

Free Product of Groups

Sebastian Koch 
Mainz, Germany¹

Summary. In this article the free product of groups is formalized in the Mizar system.

MSC: 20E06 68V20

Keywords: free group; free-abelian group; free product

MML identifier: GR_FREE0, version: 8.1.14 5.91.1490

INTRODUCTION

The concept of free groups and the free product of groups is widely known, cf. [1], [7], [12] for example. However, a formalization in the Mizar system (cf. [2], [4]) has not taken place until now, even if the overall hierarchy of algebraic structures in the MML seems to be quite rich [5], and formalization of group theory is also promising [11]. This article was primarily written as a necessary precursor to the formalization of the Seifert-Van Kampen theorem, hence the formalization loosely follows that of [6] and does not go into much detail about the properties of the free product or free groups. Anyway, we are motivated by another similar developments in another proof-assistants [3], [8], having in mind that this could result in reusing fundamental groups as described formally in [9] or even more categorical viewpoint as in [10].

After the preliminaries the *free atoms* of a family of groups $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ are introduced: they are the set of all pairs of the form (i, g) with $i \in I$ and $g \in G_i$. This choice allows for the G_i to have non-empty intersections with each other, or all be the same even; some fundamental properties are given in Section 3.

¹mailto: fly.high.android@gmail.com

The typical reduction relation for free products is then defined (Sect. 4) on the set of all finite sequences of free atoms. Afterwards the free product naturally appears as the quotient of the finite sequences of free atoms and the equivalence closure of the reduction relation (Sect. 5) with corresponding injections and factorization given in Sect. 6 and 7. The final section of the article concludes with the definition of the attribute **free-abelian**.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let us consider a finite sequence p . Now we state the propositions:

- (1) If $\text{len } p \neq 0$, then $p \upharpoonright 1 = \langle p(1) \rangle$.
- (2) If $\text{len } p \neq 0$, then $p \upharpoonright \text{len } p - 1 = \langle p(\text{len } p) \rangle$.

Let us consider a function f and an object x . Now we state the propositions:

- (3) If $x \in \text{dom } f$, then $(\text{uncurry}\langle f \rangle)(1, x) = f(x)$.
- (4) If $x \in \text{dom } f$, then $(\text{commute}(\langle f \rangle))(x) = \langle f(x) \rangle$.

Let X be a finite sequence-membered set and R be a binary relation on X . One can verify that every reduction sequence w.r.t. R which is non trivial is also finite sequence-yielding. Now we state the proposition:

- (5) Let us consider a non empty set I , an element i of I , and a group family F of I . If I is trivial, then $F(i)$ and $\prod F$ are isomorphic.

Observe that $\langle \emptyset^*, \cap \rangle$ is non empty and trivial and $\langle \emptyset^*, \cap, \varepsilon \rangle$ is non empty and trivial.

2. THE SET OF FREE ATOMS

From now on x, y, z denote objects, X denotes a set, I denotes a non empty set, i, j denote elements of I , M_0 denotes a multiplicative magma yielding function, M denotes a non empty, multiplicative magma yielding function, M_1, M_2, M_3 denote non empty multiplicative magmas, G denotes a group-like multiplicative magma family of I , and H denotes a group-like, associative multiplicative magma family of I .

Let us consider M_0 . The functor $\text{FreeAtoms}(M_0)$ yielding a binary relation is defined by the term

- (Def. 1) G_α , where α is the support of M_0 .

Now we state the propositions:

- (6) $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{FreeAtoms}(M_0)$ if and only if $x \in \text{dom } M_0$ and $y \in (\text{the support of } M_0)(x)$.

- (7) Let us consider an element i of $\text{dom } M$. Then $\langle i, x \rangle \in \text{FreeAtoms}(M)$ if and only if $x \in$ the carrier of $M(i)$. The theorem is a consequence of (6).
- (8) Let us consider a multiplicative magma family N of I . Then $\langle i, x \rangle \in \text{FreeAtoms}(N)$ if and only if $x \in$ the carrier of $N(i)$. The theorem is a consequence of (6).
- (9) $M_0 = \emptyset$ if and only if $\text{FreeAtoms}(M_0) = \emptyset$. The theorem is a consequence of (7).

Observe that $\text{FreeAtoms}(\emptyset)$ is empty. Let us consider M . One can verify that $\text{FreeAtoms}(M)$ is non empty. Let us consider I and G . Let us observe that $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$ is non empty.

