FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 11, Number 4, 2003
University of Białystok

On the Segmentation of a Simple Closed Curve[1]

Andrzej Trybulec
University of Białystok

Summary. The main goal of the work was to introduce the concept of the segmentation of a simple closed curve into (arbitrary small) arcs. The existence of it has been proved by Yatsuka Nakamura [21]. The concept of the gap of a segmentation is also introduced. It is the smallest distance between disjoint segments in the segmentation. For this purpose, the relationship between segments of an arc [24] and segments on a simple closed curve [21] has been shown.

MML Identifier: JORDAN_A.

The papers [30], [35], [10], [3], [2], [29], [1], [13], [8], [9], [7], [4], [34], [25], [33], [22], [20], [28], [15], [26], [27], [18], [6], [12], [31], [19], [14], [16], [17], [23], [5], [24], [21], [11], and [32] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. Preliminaries

The scheme *AndScheme* deals with a non empty set $\mathcal{A}$ and two unary predicates $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{Q}$, and states that:

> $\{a; a$ ranges over elements of $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{P}[a] \ \wedge \ \mathcal{Q}[a]\} = \{a_1; a_1$ ranges over elements of $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{P}[a_1]\} \cap \{a_2; a_2$ ranges over elements of $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{Q}[a_2]\}$

for all values of the parameters.

For simplicity, we follow the rules: C is a simple closed curve, p, q are points of $\mathcal{E}^2_{\mathrm{T}}$, i, j, k, n are natural numbers, and e is a real number.

The following proposition is true

[1]This work has been partially supported by the CALCULEMUS grant HPRN-CT-2000-00102 and TYPES grant IST-1999-29001.

© 2003 University of Białystok
ISSN 1426–2630

(1) For all finite non empty subsets A, B of $\mathbb{R}$ holds $\min(A \cup B) = \min(\min A, \min B)$.

Let T be a non empty topological space. One can check that there exists a subset of T which is compact and non empty.

Next we state several propositions:

(2) Let T be a non empty topological space, f be a continuous real map of T, and A be a compact subset of T. Then $f^\circ A$ is compact.

(3) For every compact subset A of $\mathbb{R}$ and for every non empty subset B of $\mathbb{R}$ such that $B \subseteq A$ holds $\inf B \in A$.

(4) Let A, B be compact non empty subsets of $\mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}$, f be a continuous real map of $[\![\mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}]\!]$, and g be a real map of $\mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}$. Suppose that for every point p of $\mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}$ there exists a subset G of $\mathbb{R}$ such that $G = \{f(p, q); q \text{ ranges over points of } \mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}\colon q \in B\}$ and $g(p) = \inf G$. Then $\inf(f^\circ[\![A, B]\!]) = \inf(g^\circ A)$.

(5) Let A, B be compact non empty subsets of $\mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}$, f be a continuous real map of $[\![\mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}]\!]$, and g be a real map of $\mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}$. Suppose that for every point q of $\mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}$ there exists a subset G of $\mathbb{R}$ such that $G = \{f(p, q); p \text{ ranges over points of } \mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}\colon p \in A\}$ and $g(q) = \inf G$. Then $\inf(f^\circ[\![A, B]\!]) = \inf(g^\circ B)$.

(6) If $q \in \mathrm{LowerArc}(C)$ and $q \neq \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C)$, then $\mathrm{E}_{\max}(C) \leqslant_C q$.

(7) If $q \in \mathrm{UpperArc}(C)$, then $q \leqslant_C \mathrm{E}_{\max}(C)$.

2. The Euclidean Distance

Let us consider n. The functor $\mathrm{EuclDist}(n)$ yielding a real map of $[\![\mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}]\!]$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) For all points p, q of $\mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}$ holds $(\mathrm{EuclDist}(n))(p, q) = |p - q|$.

Let T be a non empty topological space and let f be a real map of T. Let us observe that f is continuous if and only if:

(Def. 2) For every point p of T and for every neighbourhood N of $f(p)$ there exists a neighbourhood V of p such that $f^\circ V \subseteq N$.

Let us consider n. Note that $\mathrm{EuclDist}(n)$ is continuous.

3. On the Distance between Subsets of a Euclidean Space

The following proposition is true

(8) For all non empty compact subsets A, B of $\mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}$ such that A misses B holds $\mathrm{dist}_{\min}(A, B) > 0$.

4. On the Segments

The following propositions are true:

(9) If $p \leqslant_C q$ and $q \leqslant_C \mathrm{E}_{\max}(C)$ and $p \neq q$, then $\mathrm{Segment}(p, q, C) = \mathrm{Segment}(\mathrm{UpperArc}(C), \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C), \mathrm{E}_{\max}(C), p, q)$.

