.

Now we state the propositions:

- (13) Let us consider a probability P on  $S_1$  and a random variable F of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . Then probability (F, P) = the image measure of F and P2MP. The theorem is a consequence of (12).
- (14) Let us consider a probability P on  $S_1$ , a random variable F of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , and a set y. If  $y \in S_2$ , then (probability $(F, P)(y) = P(F^{-1}(y))$ ). The theorem is a consequence of (13).
- (15) Every function from  $\Omega_1$  into  $\Omega_2$  is a random variable of the trivial  $\sigma$ -field of  $\Omega_1$  and the trivial  $\sigma$ -field of  $\Omega_2$ .
- (16) Let us consider a non empty set S. Then every non empty finite sequence of elements of S is a random variable of the trivial  $\sigma$ -field of Seg len F and the trivial  $\sigma$ -field of S. The theorem is a consequence of (15).
- (17) Let us consider finite non empty sets V, S, a random variable G of the trivial  $\sigma$ -field of V and the trivial  $\sigma$ -field of S, and a set y. Suppose  $y \in \text{the trivial } \sigma$ -field of S. Then (probability G, the trivial probability of V)) $(y) = \frac{\overline{G^{-1}(y)}}{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (14).
- (18) Let us consider a finite non empty set S, a non empty finite sequence s of elements of S, and a set x. Suppose  $x \in S$ . Then there exists a random variable G of the trivial  $\sigma$ -field of Seg len s and the trivial  $\sigma$ -field of S such that
  - (i) G = s, and
  - (ii) (probability  $(G, \text{the trivial probability of Seg len } s))(\{x\}) = \text{Prob}_{D}(x, s)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (16) and (17).

## 2. Product of Probability Spaces

Let D be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$  and n be a natural number. One can check that D(n) is non empty.

Let S, F be many sorted sets indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ . We say that F is  $\sigma$ -field S-sequence-like if and only if

(Def. 8) Let us consider a natural number n. Then F(n) is a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of S(n).

Let S be a many sorted set indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let us observe that there exists a many sorted set indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$  which is  $\sigma$ -field S-sequence-like.

Let D be a many sorted set indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ . A  $\sigma$ -field sequence of D is a  $\sigma$ -field D-sequence-like many sorted set indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let S be a  $\sigma$ -field sequence of D and n be a natural number. Note that the functor S(n) yields a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of D(n). Let D be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let M be a many sorted set indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ . We say that M is S-probability sequence-like if and only if

(Def. 9) Let us consider a natural number n. Then M(n) is a probability on S(n).

Observe that there exists a many sorted set indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$  which is S-probability sequence-like.

A probability sequence of S is an S-probability sequence-like many sorted set indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let P be a probability sequence of S and n be a natural number. One can verify that the functor P(n) yields a probability on S(n). Let D be a many sorted set indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ . The functor the product domain D yielding a many sorted set indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined by

- (Def. 10) (i) it(0) = D(0), and
  - (ii) for every natural number i,  $it(i+1) = it(i) \times D(i+1)$ .

Now we state the proposition:

- (19) Let us consider a many sorted set D indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then
  - (i) (the product domain D)(0) = D(0), and
  - (ii) (the product domain  $D(1) = D(0) \times D(1)$ , and
  - (iii) (the product domain  $D(2) = D(0) \times D(1) \times D(2)$ , and
  - (iv) (the product domain  $D(3) = D(0) \times D(1) \times D(2) \times D(3)$ .

Let D be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let us note that the product domain D is non-empty.

Let D be a finite-yielding many sorted set indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ . One can check that the product domain D is finite-yielding.

Let us consider  $\Omega$  and  $\Sigma$ . Let P be a set. Assume P is a probability on  $\Sigma$ . The functor modetrans $(P, \Sigma)$  yielding a probability on  $\Sigma$  is defined by the term (Def. 11) P. Let D be a finite-yielding non-empty many sorted set indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ . The functor the trivial  $\sigma$ -field sequence D yielding a  $\sigma$ -field sequence of D is defined by

(Def. 12) Let us consider a natural number n. Then  $it(n) = the trivial <math>\sigma$ -field of D(n).

