

On L¹ Space Formed by Complex-Valued Partial Functions

Yasushige Watase 3-21-6 Suginami Tokyo, Japan Noboru Endou Gifu National College of Technology Japan

Yasunari Shidama Shinshu University Nagano, Japan

Summary. In this article, we formalized L^1 space formed by complexvalued partial functions [11], [15]. The real-valued case was formalized in [22] and this article is its generalization.

MML identifier: LPSPACC1, version: 8.0.01 5.4.1165

The notation and terminology used here have been introduced in the following papers: [4], [10], [5], [19], [17], [6], [7], [1], [22], [3], [18], [13], [16], [8], [14], [23], [24], [12], [20], [21], [2], and [9].

1. Preliminaries of Complex Linear Space

Let D be a non empty set and let E be a complex-membered set. One can verify that every element of $D \rightarrow E$ is complex-valued.

Let D be a non empty set, let E be a complex-membered set, and let F_1 , F_2 be elements of $D \rightarrow E$. Then $F_1 + F_2$ is an element of $D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then $F_1 - F_2$ is an element of $D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then $F_1 \cdot F_2$ is an element of $D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then F_1/F_2 is an element of $D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Let D be a non empty set, let E be a complex-membered set, let F be an element of $D \rightarrow E$, and let a be a complex number. Then $a \cdot F$ is an element of $D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Let V be a non empty CLS structure and let V_1 be a subset of V. We say that V_1 is multiplicatively closed if and only if:

349

C 2012 University of Białystok CC-BY-SA License ver. 3.0 or later ISSN 1426-2630(p), 1898-9934(e) (Def. 1) For every complex number a and for every vector v of V such that $v \in V_1$ holds $a \cdot v \in V_1$.

Next we state the proposition

(1) Let V be a complex linear space and V_1 be a subset of V. Then V_1 is linearly closed if and only if V_1 is add closed and multiplicatively closed.

Let V be a non empty CLS structure. One can verify that there exists a non empty subset of V which is add closed and multiplicatively closed.

Let X be a non empty CLS structure and let X_1 be a multiplicatively closed non empty subset of X. The functor $\cdot_{(X_1)}$ yields a function from $\mathbb{C} \times X_1$ into X_1 and is defined by:

(Def. 2) $\cdot_{(X_1)} = (\text{the external multiplication of } X) \upharpoonright (\mathbb{C} \times X_1).$

In the sequel a, b, r denote complex numbers and V denotes a complex linear space.

We now state two propositions:

- (2) Let V be an Abelian add-associative right zeroed vector distributive scalar distributive scalar associative scalar unital non empty CLS structure, V_1 be a non empty subset of V, d_1 be an element of V_1 , A be a binary operation on V_1 , and M be a function from $\mathbb{C} \times V_1$ into V_1 . Suppose $d_1 = 0_V$ and $A = (\text{the addition of } V) \upharpoonright (V_1)$ and $M = (\text{the external multiplication of } V) \upharpoonright (\mathbb{C} \times V_1)$. Then $\langle V_1, d_1, A, M \rangle$ is Abelian, add-associative, right zeroed, vector distributive, scalar distributive, scalar associative, and scalar unital.
- (3) Let V be an Abelian add-associative right zeroed vector distributive scalar distributive scalar associative scalar unital non empty CLS structure and V_1 be an add closed multiplicatively closed non empty subset of V. Suppose $0_V \in V_1$. Then $\langle V_1, 0_V (\in V_1), \text{add } | (V_1, V), \cdot_{(V_1)} \rangle$ is Abelian, add-associative, right zeroed, vector distributive, scalar distributive, scalar associative, and scalar unital.

2. QUASI-COMPLEX LINEAR SPACE OF PARTIAL FUNCTIONS

We follow the rules: A, B are non empty sets and f, g, h are elements of $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Let us consider A. The functor multcpfunc A yielding a binary operation on $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 3) For all elements f, g of $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holds $(\text{multcpfunc } A)(f, g) = f \cdot g$.