3. PROPERTIES OF THE SET OF FREE ATOMS

Now we state the propositions:

- (10) $\text{FreeAtoms}(M) = \bigcup$ the set of all $\{i\} \times (\text{the carrier of } M(i))$ where i is an element of $\text{dom } M$. The theorem is a consequence of (6) and (7).
- (11) $\text{FreeAtoms}(\langle M_1 \rangle) = \{1\} \times (\text{the carrier of } M_1)$.
- (12) $\text{FreeAtoms}(\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle) = \{1\} \times (\text{the carrier of } M_1) \cup \{2\} \times (\text{the carrier of } M_2)$.
- (13) $\text{FreeAtoms}(\langle M_1, M_2, M_3 \rangle) = (\{1\} \times (\text{the carrier of } M_1) \cup \{2\} \times (\text{the carrier of } M_2)) \cup \{3\} \times (\text{the carrier of } M_3)$.
- (14) Let us consider an element x_1 of M_1 . Then
 - (i) $\langle 1, x_1 \rangle \in \text{FreeAtoms}(\langle M_1 \rangle)$, and
 - (ii) $\langle 1, x_1 \rangle \in \text{FreeAtoms}(\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle)$, and
 - (iii) $\langle 1, x_1 \rangle \in \text{FreeAtoms}(\langle M_1, M_2, M_3 \rangle)$.

The theorem is a consequence of (11), (12), and (13).

- (15) Let us consider an element x_2 of M_2 . Then
 - (i) $\langle 2, x_2 \rangle \in \text{FreeAtoms}(\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle)$, and
 - (ii) $\langle 2, x_2 \rangle \in \text{FreeAtoms}(\langle M_1, M_2, M_3 \rangle)$.

The theorem is a consequence of (12) and (13).

- (16) Let us consider an element x_3 of M_3 . Then $\langle 3, x_3 \rangle \in \text{FreeAtoms}(\langle M_1, M_2, M_3 \rangle)$. The theorem is a consequence of (13).
- (17) $\text{FreeAtoms}(X \longmapsto M_1) = X \times (\text{the carrier of } M_1)$.

Let us consider a multiplicative magma yielding function N_0 . Now we state the propositions:

- (18) $\text{FreeAtoms}(M_0 + N_0) \subseteq \text{FreeAtoms}(M_0) \cup \text{FreeAtoms}(N_0)$. The theorem is a consequence of (6).
- (19) If M_0 tolerates N_0 , then $\text{FreeAtoms}(M_0 + N_0) = \text{FreeAtoms}(M_0) \cup \text{FreeAtoms}(N_0)$. The theorem is a consequence of (18) and (6).
- (20) Let us consider a finite sequence p of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$. Then there exists a finite sequence q of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$ such that
 - (i) $\text{len } p = \text{len } q$, and
 - (ii) for every natural number k and for every element i of I and for every element g of $G(i)$ such that $p(k) = \langle i, g \rangle$ there exists an element h of $G(i)$ such that $g \cdot h = \mathbf{1}_{G(i)}$ and $(\text{Rev}(q))(k) = \langle i, h \rangle$.

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{object}, \text{object}] \equiv \text{there exists an element } i \text{ of } I \text{ and there exist elements } g, h \text{ of } G(i) \text{ such that } p(\$1) = \langle i, g \rangle \text{ and } g \cdot h = \mathbf{1}_{G(i)} \text{ and } \$2 = \langle i, h \rangle$. Consider q' being a finite sequence of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$ such that $\text{dom } q' = \text{Seg } \text{len } p$ and for every natural number k such that $k \in \text{Seg } \text{len } p$ holds $\mathcal{P}[k, q'(k)]$. \square

In the sequel p, q denote finite sequences of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(H)$, g, h denote elements of $H(i)$, and k denotes a natural number. Now we state the propositions:

- (21) There exists q such that
 - (i) $\text{len } p = \text{len } q$, and
 - (ii) for every k, i , and g such that $p(k) = \langle i, g \rangle$ holds $(\text{Rev}(q))(k) = \langle i, g^{-1} \rangle$.

The theorem is a consequence of (20).

- (22) Let us consider an element g of $G(i)$. Then $\langle \langle i, g \rangle \rangle$ is a finite sequence of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$. The theorem is a consequence of (8).
- (23) Let us consider an element g of $G(i)$, and an element h of $G(j)$. Then $\langle \langle i, g \rangle, \langle j, h \rangle \rangle$ is a finite sequence of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$. The theorem is a consequence of (8).