(10) If $\mathrm{E}_{\max}(C) \leqslant_C q$, then $\mathrm{Segment}(\mathrm{E}_{\max}(C), q, C) = \mathrm{Segment}(\mathrm{LowerArc}(C), \mathrm{E}_{\max}(C), \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C), \mathrm{E}_{\max}(C), q)$.

(11) If $\mathrm{E}_{\max}(C) \leqslant_C q$, then $\mathrm{Segment}(q, \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C), C) = \mathrm{Segment}(\mathrm{LowerArc}(C), \mathrm{E}_{\max}(C), \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C), q, \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C))$.

(12) If $p \leqslant_C q$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\max}(C) \leqslant_C p$, then $\mathrm{Segment}(p, q, C) = \mathrm{Segment}(\mathrm{LowerArc}(C), \mathrm{E}_{\max}(C), \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C), p, q)$.

(13) If $p \leqslant_C \mathrm{E}_{\max}(C)$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\max}(C) \leqslant_C q$, then $\mathrm{Segment}(p, q, C) = \mathrm{RSegment}(\mathrm{UpperArc}(C), \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C), \mathrm{E}_{\max}(C), p) \cup \mathrm{LSegment}(\mathrm{LowerArc}(C), \mathrm{E}_{\max}(C), \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C), q)$.

(14) If $p \leqslant_C \mathrm{E}_{\max}(C)$, then $\mathrm{Segment}(p, \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C), C) = \mathrm{RSegment}(\mathrm{UpperArc}(C), \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C), \mathrm{E}_{\max}(C), p) \cup \mathrm{LSegment}(\mathrm{LowerArc}(C), \mathrm{E}_{\max}(C), \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C), \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C))$.

(15) $\mathrm{RSegment}(\mathrm{UpperArc}(C), \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C), \mathrm{E}_{\max}(C), p) = \mathrm{Segment}(\mathrm{UpperArc}(C), \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C), \mathrm{E}_{\max}(C), p, \mathrm{E}_{\max}(C))$.

(16) $\mathrm{LSegment}(\mathrm{LowerArc}(C), \mathrm{E}_{\max}(C), \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C), p) = \mathrm{Segment}(\mathrm{LowerArc}(C), \mathrm{E}_{\max}(C), \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C), \mathrm{E}_{\max}(C), p)$.

(17) For every point p of $\mathcal{E}^2_{\mathrm{T}}$ such that $p \in C$ and $p \neq \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C)$ holds $\mathrm{Segment}(p, \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C), C)$ is an arc from p to $\mathrm{W}_{\min}(C)$.

(18) For all points p, q of $\mathcal{E}^2_{\mathrm{T}}$ such that $p \neq q$ and $p \leqslant_C q$ holds $\mathrm{Segment}(p, q, C)$ is an arc from p to q.

(19) $C = \mathrm{Segment}(\mathrm{W}_{\min}(C), \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C), C)$.

(20) For every point q of $\mathcal{E}^2_{\mathrm{T}}$ such that $q \in C$ holds $\mathrm{Segment}(q, \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C), C)$ is compact.

(21) For all points q_1, q_2 of $\mathcal{E}^2_{\mathrm{T}}$ such that $q_1 \leqslant_C q_2$ holds $\mathrm{Segment}(q_1, q_2, C)$ is compact.

5. The Concept of a Segmentation

Let us consider C. A finite sequence of elements of $\mathcal{E}^2_{\mathrm{T}}$ is said to be a segmentation of C if it satisfies the conditions (Def. 3).

(Def. 3) $\mathrm{It}_1 = \mathrm{W}_{\min}(C)$ and it is one-to-one and $8 \leqslant \mathrm{len}\,\mathrm{it}$ and $\mathrm{rng}\,\mathrm{it} \subseteq C$ and for every natural number i such that $1 \leqslant i$ and $i < \mathrm{len}\,\mathrm{it}$ holds $\mathrm{it}_i \leqslant_C \mathrm{it}_{i+1}$ and for every natural number i such that $1 \leqslant i$ and $i + 1 < \mathrm{len}\,\mathrm{it}$ holds $\mathrm{Segment}(\mathrm{it}_i, \mathrm{it}_{i+1}, C) \cap \mathrm{Segment}(\mathrm{it}_{i+1}, \mathrm{it}_{i+2}, C) =$