Let us consider A. The functor multcomplexcpfunc A yielding a function from $\mathbb{C} \times (A \rightarrow \mathbb{C})$ into $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by:

(Def. 4) For every complex number a and for every element f of $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holds (multcomplexcpfunc A) $(a, f) = a \cdot f$.

350

Let D be a non empty set. The functor addcpfunc D yields a binary operation on $D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and is defined as follows:

- (Def. 5) For all elements F_1 , F_2 of $D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holds $(\text{addcpfunc } D)(F_1, F_2) = F_1 + F_2$. Let A be a set. The functor CPFuncZero A yields an element of $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and is defined by:
- (Def. 6) CPFuncZero $A = A \mapsto 0_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let A be a set. The functor CPFuncUnit A yielding an element of $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 7) CPFuncUnit $A = A \mapsto 1_{\mathbb{C}}$.

The following propositions are true:

- (4) $h = (\operatorname{addcpfunc} A)(f,g)$ iff dom $h = \operatorname{dom} f \cap \operatorname{dom} g$ and for every element x of A such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} h$ holds h(x) = f(x) + g(x).
- (5) h = (multcpfunc A)(f, g) iff dom $h = \text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } g$ and for every element x of A such that $x \in \text{dom } h$ holds $h(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)$.
- (6) CPFuncZero $A \neq$ CPFuncUnit A.
- (7) h = (multcomplexcpfunc A)(a, f) iff dom h = dom f and for every element x of A such that $x \in \text{dom } f$ holds $h(x) = a \cdot f(x)$.

Let us consider A. Note that addcpfunc A is commutative and associative. Observe that multcpfunc A is commutative and associative.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (8) CPFuncUnit A is a unity w.r.t. multcpfunc A.
- (9) CPFuncZero A is a unity w.r.t. addcpfunc A.
- (10) (addcpfunc A)(f, (multcomplexcpfunc A)($-1_{\mathbb{C}}, f$)) = CPFuncZero $A \upharpoonright \text{dom } f$.
- (11) (multcomplexcpfunc A) $(1_{\mathbb{C}}, f) = f$.
- (12) (multcomplexcpfunc A)(a, (multcomplexcpfunc <math>A)(b, f)) = (multcomplexcpfunc A) $(a \cdot b, f)$.
- (13) $(\operatorname{addcpfunc} A)((\operatorname{multcomplexcpfunc} A)(a, f),$ $(\operatorname{multcomplexcpfunc} A)(b, f)) = (\operatorname{multcomplexcpfunc} A)(a + b, f).$
- (14) $(\operatorname{multcpfunc} A)(f, (\operatorname{addcpfunc} A)(g, h)) =$ $(\operatorname{addcpfunc} A)((\operatorname{multcpfunc} A)(f, g), (\operatorname{multcpfunc} A)(f, h)).$
- (15) $(\operatorname{multcpfunc} A)((\operatorname{multcomplexcpfunc} A)(a, f), g) = (\operatorname{multcomplexcpfunc} A)(a, (\operatorname{multcpfunc} A)(f, g)).$

Let us consider A. The functor CLSp PFunct A yields a non empty CLS structure and is defined as follows:

(Def. 8) CLSp PFunct A =

 $\langle A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, CPFuncZero A, addcpfunc A, multcomplexcpfunc A \rangle$.

In the sequel u, v, w are vectors of CLSp PFunct A.

Note that CLSp PFunct A is strict, Abelian, add-associative, right zeroed, vector distributive, scalar distributive, scalar associative, and scalar unital.

3. QUASI-COMPLEX LINEAR SPACE OF INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS

For simplicity, we use the following convention: X is a non empty set, x is an element of X, S is a σ -field of subsets of X, M is a σ -measure on S, E, A are elements of S, and f, g, h, f₁, g₁ are partial functions from X to \mathbb{C} .