4. REDUCTION RELATION

Let I be a set and G be a group-like multiplicative magma family of I . The functor $\text{ReductionRel}(G)$ yielding a binary relation on $\langle \text{FreeAtoms}(G)^*, \wedge, \varepsilon \rangle$ is defined by

- (Def. 2) if I is empty, then $it = \emptyset$ and if I is not empty, then there exists a non empty set I' and there exists a group-like multiplicative magma family G' of I' such that $I = I'$ and $G = G'$ and for every finite sequences p, q of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G')$, $\langle p, q \rangle \in it$ iff there exist finite sequences $s,$

t of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G')$ and there exists an element i of I' such that $p = (s \cap \langle\langle i, \mathbf{1}_{G'(i)} \rangle\rangle) \cap t$ and $q = s \cap t$ or there exist finite sequences s, t of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G')$ and there exists an element i of I' and there exist elements g, h of $G'(i)$ such that $p = (s \cap \langle\langle i, g \rangle, \langle i, h \rangle\rangle) \cap t$ and $q = (s \cap \langle\langle i, g \cdot h \rangle\rangle) \cap t$.

Let us consider I and G . One can verify that the functor $\text{ReductionRel}(G)$ is defined by

(Def. 3) for every finite sequences p, q of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$, $\langle p, q \rangle \in \text{it}$ iff there exist finite sequences s, t of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$ and there exists an element i of I such that $p = (s \cap \langle\langle i, \mathbf{1}_{G(i)} \rangle\rangle) \cap t$ and $q = s \cap t$ or there exist finite sequences s, t of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$ and there exists an element i of I and there exist elements g, h of $G(i)$ such that $p = (s \cap \langle\langle i, g \rangle, \langle i, h \rangle\rangle) \cap t$ and $q = (s \cap \langle\langle i, g \cdot h \rangle\rangle) \cap t$.

Now we state the propositions:

- (24) Let us consider finite sequences p, q, r of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$. Suppose $\langle p, q \rangle \in \text{ReductionRel}(G)$. Then $\langle p \cap r, q \cap r \rangle, \langle r \cap p, r \cap q \rangle \in \text{ReductionRel}(G)$.
- (25) Let us consider finite sequences p, q of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$, and elements g, h of $G(i)$. Then $\langle (p \cap \langle\langle i, g \rangle, \langle i, h \rangle\rangle) \cap q, (p \cap \langle\langle i, g \cdot h \rangle\rangle) \cap q \rangle \in \text{ReductionRel}(G)$. The theorem is a consequence of (8).
- (26) Let us consider elements g, h of $G(i)$. Then $\langle\langle\langle i, g \rangle, \langle i, h \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle i, g \cdot h \rangle\rangle \rangle \in \text{ReductionRel}(G)$. The theorem is a consequence of (25).
- (27) Let us consider finite sequences p, q of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$. Then $\langle (p \cap \langle\langle i, \mathbf{1}_{G(i)} \rangle\rangle) \cap q, p \cap q \rangle \in \text{ReductionRel}(G)$. The theorem is a consequence of (8).
- (28) $\langle\langle\langle i, \mathbf{1}_{G(i)} \rangle\rangle, \emptyset \rangle \in \text{ReductionRel}(G)$. The theorem is a consequence of (27).
- (29)
 - (i) $\text{dom}(\text{ReductionRel}(G)) \subseteq (\text{FreeAtoms}(G))^*$, and
 - (ii) $\text{rng} \text{ReductionRel}(G) = (\text{FreeAtoms}(G))^*$, and
 - (iii) $\text{field} \text{ReductionRel}(G) = (\text{FreeAtoms}(G))^*$.

The theorem is a consequence of (27).
- (30) Let us consider objects x, y . Suppose $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{ReductionRel}(G)$. Then
 - (i) x is a finite sequence of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$, and
 - (ii) y is a finite sequence of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$.

The theorem is a consequence of (29).
- (31) Let us consider finite sequences p, q of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$, and elements g, h of $G(i)$. Suppose $g \cdot h = \mathbf{1}_{G(i)}$. Then $\text{ReductionRel}(G)$ reduces

$(p \cap \langle \langle i, g \rangle, \langle i, h \rangle \rangle) \cap q$ to $p \cap q$. The theorem is a consequence of (25) and (27).

- (32) Let us consider finite sequences p, q of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$. Suppose $\text{len } p = \text{len } q$ and for every natural number k and for every element i of I and for every elements g, h of $G(i)$ such that $p(k) = \langle i, g \rangle$ and $g \cdot h = \mathbf{1}_{G(i)}$ holds $(\text{Rev}(q))(k) = \langle i, h \rangle$. Then $\text{ReductionRel}(G)$ reduces $p \cap q$ to \emptyset .