$\{\mathrm{it}_{i+1}\}$ and $\mathrm{Segment}(\mathrm{it}_{\mathrm{len\,it}}, \mathrm{it}_1, C) \cap \mathrm{Segment}(\mathrm{it}_1, \mathrm{it}_2, C) = \{\mathrm{it}_1\}$ and $\mathrm{Segment}(\mathrm{it}_{\mathrm{len\,it}-'1}, \mathrm{it}_{\mathrm{len\,it}}, C) \cap \mathrm{Segment}(\mathrm{it}_{\mathrm{len\,it}}, \mathrm{it}_1, C) = \{\mathrm{it}_{\mathrm{len\,it}}\}$ and $\mathrm{Segment}(\mathrm{it}_{\mathrm{len\,it}-'1}, \mathrm{it}_{\mathrm{len\,it}}, C)$ misses $\mathrm{Segment}(\mathrm{it}_1, \mathrm{it}_2, C)$ and for all natural numbers i, j such that $1 \leqslant i$ and $i < j$ and $j < \mathrm{len\,it}$ and i and j are not adjacent holds $\mathrm{Segment}(\mathrm{it}_i, \mathrm{it}_{i+1}, C)$ misses $\mathrm{Segment}(\mathrm{it}_j, \mathrm{it}_{j+1}, C)$ and for every natural number i such that $1 < i$ and $i + 1 < \mathrm{len\,it}$ holds $\mathrm{Segment}(\mathrm{it}_{\mathrm{len\,it}}, \mathrm{it}_1, C)$ misses $\mathrm{Segment}(\mathrm{it}_i, \mathrm{it}_{i+1}, C)$.

Let us consider C. One can verify that every segmentation of C is non trivial.

One can prove the following proposition

(22) For every segmentation S of C and for every i such that $1 \leqslant i$ and $i \leqslant \mathrm{len}\, S$ holds $S_i \in C$.

6. The Segments of a Segmentation

Let us consider C, let i be a natural number, and let S be a segmentation of C. The functor $\mathrm{Segm}(S, i)$ yields a subset of $\mathcal{E}^2_{\mathrm{T}}$ and is defined by:

(Def. 4) $$\mathrm{Segm}(S, i) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{Segment}(S_i, S_{i+1}, C), & \text{if } 1 \leqslant i \text{ and } i < \mathrm{len}\, S, \\ \mathrm{Segment}(S_{\mathrm{len}\, S}, S_1, C), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The following proposition is true

(23) For every segmentation S of C such that $i \in \mathrm{dom}\, S$ holds $\mathrm{Segm}(S, i) \subseteq C$.

Let us consider C, let S be a segmentation of C, and let us consider i. Note that $\mathrm{Segm}(S, i)$ is non empty and compact.

We now state several propositions:

(24) For every segmentation S of C and for every p such that $p \in C$ there exists a natural number i such that $i \in \mathrm{dom}\, S$ and $p \in \mathrm{Segm}(S, i)$.

(25) Let S be a segmentation of C and given i, j. Suppose $1 \leqslant i$ and $i < j$ and $j < \mathrm{len}\, S$ and i and j are not adjacent. Then $\mathrm{Segm}(S, i)$ misses $\mathrm{Segm}(S, j)$.

(26) For every segmentation S of C and for every j such that $1 < j$ and $j < \mathrm{len}\, S -' 1$ holds $\mathrm{Segm}(S, \mathrm{len}\, S)$ misses $\mathrm{Segm}(S, j)$.

(27) Let S be a segmentation of C and given i, j. Suppose $1 \leqslant i$ and $i < j$ and $j < \mathrm{len}\, S$ and i and j are adjacent. Then $\mathrm{Segm}(S, i) \cap \mathrm{Segm}(S, j) = \{S_{i+1}\}$.

(28) Let S be a segmentation of C and given i, j. Suppose $1 \leqslant i$ and $i < j$ and $j < \mathrm{len}\, S$ and i and j are adjacent. Then $\mathrm{Segm}(S, i)$ meets $\mathrm{Segm}(S, j)$.

(29) For every segmentation S of C holds $\mathrm{Segm}(S, \mathrm{len}\, S) \cap \mathrm{Segm}(S, 1) = \{S_1\}$.

(30) For every segmentation S of C holds $\mathrm{Segm}(S, \mathrm{len}\, S)$ meets $\mathrm{Segm}(S, 1)$.

(31) For every segmentation S of C holds $\mathrm{Segm}(S, \mathrm{len}\, S) \cap \mathrm{Segm}(S, \mathrm{len}\, S -' 1) = \{S_{\mathrm{len}\, S}\}$.

(32) For every segmentation S of C holds $\mathrm{Segm}(S, \mathrm{len}\, S)$ meets $\mathrm{Segm}(S, \mathrm{len}\, S -' 1)$.

7. The Diameter of a Segmentation

Let us consider n and let C be a subset of $\mathcal{E}^n_{\mathrm{T}}$. The functor $\emptyset C$ yielding a real number is defined by:

(Def. 5) There exists a subset W of $\mathcal{E}^n$ such that $W = C$ and $\emptyset C = \emptyset W$.