Let us consider X and let f be a partial function from X to \mathbb{C} . Note that |f| is non-negative.

Next we state the proposition

(16) Let f be a partial function from X to \mathbb{C} . Suppose dom $f \in S$ and for every x such that $x \in \text{dom } f$ holds 0 = f(x). Then f is integrable on M and $\int f \, dM = 0$.

Let X be a non empty set, let S be a σ -field of subsets of X, and let M be a σ -measure on S. The functor L₁CFunctions M yielding a non empty subset of CLSp PFunct X is defined by the condition (Def. 9).

- (Def. 9) L₁CFunctions $M = \{f; f \text{ ranges over partial functions from } X \text{ to } \mathbb{C}: \bigvee_{N_1: \text{ element of } S} (M(N_1) = 0 \land \text{ dom } f = N_1^c \land f \text{ is integrable on } M)\}.$ The following propositions are true:
 - (17) If $f, g \in L_1$ CFunctions M, then $f + g \in L_1$ CFunctions M.
 - (18) If $f \in L_1$ CFunctions M, then $a \cdot f \in L_1$ CFunctions M.

Note that L_1 CFunctions M is multiplicatively closed and add closed.

The functor CLSp L_1 Funct M yielding a non empty CLS structure is defined by:

(Def. 10) CLSp L₁Funct $M = \langle L_1 CFunctions M, 0_{CLSp PFunct X} (\in L_1 CFunctions M), add | (L_1 CFunctions M, CLSp PFunct X), \cdot_{L_1 CFunctions M} \rangle.$

One can verify that $\text{CLSp } L_1$ Funct M is strict, Abelian, add-associative, right zeroed, vector distributive, scalar distributive, scalar associative, and scalar unital.

4. Quotient Space of Quasi-Complex Linear Space of Integrable Functions

In the sequel v, u are vectors of CLSp L₁Funct M.

Next we state two propositions:

- (19) If f = v and g = u, then f + g = v + u.
- (20) If f = u, then $a \cdot f = a \cdot u$.

Let X be a non empty set, let S be a σ -field of subsets of X, let M be a σ -measure on S, and let f, g be partial functions from X to C. We say that f a.e.cpfunc = g and M if and only if:

(Def. 11) There exists an element E of S such that M(E) = 0 and $f \upharpoonright E^{c} = g \upharpoonright E^{c}$. We now state several propositions:

- (21) Suppose f = u. Then
 - (i) $u + (-1_{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot u = (X \longmapsto 0_{\mathbb{C}}) \upharpoonright \text{dom } f$, and
 - (ii) there exist partial functions v, g from X to \mathbb{C} such that $v, g \in L_1$ CFunctions M and $v = u + (-1_{\mathbb{C}}) \cdot u$ and $g = X \longmapsto 0_{\mathbb{C}}$ and v a.e.cpfunc = g and M.
- (22) f a.e. cpfunc = f and M.
- (23) If f a.e. cpfunc = g and M, then g a.e. cpfunc = f and M.
- (24) If f a.e. cpfunc = g and M and g a.e. cpfunc = h and M, then f a.e. cpfunc = h and M.
- (25) If f a.e.cpfunc = f_1 and M and g a.e.cpfunc = g_1 and M, then f + g a.e.cpfunc = $f_1 + g_1$ and M.
- (26) If f a.e.cpfunc = g and M, then $a \cdot f$ a.e.cpfunc = $a \cdot g$ and M.

Let X be a non empty set, let S be a σ -field of subsets of X, and let M be a σ -measure on S. The almost zero cfunctions of M yields a non empty subset of CLSp L₁Funct M and is defined by the condition (Def. 12).

(Def. 12) The almost zero cfunctions of $M = \{f; f \text{ ranges over partial functions} from X to <math>\mathbb{C}: f \in L_1$ CFunctions $M \land f$ a.e. cpfunc $= X \longmapsto 0_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $M\}$.