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{S}[\text{finite sequence, finite sequence}] \equiv$ if $\text{len } \$1 = \text{len } \2 and for every natural number k and for every element i of I and for every elements g, h of $G(i)$ such that $\$1(k) = \langle i, g \rangle$ and $g \cdot h = \mathbf{1}_{G(i)}$ holds $(\text{Rev}(\$2))(k) = \langle i, h \rangle$, then $\text{ReductionRel}(G)$ reduces $\$1 \cap \2 to \emptyset . Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ for every finite sequences p, q of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$ such that $\text{len } p = \$1$ holds $\mathcal{S}[p, q]$. $\mathcal{P}[0]$. For every natural number n such that $\mathcal{P}[n]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[n+1]$. For every natural number n , $\mathcal{P}[n]$. \square

- (33) Suppose $\text{len } p = \text{len } q$ and for every k, i , and g such that $p(k) = \langle i, g \rangle$ holds $(\text{Rev}(q))(k) = \langle i, g^{-1} \rangle$. Then

- (i) $\text{ReductionRel}(H)$ reduces $p \cap q$ to \emptyset , and
- (ii) $\text{ReductionRel}(H)$ reduces $q \cap p$ to \emptyset .

PROOF: For every k, i , and h such that $q(k) = \langle i, h \rangle$ holds $(\text{Rev}(p))(k) = \langle i, h^{-1} \rangle$. \square

- (34) Let us consider finite sequences p, q . Suppose $\langle p, q \rangle \in \text{ReductionRel}(G)$. Then $\text{len } p = \text{len } q + 1$. The theorem is a consequence of (30).

- (35) Let us consider finite sequences p, q of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$. Suppose $\text{ReductionRel}(G)$ reduces p to q . Then

- (i) $p = q$, or
- (ii) $\text{len } q < \text{len } p$.

PROOF: Consider r being a reduction sequence w.r.t. $\text{ReductionRel}(G)$ such that $r(1) = p$ and $r(\text{len } r) = q$. Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ if $\$1 < \text{len } r$, then $\text{len } r(\$1 + 1) + \$1 = \text{len } p$. For every natural number k such that $\mathcal{P}[k]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[k + 1]$. For every natural number k , $\mathcal{P}[k]$. \square

Let us consider I and G . One can check that $\text{ReductionRel}(G)$ is strongly-normalizing. Now we state the propositions:

- (36) \emptyset is a normal form w.r.t. $\text{ReductionRel}(G)$. The theorem is a consequence of (29) and (34).
- (37) Let us consider an element g of $G(i)$. Suppose $g \neq \mathbf{1}_{G(i)}$. Then $\langle \langle i, g \rangle \rangle$ is a normal form w.r.t. $\text{ReductionRel}(G)$. The theorem is a consequence of (29).

- (38) Let us consider finite sequences p, q_1, q_2 of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$. Suppose $\langle p, q_1 \rangle, \langle p, q_2 \rangle \in \text{ReductionRel}(G)$ and $q_1 \neq q_2$. Then

- (i) there exist finite sequences s, t of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$ and there exists an element i of I and there exist elements f, g, h of $G(i)$ such that $p = (s \cap \langle i, f \rangle, \langle i, g \rangle, \langle i, h \rangle) \cap t$ and $(q_1 = (s \cap \langle i, f \cdot g \rangle, \langle i, h \rangle) \cap t$ and $q_2 = (s \cap \langle i, f \rangle, \langle i, g \cdot h \rangle) \cap t$ or $q_1 = (s \cap \langle i, f \rangle, \langle i, g \cdot h \rangle) \cap t$ and $q_2 = (s \cap \langle i, f \cdot g \rangle, \langle i, h \rangle) \cap t$), or
- (ii) there exist finite sequences r, s, t of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$ and there exist elements i, j of I such that $p = (((r \cap \langle i, \mathbf{1}_{G(i)} \rangle) \cap s) \cap \langle j, \mathbf{1}_{G(j)} \rangle) \cap t$ and $(q_1 = ((r \cap s) \cap \langle j, \mathbf{1}_{G(j)} \rangle) \cap t$ and $q_2 = ((r \cap \langle i, \mathbf{1}_{G(i)} \rangle) \cap s) \cap t$ or $q_1 = ((r \cap \langle i, \mathbf{1}_{G(i)} \rangle) \cap s) \cap t$ and $q_2 = ((r \cap s) \cap \langle j, \mathbf{1}_{G(j)} \rangle) \cap t$)
- or there exist elements g, h of $G(i)$ such that $p = (((r \cap \langle i, g \rangle, \langle i, h \rangle) \cap s) \cap \langle j, \mathbf{1}_{G(j)} \rangle) \cap t$ and $(q_1 = (((r \cap \langle i, g \cdot h \rangle) \cap s) \cap \langle j, \mathbf{1}_{G(j)} \rangle) \cap t$ and $q_2 = ((r \cap \langle i, g \rangle, \langle i, h \rangle) \cap s) \cap t$ or $q_1 = ((r \cap \langle i, g \rangle, \langle i, h \rangle) \cap s) \cap t$ and $q_2 = (((r \cap \langle i, g \cdot h \rangle) \cap s) \cap \langle j, \mathbf{1}_{G(j)} \rangle) \cap t$)
- or $p = (((r \cap \langle j, \mathbf{1}_{G(j)} \rangle) \cap s) \cap \langle i, g \rangle, \langle i, h \rangle) \cap t$ and $(q_1 = (((r \cap \langle j, \mathbf{1}_{G(j)} \rangle) \cap s) \cap \langle i, g \cdot h \rangle) \cap t$ and $q_2 = ((r \cap s) \cap \langle i, g \rangle, \langle i, h \rangle) \cap t$ or $q_1 = ((r \cap s) \cap \langle i, g \rangle, \langle i, h \rangle) \cap t$ and $q_2 = (((r \cap \langle j, \mathbf{1}_{G(j)} \rangle) \cap s) \cap \langle i, g \cdot h \rangle) \cap t$)
- or there exist elements g', h' of $G(j)$ such that $p = (((r \cap \langle i, g \rangle, \langle i, h \rangle) \cap s) \cap \langle j, g' \rangle, \langle j, h' \rangle) \cap t$ and $(q_1 = (((r \cap \langle i, g \cdot h \rangle) \cap s) \cap \langle j, g' \rangle, \langle j, h' \rangle) \cap t$ and $q_2 = (((r \cap \langle i, g \rangle, \langle i, h \rangle) \cap s) \cap \langle j, g' \cdot h' \rangle) \cap t$)
- or $q_1 = (((r \cap \langle i, g \rangle, \langle i, h \rangle) \cap s) \cap \langle j, g' \cdot h' \rangle) \cap t$ and $q_2 = (((r \cap \langle i, g \cdot h \rangle) \cap s) \cap \langle j, g' \rangle, \langle j, h' \rangle) \cap t$).

