

# Products in Categories without Uniqueness of $\mathbf{cod}$ and $\mathbf{dom}$ <sup>1</sup>

Artur Kornilowicz  
Institute of Informatics  
University of Białystok  
Sosnowa 64, 15-887 Białystok  
Poland

**Summary.** The paper introduces Cartesian products in categories without uniqueness of  $\mathbf{cod}$  and  $\mathbf{dom}$ . It is proven that set-theoretical product is the product in the category  $\mathbf{Ens}$  [7].

MML identifier: `ALTCAT_5`, version: 8.0.01 5.3.1162

The papers [10], [6], [1], [8], [2], [3], [4], [9], [12], [11], and [5] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

In this paper  $I$  denotes a set and  $E$  denotes a non empty set.

Let us mention that every binary relation which is empty is also  $\emptyset$ -defined.

Let  $C$  be a graph. We say that  $C$  is functional if and only if:

(Def. 1) For all objects  $a, b$  of  $C$  holds  $\langle a, b \rangle$  is functional.

Let us consider  $E$ . One can verify that  $\mathbf{Ens}_E$  is functional.

Let us observe that there exists a category which is functional and strict.

Let  $C$  be a functional category structure. One can verify that the graph of  $C$  is functional.

Let us observe that there exists a graph which is functional and strict.

Let us note that there exists a category which is functional and strict.

Let  $C$  be a functional graph and let  $a, b$  be objects of  $C$ . Observe that  $\langle a, b \rangle$  is functional.

---

<sup>1</sup>This work has been supported by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education project “Managing a Large Repository of Computer-verified Mathematical Knowledge” (N N519 385136).

Let  $C$  be a non empty category structure and let  $I$  be a set. An objects family of  $I$  and  $C$  is a function from  $I$  into  $C$ .

Let  $C$  be a non empty category structure, let  $o$  be an object of  $C$ , let  $I$  be a set, and let  $f$  be an objects family of  $I$  and  $C$ . A many sorted set indexed by  $I$  is said to be a morphisms family of  $o$  and  $f$  if:

(Def. 2) For every set  $i$  such that  $i \in I$  there exists an object  $o_1$  of  $C$  such that  $o_1 = f(i)$  and  $it(i)$  is a morphism from  $o$  to  $o_1$ .

Let  $C$  be a non empty category structure, let  $o$  be an object of  $C$ , let  $I$  be a non empty set, and let  $f$  be an objects family of  $I$  and  $C$ . Let us note that the morphisms family of  $o$  and  $f$  can be characterized by the following (equivalent) condition:

(Def. 3) For every element  $i$  of  $I$  holds  $it(i)$  is a morphism from  $o$  to  $f(i)$ .

Let  $C$  be a non empty category structure, let  $o$  be an object of  $C$ , let  $I$  be a non empty set, let  $f$  be an objects family of  $I$  and  $C$ , let  $M$  be a morphisms family of  $o$  and  $f$ , and let  $i$  be an element of  $I$ . Then  $M(i)$  is a morphism from  $o$  to  $f(i)$ .

Let  $C$  be a functional non empty category structure, let  $o$  be an object of  $C$ , let  $I$  be a set, and let  $f$  be an objects family of  $I$  and  $C$ . Observe that every morphisms family of  $o$  and  $f$  is function yielding.

Next we state the proposition

(1) Let  $C$  be a non empty category structure,  $o$  be an object of  $C$ , and  $f$  be an objects family of  $\emptyset$  and  $C$ . Then  $\emptyset$  is a morphisms family of  $o$  and  $f$ .

Let  $C$  be a non empty category structure, let  $I$  be a set, let  $A$  be an objects family of  $I$  and  $C$ , let  $B$  be an object of  $C$ , and let  $P$  be a morphisms family of  $B$  and  $A$ . We say that  $P$  is feasible if and only if:

(Def. 4) For every set  $i$  such that  $i \in I$  there exists an object  $o$  of  $C$  such that  $o = A(i)$  and  $P(i) \in \langle B, o \rangle$ .

Let  $C$  be a non empty category structure, let  $I$  be a non empty set, let  $A$  be an objects family of  $I$  and  $C$ , let  $B$  be an object of  $C$ , and let  $P$  be a morphisms family of  $B$  and  $A$ . Let us observe that  $P$  is feasible if and only if:

(Def. 5) For every element  $i$  of  $I$  holds  $P(i) \in \langle B, A(i) \rangle$ .

Let  $C$  be a category, let  $I$  be a set, let  $A$  be an objects family of  $I$  and  $C$ , let  $B$  be an object of  $C$ , and let  $P$  be a morphisms family of  $B$  and  $A$ . We say that  $P$  is projection morphisms family if and only if the condition (Def. 6) is satisfied.

(Def. 6) Let  $X$  be an object of  $C$  and  $F$  be a morphisms family of  $X$  and  $A$ . Suppose  $F$  is feasible. Then there exists a morphism  $f$  from  $X$  to  $B$  such that

(i)  $f \in \langle X, B \rangle$ ,

- (ii) for every set  $i$  such that  $i \in I$  there exists an object  $s_1$  of  $C$  and there exists a morphism  $P_1$  from  $B$  to  $s_1$  such that  $s_1 = A(i)$  and  $P_1 = P(i)$  and  $F(i) = P_1 \cdot f$ , and
- (iii) for every morphism  $f_1$  from  $X$  to  $B$  such that for every set  $i$  such that  $i \in I$  there exists an object  $s_1$  of  $C$  and there exists a morphism  $P_1$  from  $B$  to  $s_1$  such that  $s_1 = A(i)$  and  $P_1 = P(i)$  and  $F(i) = P_1 \cdot f_1$  holds  $f = f_1$ .