One can prove the following proposition

 $(27) \quad (X \longmapsto 0_{\mathbb{C}}) + (X \longmapsto 0_{\mathbb{C}}) = X \longmapsto 0_{\mathbb{C}} \text{ and } a \cdot (X \longmapsto 0_{\mathbb{C}}) = X \longmapsto 0_{\mathbb{C}}.$

Let X be a non empty set, let S be a σ -field of subsets of X, and let M be a σ -measure on S. One can check that the almost zero cfunctions of M is add closed and multiplicatively closed.

One can prove the following proposition

(28) $0_{\text{CLSp L}_1\text{Funct }M} = X \longmapsto 0_{\mathbb{C}} \text{ and } 0_{\text{CLSp L}_1\text{Funct }M} \in \text{the almost zero cfunc$ $tions of } M.$

Let X be a non empty set, let S be a σ -field of subsets of X, and let M be a σ -measure on S. The clsp almost zero functions of M yields a non empty CLS structure and is defined by the condition (Def. 13).

(Def. 13) The clsp almost zero functions of $M = \langle \text{the almost zero cfunctions of } M, 0_{\text{CLSp } L_1 \text{Funct } M} (\in \text{the almost zero cfunctions of } M), \text{add } | (\text{the almost zero cfunctions of } M, \text{CLSp } L_1 \text{Funct } M), \cdot_{\text{the almost zero cfunctions of } M} \rangle.$

Let X be a non empty set, let S be a σ -field of subsets of X, and let M be a σ -measure on S. One can check that CLSp L₁Funct M is strict, Abelian,

add-associative, right zeroed, vector distributive, scalar distributive, scalar associative, and scalar unital.

In the sequel v, u are vectors of the clsp almost zero functions of M.

One can prove the following proposition

(29) If f = v and g = u, then f + g = v + u.

Let X be a non empty set, let S be a σ -field of subsets of X, let M be a σ -measure on S, and let f be a partial function from X to C. The functor a.e-Ceq-class(f, M) yields a subset of L₁CFunctions M and is defined as follows:

(Def. 14) a.e-Ceq-class $(f, M) = \{g; g \text{ ranges over partial functions from } X \text{ to } \mathbb{C}:$ $g \in \mathcal{L}_1 \text{CFunctions } M \land f \in \mathcal{L}_1 \text{CFunctions } M \land f \text{ a.e.cpfunc} = g \text{ and } M\}.$

Next we state several propositions:

- (30) If $f, g \in L_1$ CFunctions M, then g a.e.cpfunc = f and M iff $g \in$ a.e.Ceq-class(f, M).
- (31) If $f \in L_1$ CFunctions M, then $f \in a.e.$ Ceq-class(f, M).
- (32) If $f, g \in L_1$ CFunctions M, then a.e-Ceq-class(f, M) = a.e-Ceq-class(g, M) iff f a.e.cpfunc = g and M.
- (33) If $f, g \in L_1$ CFunctions M, then a.e-Ceq-class(f, M) = a.e-Ceq-class<math>(g, M)iff $g \in a.e-Ceq-class<math>(f, M)$.
- (34) If $f, f_1, g, g_1 \in L_1$ CFunctions M and a.e-Ceq-class(f, M) =a.e-Ceq-class (f_1, M) and a.e-Ceq-class(g, M) = a.e-Ceq-class (g_1, M) , then a.e-Ceq-class(f + g, M) = a.e-Ceq-class $(f_1 + g_1, M)$.
- (35) If $f, g \in L_1$ CFunctions M and a.e-Ceq-class(f, M) = a.e-Ceq-class(g, M), then a.e-Ceq-class $(a \cdot f, M)$ = a.e-Ceq-class $(a \cdot g, M)$.