Let us consider I and H . Observe that $\text{ReductionRel}(H)$ is subcommutative and $\text{ReductionRel}(H)$ is complete and has unique normal form property. Now we state the propositions:

- (39) Let us consider an element g of $H(i)$, and an element h of $H(j)$. Then $\langle i, g \rangle$ and $\langle j, h \rangle$ are convertible w.r.t. $\text{ReductionRel}(H)$ if and only if $g = \mathbf{1}_{H(i)}$ and $h = \mathbf{1}_{H(j)}$ or $i = j$ and $g = h$. The theorem is a consequence of (8), (35), (29), (37), and (28).
- (40) Let us consider finite sequences p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$. Suppose $\text{ReductionRel}(G)$ reduces p_1 to q_1 and $\text{ReductionRel}(G)$ reduces p_2 to q_2 . Then $\text{ReductionRel}(G)$ reduces $p_1 \cap p_2$ to $q_1 \cap q_2$. The theorem is a consequence of (30) and (24).
- (41) Suppose I is trivial. Let us consider a non empty finite sequence p of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$. Then there exists an element g of $G(i)$ such that $\text{ReductionRel}(G)$ reduces p to $\langle i, g \rangle$.

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ for every non empty finite sequence p of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$ such that $\text{len } p = \$1 + 1$ there exists an ele-

ment g of $G(i)$ such that $\text{ReductionRel}(G)$ reduces p to $\langle\langle i, g \rangle\rangle$. $\mathcal{P}[0]$. For every natural number k such that $\mathcal{P}[k]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[k+1]$. For every natural number k , $\mathcal{P}[k]$. Consider k being a natural number such that $\text{len } p = 1+k$. \square

- (42) Let us consider finite sequences p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(H)$. Suppose p_1 and q_1 are convertible w.r.t. $\text{ReductionRel}(H)$ and p_2 and q_2 are convertible w.r.t. $\text{ReductionRel}(H)$. Then $p_1 \cap p_2$ and $q_1 \cap q_2$ are convertible w.r.t. $\text{ReductionRel}(H)$. The theorem is a consequence of (29) and (40).

Let I be a set and H be a group-like, associative multiplicative magma family of I . Observe that $\text{EqCl}(\text{ReductionRel}(H))$ is compatible. Now we state the proposition:

- (43) Suppose $p \cap q$ is a normal form w.r.t. $\text{ReductionRel}(H)$ and $\text{len } p \neq 0$ and $\text{len } q \neq 0$. Then $(p(\text{len } p))_1 \neq (q(1))_1$. The theorem is a consequence of (6), (8), (2), and (1).