Let  $C$  be a category, let  $I$  be a non empty set, let  $A$  be an objects family of  $I$  and  $C$ , let  $B$  be an object of  $C$ , and let  $P$  be a morphisms family of  $B$  and  $A$ . Let us observe that  $P$  is projection morphisms family if and only if the condition (Def. 7) is satisfied.

(Def. 7) Let  $X$  be an object of  $C$  and  $F$  be a morphisms family of  $X$  and  $A$ . Suppose  $F$  is feasible. Then there exists a morphism  $f$  from  $X$  to  $B$  such that

- (i)  $f \in \langle X, B \rangle$ ,
- (ii) for every element  $i$  of  $I$  holds  $F(i) = P(i) \cdot f$ , and
- (iii) for every morphism  $f_1$  from  $X$  to  $B$  such that for every element  $i$  of  $I$  holds  $F(i) = P(i) \cdot f_1$  holds  $f = f_1$ .

Let  $C$  be a category, let  $A$  be an objects family of  $\emptyset$  and  $C$ , and let  $B$  be an object of  $C$ . Note that every morphisms family of  $B$  and  $A$  is feasible.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (2) Let  $C$  be a category,  $A$  be an objects family of  $\emptyset$  and  $C$ , and  $B$  be an object of  $C$ . If  $B$  is terminal, then there exists a morphisms family of  $B$  and  $A$  which is empty and projection morphisms family.
- (3) For every objects family  $A$  of  $I$  and  $\text{Ens}_1$  and for every object  $o$  of  $\text{Ens}_1$  holds  $I \mapsto \emptyset$  is a morphisms family of  $o$  and  $A$ .
- (4) Let  $A$  be an objects family of  $I$  and  $\text{Ens}_1$ ,  $o$  be an object of  $\text{Ens}_1$ , and  $P$  be a morphisms family of  $o$  and  $A$ . If  $P = I \mapsto \emptyset$ , then  $P$  is feasible and projection morphisms family.

Let  $C$  be a category. We say that  $C$  has products if and only if the condition (Def. 8) is satisfied.

(Def. 8) Let  $I$  be a set and  $A$  be an objects family of  $I$  and  $C$ . Then there exists an object  $B$  of  $C$  such that there exists a morphisms family of  $B$  and  $A$  which is feasible and projection morphisms family.

Let us note that  $\text{Ens}_1$  has products.

One can check that there exists a category which has products.

Let  $C$  be a category, let  $I$  be a set, let  $A$  be an objects family of  $I$  and  $C$ , and let  $B$  be an object of  $C$ . We say that  $B$  is  $A$ -cat product-like if and only if:

(Def. 9) There exists a morphisms family of  $B$  and  $A$  which is feasible and projection morphisms family.

Let  $C$  be a category with products, let  $I$  be a set, and let  $A$  be an objects family of  $I$  and  $C$ . One can check that there exists an object of  $C$  which is  $A$ -cat product-like.

Let  $C$  be a category and let  $A$  be an objects family of  $\emptyset$  and  $C$ . Note that every object of  $C$  which is  $A$ -cat product-like is also terminal.

We now state two propositions:

- (5) Let  $C$  be a category,  $A$  be an objects family of  $\emptyset$  and  $C$ , and  $B$  be an object of  $C$ . If  $B$  is terminal, then  $B$  is  $A$ -cat product-like.
- (6) Let  $C$  be a category,  $A$  be an objects family of  $I$  and  $C$ , and  $C_1, C_2$  be objects of  $C$ . Suppose  $C_1$  is  $A$ -cat product-like and  $C_2$  is  $A$ -cat product-like. Then  $C_1, C_2$  are iso.

In the sequel  $A$  is an objects family of  $I$  and  $\text{Ens}_E$ .

Let us consider  $I, E, A$ . Let us assume that  $\coprod A \in E$ . The functor  $\text{EnsCatProductObj } A$  yielding an object of  $\text{Ens}_E$  is defined by:

(Def. 10)  $\text{EnsCatProductObj } A = \coprod A$ .

Let us consider  $I, E, A$ . Let us assume that  $\coprod A \in E$ . The functor  $\text{EnsCatProduct } A$  yields a morphisms family of  $\text{EnsCatProductObj } A$  and  $A$  and is defined by:

(Def. 11) For every set  $i$  such that  $i \in I$  holds  $(\text{EnsCatProduct } A)(i) = \text{proj}(A, i)$ .

We now state four propositions:

- (7) If  $\coprod A \in E$  and  $\coprod A = \emptyset$ , then  $\text{EnsCatProduct } A = I \longmapsto \emptyset$ .
- (8) If  $\coprod A \in E$ , then  $\text{EnsCatProduct } A$  is feasible and projection morphisms family.
- (9) If  $\coprod A \in E$ , then  $\text{EnsCatProductObj } A$  is  $A$ -cat product-like.
- (10) If for all  $I, A$  holds  $\coprod A \in E$ , then  $\text{Ens}_E$  has products.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [6] Beata Madras. Basic properties of objects and morphisms. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):329–334, 1997.
- [7] Zbigniew Semadeni and Antoni Wiweger. *Wstęp do teorii kategorii i funktorów*, volume 45 of *Biblioteka Matematyczna*. PWN, Warszawa, 1978.
- [8] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [9] Andrzej Trybulec. Many sorted sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):15–22, 1993.
- [10] Andrzej Trybulec. Categories without uniqueness of **cod** and **dom**. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):259–267, 1996.
- [11] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.

- [12] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

*Received August 19, 2012*

---