FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Volume 11, Number 2, 2003
University of Białystok

Propositional Calculus for Boolean Valued Functions. Part VII

Shunichi Kobayashi
Matsumoto University
Nagano

Summary. In this paper, we proved some elementary propositional calculus formulae for Boolean valued functions.

MML Identifier: `BVFUNC25`.

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [4], [3], [2], and [1].

We use the following convention: Y is a non empty set and a, b, c, d are elements of $Boolean^Y$.

The following propositions are true:

(1) $\neg(a \Rightarrow b) = a \wedge \neg b$.

(2) $\neg b \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow b = true(Y)$.

(3) $a \Rightarrow b = \neg b \Rightarrow \neg a$.

(4) $a \Leftrightarrow b = \neg a \Leftrightarrow \neg b$.

(5) $a \Rightarrow b = a \Rightarrow a \wedge b$.

(6) $a \Leftrightarrow b = a \vee b \Rightarrow a \wedge b$.

(7) $a \Leftrightarrow \neg a = false(Y)$.

(8) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow c = b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow c$.

(9) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow c = a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow c$.

(10) $a \Leftrightarrow b = a \oplus \neg b$.

(11) $a \wedge (b \oplus c) = a \wedge b \oplus a \wedge c$.

(12) $a \Leftrightarrow b = \neg(a \oplus b)$.

(13) $a \oplus a = false(Y)$.

(14) $a \oplus \neg a = true(Y)$.

© 2003 University of Białystok
ISSN 1426–2630

(15) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a = b \Rightarrow a$.

(16) $(a \vee b) \wedge (\neg a \vee \neg b) = \neg a \wedge b \vee a \wedge \neg b$.

(17) $a \wedge b \vee \neg a \wedge \neg b = (\neg a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee \neg b)$.

(18) $a \oplus (b \oplus c) = (a \oplus b) \oplus c$.

(19) $a \Leftrightarrow b \Leftrightarrow c = a \Leftrightarrow b \Leftrightarrow c$.

(20) $\neg\neg a \Rightarrow a = \mathit{true}(Y)$.

(21) $(a \Rightarrow b) \wedge a \Rightarrow b = \mathit{true}(Y)$.

(22) $a \Rightarrow \neg a \Rightarrow a = \mathit{true}(Y)$.

(23) $\neg a \Rightarrow a \Leftrightarrow a = \mathit{true}(Y)$.

(24) $a \vee (a \Rightarrow b) = \mathit{true}(Y)$.

(25) $(a \Rightarrow b) \vee (c \Rightarrow a) = \mathit{true}(Y)$.

(26) $(a \Rightarrow b) \vee (\neg a \Rightarrow b) = \mathit{true}(Y)$.

(27) $(a \Rightarrow b) \vee (a \Rightarrow \neg b) = \mathit{true}(Y)$.

(28) $\neg a \Rightarrow \neg b \Leftrightarrow b \Rightarrow a = \mathit{true}(Y)$.

(29) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b = \mathit{true}(Y)$.

(30) $a \Rightarrow b = a \Leftrightarrow a \wedge b$.

(31) $a \Rightarrow b = \mathit{true}(Y)$ and $b \Rightarrow a = \mathit{true}(Y)$ iff $a = b$.

(32) $a = \neg a \Rightarrow a$.

(33) $a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a = \mathit{true}(Y)$.

(34) $a = a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a$.

(35) $a = (b \Rightarrow a) \wedge (\neg b \Rightarrow a)$.

(36) $a \wedge b = \neg(a \Rightarrow \neg b)$.

(37) $a \vee b = \neg a \Rightarrow b$.

(38) $a \vee b = a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b$.

(39) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a = \mathit{true}(Y)$.

(40) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow d \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow d \Rightarrow c = \mathit{true}(Y)$.

(41) $(a \Rightarrow b) \wedge a \wedge c \Rightarrow b = \mathit{true}(Y)$.

(42) $b \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow a \wedge b \Rightarrow c = \mathit{true}(Y)$.

(43) $a \wedge b \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow a \wedge b \Rightarrow c \wedge b = \mathit{true}(Y)$.

(44) $a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a \wedge c \Rightarrow b \wedge c = \mathit{true}(Y)$.

(45) $(a \Rightarrow b) \wedge (a \wedge c) \Rightarrow b \wedge c = \mathit{true}(Y)$.

(46) $a \wedge (a \Rightarrow b) \wedge (b \Rightarrow c) \Subset c$.

(47) $(a \vee b) \wedge (a \Rightarrow c) \wedge (b \Rightarrow c) \Subset \neg a \Rightarrow b \vee c$.

Acknowledgments

This research was partially supported by the research funds of the University of Matsumoto.

References

[1] Shunichi Kobayashi and Kui Jia. A theory of Boolean valued functions and partitions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(**2**):249–254, 1998.
[2] Andrzej Trybulec. Function domains and Frænkel operator. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**3**):495–500, 1990.
[3] Edmund Woronowicz. Interpretation and satisfiability in the first order logic. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**4**):739–743, 1990.
[4] Edmund Woronowicz. Many–argument relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**4**):733–737, 1990.

Received February 6, 2003