5. FREE PRODUCT OF GROUPS

Let I be a set and H be a group-like, associative multiplicative magma family of I . The functor $*H$ yielding a strict multiplicative magma is defined by the term

$$(\text{Def. 4}) \quad \langle \text{FreeAtoms}(H)^*, \cap, \varepsilon \rangle / \text{EqCl}(\text{ReductionRel}(H)).$$

From now on s, t denote elements of $*H$. Now we state the propositions:

- (44) Let us consider a set I , and a group-like, associative multiplicative magma family H of I . Then $\mathbf{1}_{*H} = [\emptyset]_{\text{EqCl}(\text{ReductionRel}(H))}$.
- (45) Let us consider an empty set I , and a group-like, associative multiplicative magma family H of I . Then the carrier of $*H = \{\mathbf{1}_{*H}\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (44).

Let I be a set and H be a group-like, associative multiplicative magma family of I . Let us observe that $*H$ is group-like and non empty.

Observe that the functor $*H$ yields a strict group. Let I be an empty set. Let us note that $*H$ is trivial. Now we state the proposition:

- (46) Suppose $s = [p]_{\text{EqCl}(\text{ReductionRel}(H))}$ and $t = [q]_{\text{EqCl}(\text{ReductionRel}(H))}$. Then $s \cdot t = [p \cap q]_{\text{EqCl}(\text{ReductionRel}(H))}$.

Let us consider I, H, i , and g . The functor $[i, g]$ yielding an element of $*H$ is defined by the term

$$(\text{Def. 5}) \quad [\langle\langle i, g \rangle\rangle]_{\text{EqCl}(\text{ReductionRel}(H))}.$$

Now we state the propositions:

- (47) $\langle\langle i, g \rangle\rangle \in [i, g]$. The theorem is a consequence of (8).
- (48) $[i, \mathbf{1}_{H(i)}] = \mathbf{1}_{*H}$. The theorem is a consequence of (8), (28), and (44).
- (49) Let us consider an element g of $H(i)$, and an element h of $H(j)$. Then $[i, g] = [j, h]$ if and only if $g = \mathbf{1}_{H(i)}$ and $h = \mathbf{1}_{H(j)}$ or $i = j$ and $g = h$. The theorem is a consequence of (8) and (39).
- (50) $[i, g] \cdot [i, h] = [i, g \cdot h]$. The theorem is a consequence of (8), (26), and (46).
- (51) $[i, g]^{-1} = [i, g^{-1}]$. The theorem is a consequence of (50) and (48).
- (52) Let us consider many sorted sets f, g indexed by I .
Then $\text{dom}(\text{commute}(\langle\langle f, g \rangle\rangle)) = I$.
- (53) Let us consider an element g of $G(i)$. Then $\langle\langle i, g \rangle\rangle = (\text{commute}(\langle\langle(\text{the carrier of } G(i)) \longmapsto i, \text{id}_\alpha\rangle\rangle))(g)$, where α is the carrier of $G(i)$. The theorem is a consequence of (4).
- (54) $\text{rng } \text{commute}(\langle\langle(\text{the carrier of } G(i)) \longmapsto i, \text{id}_\alpha\rangle\rangle) = (\{i\} \times (\text{the carrier of } G(i)))^1$, where α is the carrier of $G(i)$. The theorem is a consequence of (52) and (53).

6. ON THE INJECTION

Let us consider I , H , and i . The functor $\text{injection}(H, i)$ yielding a function from $H(i)$ into $*H$ is defined by the term

- (Def. 6) $(\text{the projection onto } \text{Classes EqCl}(\text{ReductionRel}(H))) \cdot (\text{commute}(\langle\langle(\text{the carrier of } H(i)) \longmapsto i, \text{id}_\alpha\rangle\rangle))$, where α is the carrier of $H(i)$.

Now we state the proposition:

- (55) $(\text{injection}(H, i))(g) = [i, g]$. The theorem is a consequence of (47), (52), and (53).

Let us consider I , H , and i . One can check that $\text{injection}(H, i)$ is multiplicative and one-to-one. Now we state the propositions:

- (56) If I is trivial, then $\text{injection}(H, i)$ is bijective. The theorem is a consequence of (41), (8), (55), (44), and (48).
- (57) If I is trivial, then $H(i)$ and $*H$ are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (56).

Let us consider I , H , and s . The functor $\text{nf } s$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(H)$ is defined by

- (Def. 7) $it \in s$ and it is a normal form w.r.t. $\text{ReductionRel}(H)$.