Let X be a non empty set, let S be a σ -field of subsets of X, and let M be a σ -measure on S. The functor CCosetSet M yields a non empty family of subsets of L₁CFunctions M and is defined by:

(Def. 15) CCosetSet $M = \{a.e.Ceq.class(f, M); f \text{ ranges over partial functions} from X to <math>\mathbb{C}: f \in L_1$ CFunctions $M\}$.

Let X be a non empty set, let S be a σ -field of subsets of X, and let M be a σ -measure on S. The functor addCCoset M yields a binary operation on CCosetSet M and is defined by the condition (Def. 16).

(Def. 16) Let A, B be elements of CCosetSet M and a, b be partial functions from X to \mathbb{C} . If $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, then $(\operatorname{addCCoset} M)(A, B) =$ a.e-Ceq-class(a + b, M).

Let X be a non empty set, let S be a σ -field of subsets of X, and let M be a σ -measure on S. The functor zeroCCoset M yielding an element of CCosetSet M is defined by:

(Def. 17) zeroCCoset $M = a.e-Ceq-class(X \mapsto 0_{\mathbb{C}}, M)$.

Let X be a non empty set, let S be a σ -field of subsets of X, and let M be a σ -measure on S. The functor lmultCCoset M yields a function from $\mathbb{C} \times CCosetSet M$ into CCosetSet M and is defined by the condition (Def. 18).

(Def. 18) Let z be a complex number, A be an element of CCosetSet M, and f be a partial function from X to \mathbb{C} . If $f \in A$, then $(\text{lmultCCoset } M)(z, A) = \text{a.e-Ceq-class}(z \cdot f, M)$.

Let X be a non empty set, let S be a σ -field of subsets of X, and let M be a σ -measure on S. The functor Pre-L-CSpace M yields a strict Abelian addassociative right zeroed right complementable vector distributive scalar distributive scalar associative scalar unital non empty CLS structure and is defined by the conditions (Def. 19).

- (Def. 19)(i) The carrier of Pre-L-CSpace M = CCosetSet M,
 - (ii) the addition of Pre-L-CSpace M = addCCoset M,
 - (iii) $0_{\text{Pre-L-CSpace }M} = \text{zeroCCoset }M$, and
 - (iv) the external multiplication of Pre-L-CSpace M = lmultCCoset M.

5. Complex Normed Space of Integrable Functions

Next we state several propositions:

- (36) If $f, g \in L_1$ CFunctions M and f a.e. cpfunc = g and M, then $\int f \, dM = \int g \, dM$.
- (37) If f is integrable on M, then $\int f \, dM \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\int |f| \, dM \in \mathbb{R}$ and |f| is integrable on M.
- (38) If $f, g \in L_1$ CFunctions M and f a.e. cpfunc = g and M, then $|f| =_{\text{a.e.}}^M |g|$ and $\int |f| dM = \int |g| dM$.
- (39) If there exists a vector x of Pre-L-CSpace M such that $f, g \in x$, then f a.e. cpfunc = g and M and f, $g \in L_1$ CFunctions M.
- (40) There exists a function N_2 from the carrier of Pre-L-CSpace M into \mathbb{R} such that for every point x of Pre-L-CSpace M holds there exists a partial function f from X to \mathbb{C} such that $f \in x$ and $N_2(x) = \int |f| \, \mathrm{d}M$.

In the sequel x is a point of Pre-L-CSpace M.

The following two propositions are true:

- (41) If $f \in x$, then f is integrable on M and $f \in L_1$ CFunctions M and |f| is integrable on M.
- (42) If $f, g \in x$, then f a.e. cpfunc = g and M and $\int f dM = \int g dM$ and $\int |f| dM = \int |g| dM$.