Let us consider C and let S be a segmentation of C. The functor $\emptyset S$ yielding a real number is defined as follows:

(Def. 6) There exists a non empty finite subset S_1 of $\mathbb{R}$ such that $S_1 = \{\emptyset\,\mathrm{Segm}(S, i) : i \in \mathrm{dom}\, S\}$ and $\emptyset S = \max S_1$.

We now state three propositions:

(33) For every segmentation S of C and for every i holds $\emptyset\,\mathrm{Segm}(S, i) \leqslant \emptyset S$.

(34) For every segmentation S of C and for every real number e such that for every i holds $\emptyset\,\mathrm{Segm}(S, i) < e$ holds $\emptyset S < e$.

(35) For every real number e such that $e > 0$ there exists a segmentation S of C such that $\emptyset S < e$.

8. The Concept of the Gap of a Segmentation

Let us consider C and let S be a segmentation of C. The functor $\mathrm{Gap}(S)$ yields a real number and is defined by the condition (Def. 7).

(Def. 7) There exist non empty finite subsets S_1, S_2 of $\mathbb{R}$ such that $S_1 = \{\mathrm{dist}_{\min}(\mathrm{Segm}(S, i), \mathrm{Segm}(S, j)) : 1 \leqslant i \wedge i < j \wedge j < \mathrm{len}\, S \wedge i \text{ and } j \text{ are not adjacent}\}$ and $S_2 = \{\mathrm{dist}_{\min}(\mathrm{Segm}(S, \mathrm{len}\, S), \mathrm{Segm}(S, k)) : 1 < k \wedge k < \mathrm{len}\, S -' 1\}$ and $\mathrm{Gap}(S) = \min(\min S_1, \min S_2)$.

Next we state two propositions:

(36) Let S be a segmentation of C. Then there exists a finite non empty subset F of $\mathbb{R}$ such that $F = \{\mathrm{dist}_{\min}(\mathrm{Segm}(S, i), \mathrm{Segm}(S, j)) : 1 \leqslant i \wedge i < j \wedge j \leqslant \mathrm{len}\, S \wedge \mathrm{Segm}(S, i) \text{ misses } \mathrm{Segm}(S, j)\}$ and $\mathrm{Gap}(S) = \min F$.

(37) For every segmentation S of C holds $\mathrm{Gap}(S) > 0$.

References

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):41–46, 1990.

[2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):91–96, 1990.

[3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sequences of ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**2**):281–290, 1990.

[4] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):107–114, 1990.

[5] Józef Białas and Yatsuka Nakamura. The theorem of Weierstrass. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(**3**):353–359, 1996.

[6] Leszek Borys. Paracompact and metrizable spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(**4**):481–485, 1991.

[7] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):175–180, 1990.
[8] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):55–65, 1990.
[9] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):153–164, 1990.
[10] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):47–53, 1990.
[11] Czesław Byliński and Piotr Rudnicki. Bounding boxes for compact sets in $\mathcal{E}^2$. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(**3**):427–440, 1997.
[12] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**2**):383–386, 1990.
[13] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):165–167, 1990.
[14] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(**4**):599–603, 1991.
[15] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. Metric spaces as topological spaces - fundamental concepts. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(**4**):605–608, 1991.
[16] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space $\mathcal{E}^2_{\mathrm{T}}$. Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(**5**):617–621, 1991.
[17] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space $\mathcal{E}^2_{\mathrm{T}}$. Simple closed curves. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(**5**):663–664, 1991.
[18] Alicia de la Cruz. Totally bounded metric spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(**4**):559–562, 1991.
[19] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**3**):607–610, 1990.
[20] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent real sequences. Upper and lower bound of sets of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**3**):477–481, 1990.
[21] Yatsuka Nakamura. On the dividing function of the simple closed curve into segments. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(**1**):135–138, 1998.
[22] Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, Andrzej Trybulec, and Pauline N. Kawamoto. Preliminaries to circuits, I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(**2**):167–172, 1996.
[23] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. Adjacency concept for pairs of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(**1**):1–3, 1997.
[24] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A decomposition of a simple closed curves and the order of their points. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(**4**):563–572, 1997.
[25] Takaya Nishiyama and Yasuho Mizuhara. Binary arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(**1**):83–86, 1993.
[26] Beata Padlewska. Locally connected spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(**1**):93–96, 1991.
[27] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):223–230, 1990.
[28] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**4**):777–780, 1990.
[29] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of complex numbers. *To appear in Formalized Mathematics.*
[30] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):9–11, 1990.
[31] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(**4**):535–545, 1991.
[32] Andrzej Trybulec. On the minimal distance between sets in Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 10(**3**):153–158, 2002.
[33] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**3**):445–449, 1990.
[34] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**3**):575–579, 1990.
[35] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):67–71, 1990.

Received August 18, 2003