Now we state the propositions:

- (58) If $s = [p]_{\text{EqCl}(\text{ReductionRel}(H))}$, then $\text{nf } s = \text{nf}_{\text{ReductionRel}(H)}(p)$. The theorem is a consequence of (29).
- (59) If $t = [\text{nf } s \upharpoonright k]_{\text{EqCl}(\text{ReductionRel}(H))}$, then $\text{nf } t = \text{nf } s \upharpoonright k$.
 PROOF: $\text{nf } s \upharpoonright k$ is a normal form w.r.t. $\text{ReductionRel}(H)$. \square
- (60) If $t = [(\text{nf } s) \upharpoonright k]_{\text{EqCl}(\text{ReductionRel}(H))}$, then $\text{nf } t = (\text{nf } s) \upharpoonright k$.
 PROOF: $(\text{nf } s) \upharpoonright k$ is a normal form w.r.t. $\text{ReductionRel}(H)$. \square
- (61) $\text{nf } \mathbf{1}_{*H} = \emptyset$. The theorem is a consequence of (44), (58), and (36).
- (62) If $\text{len } \text{nf } s = 0$, then $s = \mathbf{1}_{*H}$. The theorem is a consequence of (44).
- (63) If $g \neq \mathbf{1}_{H(i)}$, then $\text{nf}[i, g] = \langle \langle i, g \rangle \rangle$. The theorem is a consequence of (37), (8), and (47).
- (64) If $\text{len } \text{nf } s = 1$, then there exists i and there exists g such that $g \neq \mathbf{1}_{H(i)}$ and $s = [i, g]$. The theorem is a consequence of (6), (8), and (28).
- (65) Suppose $((\text{nf } s)(\text{len } \text{nf } s))_1 \neq ((\text{nf } t)(1))_1$. Then $\text{nf } s \cdot t = \text{nf } s \cap \text{nf } t$.
 PROOF: Consider p being an element of $\langle \text{FreeAtoms}(H)^*, \cap, \varepsilon \rangle$ such that $s = [p]_{\text{EqCl}(\text{ReductionRel}(H))}$. Consider q being an element of $\langle \text{FreeAtoms}(H)^*, \cap, \varepsilon \rangle$ such that $t = [q]_{\text{EqCl}(\text{ReductionRel}(H))}$. $s \cdot t = [p \cap q]_{\text{EqCl}(\text{ReductionRel}(H))}$. $\text{nf } s \cap \text{nf } t \in [p \cap q]_{\text{EqCl}(\text{ReductionRel}(H))}$. $\text{nf } s \cap \text{nf } t$ is a normal form w.r.t. $\text{ReductionRel}(H)$. \square
- (66) Suppose $k \leq \text{len } \text{nf } s$. Then there exist elements s_1, s_2 of $*H$ such that
 - (i) $s = s_1 \cdot s_2$, and
 - (ii) $\text{nf } s = \text{nf } s_1 \cap \text{nf } s_2$, and
 - (iii) $\text{len } \text{nf } s_1 = k$.

The theorem is a consequence of (46), (59), and (60).

Let us consider I and H . Let G be a group.

A family of homomorphisms from H into G is a function yielding many sorted set indexed by I defined by

(Def. 8) for every element i of I , $it(i)$ is a homomorphism from $H(i)$ to G .

The functor $\text{injection}(H)$ yielding a family of homomorphisms from H into $*H$ is defined by

(Def. 9) for every element i of I , $it(i) = \text{injection}(H, i)$.

Let G be a group and F be a family of homomorphisms from H into G . Let us observe that the functor $\text{uncurry } F$ yields a function from $\text{FreeAtoms}(H)$ into G . Let p be a finite sequence of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(H)$ and F be a function from $\text{FreeAtoms}(H)$ into G . Let us observe that the functor $F \cdot p$ yields a finite sequence of elements of G .

7. ON THE FACTORIZATION

Let us consider I , H , and s . The functor $\text{factorization}(s)$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of $*H$ is defined by the term

(Def. 10) $(\text{uncurry injection}(H)) \cdot (\text{nf } s)$.

Now we state the propositions:

(67) $\text{factorization}(\mathbf{1}_{*H}) = \emptyset$. The theorem is a consequence of (61).

(68) Let us consider an element g of $H(i)$. Suppose $g \neq \mathbf{1}_{H(i)}$.

Then $\text{factorization}([i, g]) = \langle [i, g] \rangle$.

PROOF: $\langle i, g \rangle \in \text{dom}(\text{uncurry injection}(H))$ and $(\text{uncurry injection}(H))(\langle i, g \rangle) = [i, g]$. \square

(69) Suppose $((\text{nf } s)(\text{len nf } s))_1 \neq ((\text{nf } t)(1))_1$. Then $\text{factorization}(s \cdot t) = \text{factorization}(s) \cap \text{factorization}(t)$. The theorem is a consequence of (65).

(70) Let us consider an element s of $*H$, and a natural number k . Suppose $1 \leq k \leq \text{len factorization}(s)$. Then there exists an element i of I and there exists an element g of $H(i)$ such that $(\text{factorization}(s))(k) = [i, g]$. The theorem is a consequence of (6) and (8).

(71) $\prod \text{factorization}(s) = s$.