Let X be a non empty set, let S be a σ -field of subsets of X, and let M be a σ -measure on S. The functor L-1-CNorm M yields a function from the carrier of Pre-L-CSpace M into \mathbb{R} and is defined by:

(Def. 20) For every point x of Pre-L-CSpace M there exists a partial function f from X to \mathbb{C} such that $f \in x$ and $(\text{L-1-CNorm } M)(x) = \int |f| \, dM$.

Let X be a non empty set, let S be a σ -field of subsets of X, and let M be a σ -measure on S. The functor L-1-CSpace M yields a non empty complex normed space structure and is defined as follows:

(Def. 21) L-1-CSpace $M = \langle \text{the carrier of Pre-L-CSpace } M, \text{ the zero of Pre-L-CSpace } M, \text{ the addition of Pre-L-CSpace } M, \text{ the external multiplication of Pre-L-CSpace } M, \text{ L-1-CNorm } M \rangle.$

In the sequel x denotes a point of L-1-CSpace M.

Next we state several propositions:

- (43)(i) There exists a partial function f from X to \mathbb{C} such that $f \in L_1$ CFunctions M and x = a.e.Ceq-class(f, M) and $||x|| = \int |f| \, dM$, and
- (ii) for every partial function f from X to \mathbb{C} such that $f \in x$ holds $\int |f| dM = ||x||.$
- (44) If $f \in x$, then x = a.e-Ceq-class(f, M) and $||x|| = \int |f| \, dM$.
- (45) If $f \in x$ and $g \in y$, then $f + g \in x + y$ and if $f \in x$, then $a \cdot f \in a \cdot x$.
- (46) If $f \in L_1$ CFunctions M and $\int |f| dM = 0$, then f a.e. cpfunc $= X \longmapsto 0_{\mathbb{C}}$ and M.
- (47) If $f, g \in L_1$ CFunctions M, then $\int |f + g| \, dM \leq \int |f| \, dM + \int |g| \, dM$.

Let X be a non empty set, let S be a σ -field of subsets of X, and let M be a σ measure on S. One can check that L-1-CSpace M is complex normed space-like, vector distributive, scalar distributive, scalar associative, scalar unital, Abelian, add-associative, right zeroed, and right complementable.

References

- Jonathan Backer, Piotr Rudnicki, and Christoph Schwarzweller. Ring ideals. Formalized Mathematics, 9(3):565–582, 2001.
- [2] Józef Białas. Series of positive real numbers. Measure theory. Formalized Mathematics, 2(1):173–183, 1991.
- [3] Józef Białas. The σ -additive measure theory. Formalized Mathematics, 2(2):263–270, 1991.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. The complex numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):507–513, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55– 65, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [10] Noboru Endou. Complex linear space and complex normed space. Formalized Mathematics, 12(2):93–102, 2004.
- [11] P. R. Halmos. *Measure Theory*. Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- [12] Jarosław Kotowicz and Yuji Sakai. Properties of partial functions from a domain to the set of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):279–288, 1992.

356

- [13] Keiko Narita, Noboru Endou, and Yasunari Shidama. Integral of complex-valued measurable function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 16(4):319–324, 2008, doi:10.2478/v10037-008-0039-6.
- [14] Andrzej Nędzusiak. σ -fields and probability. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):401–407, 1990.
- [15] Walter Rudin. Real and Complex Analysis. Mc Graw-Hill, Inc., 1974.
- [16] Yasunari Shidama and Noboru Endou. Integral of real-valued measurable function. Formalized Mathematics, 14(4):143–152, 2006, doi:10.2478/v10037-006-0018-8.
- [17] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec. On the sets inhabited by numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(4):341–347, 2003.
- [19] Andrzej Trybulec and Agata Darmochwał. Boolean domains. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):187–190, 1990.
- [20] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):291–296, 1990.
- [21] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [22] Yasushige Watase, Noboru Endou, and Yasunari Shidama. On L^1 space formed by real-valued partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 16(4):361-369, 2008, doi:10.2478/v10037-008-0044-9.
- [23] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [24] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Received August 27, 2012