Let P be a probability sequence of the trivial  $\sigma$ -field sequence D and n be a natural number. One can check that the functor P(n) yields a probability on the trivial  $\sigma$ -field of D(n). The functor ProductProbability(P, D) yielding a many sorted set indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined by

- (Def. 13) (i) it(0) = P(0), and
  - (ii) for every natural number i, it(i+1) = Product-Probability((the product domain D)(i), D(i+1), modetrans (it(i), the trivial  $\sigma$ -field of (the product domain D)(i)), P(i+1)).

Let us consider a finite-yielding non-empty many sorted set D indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ , a probability sequence P of the trivial  $\sigma$ -field sequence D, and a natural number n. Now we state the propositions:

- (20) (ProductProbability(P, D))(n) is a probability on the trivial  $\sigma$ -field of (the product domain D)(n).
- (21) There exists a probability  $P_4$  on the trivial  $\sigma$ -field of (the product domain D)(n) such that
  - (i)  $P_4 = (ProductProbability(P, D))(n)$ , and
  - (ii) (ProductProbability(P, D))(n+1) = Product-Probability((the product domain D)(n), D(n+1),  $P_4$ , P(n+1)).

Now we state the proposition:

- (22) Let us consider a finite-yielding non-empty many sorted set D indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$  and a probability sequence P of the trivial  $\sigma$ -field sequence D. Then
  - (i) (ProductProbability(P, D))(0) = P(0), and
  - (ii) (ProductProbability(P, D))(1) =Product-Probability(D(0), D(1), P(0), P(1)), and
  - (iii) there exists a probability  $P_1$  on the trivial  $\sigma$ -field of  $D(0) \times D(1)$  such that  $P_1 = (\text{ProductProbability}(P, D))(1)$  and  $(\text{ProductProbability}(P, D))(2) = \text{Product-Probability}(D(0) \times D(1), D(2), P_1, P(2))$ , and
  - (iv) there exists a probability  $P_2$  on the trivial  $\sigma$ -field of  $D(0) \times D(1) \times D(2)$  such that  $P_2 = (\text{ProductProbability}(P, D))(2)$  and  $(\text{ProductProbability}(P, D))(3) = \text{Product-Probability}(D(0) \times D(1) \times D(2), D(3), P_2, P(3))$ , and
  - (v) there exists a probability  $P_3$  on the trivial  $\sigma$ -field of  $D(0) \times D(1) \times D(2) \times D(3)$  such that  $P_3 = (ProductProbability(P, D))(3)$  and

(ProductProbability(P, D))(4) = Product-Probability $(D(0) \times D(1) \times D(2) \times D(3), D(4), P_3, P(4)).$ 

The theorem is a consequence of (19) and (21).

## References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [5] Józef Białas. The σ-additive measure theory. Formalized Mathematics, 2(2):263–270, 1991.
- Józef Białas. Series of positive real numbers. Measure theory. Formalized Mathematics, 2(1):173–183, 1991.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1): 55-65, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [11] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [12] Peter Jaeger. Elementary introduction to stochastic finance in discrete time. Formalized Mathematics, 20(1):1–5, 2012. doi:10.2478/v10037-012-0001-5.
- [13] Andrzej Nędzusiak.  $\sigma$ -fields and probability. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):401–407, 1990.
- [14] Hiroyuki Okazaki. Probability on finite and discrete set and uniform distribution. Formalized Mathematics, 17(2):173–178, 2009. doi:10.2478/v10037-009-0020-z.
- [15] Hiroyuki Okazaki and Yasunari Shidama. Probability on finite set and real-valued random variables. Formalized Mathematics, 17(2):129–136, 2009. doi:10.2478/v10037-009-0014-x.
- [16] Hiroyuki Okazaki and Yasunari Shidama. Probability measure on discrete spaces and algebra of real-valued random variables. Formalized Mathematics, 18(4):213–217, 2010. doi:10.2478/v10037-010-0026-6.
- [17] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):147-152, 1990.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec and Agata Darmochwał. Boolean domains. Formalized Mathematics, 1 (1):187–190, 1990.
- [19] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67-71, 1990.
- [20] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1 (1):73–83, 1990.
- [21] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
- [22] Bo Zhang, Hiroshi Yamazaki, and Yatsuka Nakamura. The relevance of measure and probability, and definition of completeness of probability. Formalized Mathematics, 14 (4):225–229, 2006. doi:10.2478/v10037-006-0026-8.

Received December 1, 2012