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ for every element s of $*H$ such that $\text{len nf } s = \$1$ holds $\prod \text{factorization}(s) = s$. $\mathcal{P}[0]$. For every natural number k such that $\mathcal{P}[k]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[k+1]$. For every natural number k , $\mathcal{P}[k]$. \square

Let us consider I and H . Let s be an element of $*H$.

Note that $\prod \text{factorization}(s)$ reduces to s .

8. FREE-ABELIAN GROUPS

Let G_1 , G_2 be groups. One can check that $\langle G_1, G_2 \rangle$ is group-like and associative as a multiplicative magma family of 2.

The functor $(G_1)^*(G_2)$ yielding a strict group is defined by

(Def. 11) there exists a group-like, associative multiplicative magma family H of 2 such that $H = \langle G_1, G_2 \rangle$ and $it = *H$.

Let G be a group. We say that G is free if and only if

(Def. 12) there exists a cardinal number c such that G and $*c \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}^+)$ are isomorphic.

Note that every group which is trivial is also free and \mathbb{Z}^+ is free.

Let c be a cardinal number. Let us note that $*c \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}^+)$ is free as a group.

Now we state the proposition:

- (72) Let us consider groups G, H . If G and H are isomorphic, then G is free iff H is free.

One can verify that there exists a group which is free.

Let G be a group. We say that G is free-abelian if and only if

- (Def. 13) there exists a cardinal number c such that G and $\text{sum}(c \longmapsto (\mathbb{Z}^+))$ are isomorphic.

One can check that every group which is trivial is also free-abelian and \mathbb{Z}^+ is free-abelian.

Let c be a cardinal number. Note that $\text{sum}(c \longmapsto (\mathbb{Z}^+))$ is free-abelian as a group. Now we state the proposition:

- (73) Let us consider groups G, H . If G and H are isomorphic, then G is free-abelian iff H is free-abelian.

Let us observe that there exists a group which is free-abelian.

REFERENCES

- [1] Mark Anthony Armstrong. *Groups and Symmetry*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 1988. Available at <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007-978-1-4757-4034-9>.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, and Karol Pąk. The role of the Mizar Mathematical Library for interactive proof development in Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 61(1):9–32, 2018. doi:10.1007/s10817-017-9440-6.
- [3] Henning Basold, Peter Bruin, and Dominique Lawson. The Directed Van Kampen Theorem in Lean. In Yves Bertot, Temur Kutsia, and Michael Norrish, editors, *15th International Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving, ITP 2024, September 9–14, 2024, Tbilisi, Georgia*, volume 309 of *LIPICS*, pages 8:1–8:18. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2024. doi:10.4230/LIPICS.ITP.2024.8.
- [4] Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, and Adam Naumowicz. Four decades of Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 55(3):191–198, 2015. doi:10.1007/s10817-015-9345-1.
- [5] Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, and Christoph Schwarzweller. On algebraic hierarchies in mathematical repository of Mizar. In M. Ganzha, L. Maciaszek, and M. Paprzycki, editors, *Proceedings of the 2016 Federated Conference on Computer Science and Information Systems (FedCSIS)*, volume 8 of *Annals of Computer Science and Information Systems*, pages 363–371, 2016. doi:10.15439/2016F520.
- [6] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2001. Available at <https://pi.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/AT/AT+.pdf>.
- [7] Mikhail Ivanovich Kargapolov and Yurii Ivanovich Merzljakov. *Fundamentals of the Theory of Groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 1979. <https://link.springer.com/book/9781461299660>.
- [8] Aabid Seeyal Abdul Kharim, T. V. H. Prathamesh, Shweta Rajiv, and Rishi Vyas. Formalizing free groups in Isabelle/HOL: The Nielsen-Schreier theorem and the conjugacy problem. In Catherine Dubois and Manfred Kerber, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, pages 158–173, Cham, 2023. Springer Nature Switzerland. ISBN 978-3-031-42753-4. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-42753-4_11.
- [9] Artur Korniłowicz, Yasunari Shidama, and Adam Grabowski. The fundamental group. *Formalized Mathematics*, 12(3):261–268, 2004.
- [10] Amelia Livingston. Group cohomology in the Lean community library. In Adam Naumowicz and René Thiemann, editors, *14th International Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving (ITP 2023)*, volume 268 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs)*, pages 1–12, 2023. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.ITP.2023.1.

PIcs), pages 22:1–22:17, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2023. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik. ISBN 978-3-95977-284-6. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.ITP.2023.22.

- [11] Alexander M. Nelson. Internal direct products and the universal property of direct product groups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 31(1):101–120, 2023. doi:10.2478/forma-2023-0010.
- [12] Derek J.S. Robinson. *A Course in the Theory of Groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 1996. Available at <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007-978-1-4419-8594-1>.

Received November 2, 2024, Accepted September 4, 2025
