

Conway’s Normal Form in the Mizar System

Karol Pąk 

Faculty of Computer Science
 University of Białystok
 Poland

Summary. This paper presents a formal definition of the Conway normal form, a structured representation uniquely suited to characterising surreal numbers by expressing them as sums within a hierarchically ordered group. To this end, we formalise the first sections of the chapter *The Structure of the General Surreal Number* in Conway’s book. In particular, we define omega maps and prove the existence and uniqueness of the Conway name for surreal numbers.

MSC: 03H05 12J15 68V20

Keywords: surreal number; Conway’s game; normal form

MML identifier: SURREALC, version: 8.1.14 5.90.1489

INTRODUCTION

Conway surreal numbers [12] can be constructed according to two independent principles: the game-theoretic approach [4, 14] and the tree-theoretic approach [7, 8]. In this formalization we use our construction of the \approx equivalence class representative of a surreal number x , called *uniq-surreal*, denoted as $\text{Uniq}_{\mathbf{No}}x$ [17], to unify these two approaches in order to formalize the canonical representation, called normal forms by Conway. The definition of the *Conway Normal Form* allows an analysis of the structure [1] of surreal numbers as an ordered vector space over \mathbb{R} . This framework provides a path for future research on surreal numbers, as it allows e.g. the reformulation of basic arithmetic operations in terms of vector space operations, thus facilitating the application of vector space theory to the analysis of surreal numbers [5], [19], [10].

The formalization follows [4, 8, 9], selected fragments have been described in [18]. For formal developments in another systems, as Coq or Isabelle [20], see [13] and [14]. In Mizar [11] we cannot directly use type-theoretic induction-recursion [6], as we are focused more on set theory [3].

In Section 1, we define the relation between two numbers, x and y , as commensurate if and only if $x < n \cdot y$ and $y < m \cdot x$, for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ (see Def. 1). Then we prove that this relation is both an equivalence and a convex relation. Conway defines this relation using only one natural number, which is equivalent to our approach (see Th7). Additionally, we define and prove the fundamental property of the *infinitesimal less* operator (see Def. 2), as follows: $x <^\infty y$ if $x \cdot n < y$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

Section 2 introduces the Conway ω -map [4, 2] and demonstrates the fundamental property $\omega^0 = 1$ (see Th26), $\omega^{(x+y)} \approx \omega^x \cdot \omega^y$ (see Th27) which are typical for the standard power function. Note that it has an additional properties, namely that $\omega^x <^\infty \omega^y$ for $x < y$. We also examines the behaviour of ω -map in the context of the commensurate and infinitesimal less relations, as well as applying the standard absolute value to extend context for negative surreal numbers.

In Section 3 we prove the existence of the unique characterization of non zero surreal numbers x as pairs consisting of a commensurate leader y and a non zero real number r for a given $x \not\approx 0$ such that $|x - r \cdot \omega^y| <^\infty |x|$. We define $\omega_r(x) = r$, $\omega_y(x) = y$ (see Def. 7, Def. 8).

In the following section, we direct our attention to the convex subclass of surreal numbers differing from s by infinitesimal less than ω^y , which is referred to as the β -term in Conway's handbook, where β is defined in the context of this work. We say that x is (s, y, r) -term if and only if $|x - (s + r \cdot \omega^y)| <^\infty \omega^y$ (Def. 12). Note that our definition of the β -term is based on an explanation provided by Ehrlich ([9], Theorem 13).

In Section 5, in accordance with Ehrlich's approach, we formally introduce the convex subclass $\bigcap s, y, r, \alpha$ as follows:

$$x \in \bigcap s, y, r, \alpha \iff \forall_{\beta < \alpha} x \text{ is } (s(\beta), y(\beta), r(\beta))\text{-term},$$

where s, y are sequences of surreal numbers and r is of real numbers, each of at least α -length. Next proceed to assume that the length of s is at least $\alpha + 1$. A triple (s, y, r) *simplest on α* if $\alpha = 0$ and $s(\alpha) = 0$ or $\alpha \neq 0$, $s(\alpha)$ is $\bigcap s, y, r, \alpha$ and has the smallest birth of all $\bigcap s, y, r, \alpha$ surreal numbers (see Def. 15). Additionally, we call a triple (s, y, r) *simplest up to α* if (s, y, r, β) simplest for all $\beta < \alpha$ (see Def. 16). This section is concluded with the proof of two properties of the sequence s . Firstly, we demonstrate that the sequence s is unique up to position α if it contains only uniq-surreal numbers and if (s, y, r) is in its

simplest up to α (Th77, Th80). Secondly, we provide an example of a sequence \mathbf{s} of uniq-surreal numbers for which $(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r})$ is simplest up to α under the assumption that \mathbf{y} is a strictly decreasing sequence, and that \mathbf{r} is a sequence of non-zero real numbers (Th82). Using these properties, we define Conway's generalisation of partial sums as follows.

Definition 1 (Def. 18) *Let α be an ordinal, $\mathbf{y} = \{\mathbf{y}_\beta\}_{\beta < \alpha}$ be a strictly decreasing sequence of surreal numbers, $\mathbf{r} = \{\mathbf{r}_\beta\}_{\beta < \alpha}$ be a sequence of non-zero real. Consider $\mathbf{s} = \{\mathbf{s}_\beta\}_{\beta \leq \alpha}$ where the triple $(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r})$ is simplest up to α . For each $\beta \leq \alpha$ we define unique expression $\sum_{\gamma < \beta} \omega^{\mathbf{y}_\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{r}_\gamma$ to be \mathbf{s}_β called β th Conway's partial sum.*

In Section 6, we concentrate on the approximation of a given number $x \not\approx 0$ using commensurate leaders. Applying ω -maps, we get $x_1 = x - \omega^{\mathbf{y}_0} \cdot \mathbf{r}_0$ which is infinitely smaller in absolute terms than x where $\mathbf{r}_0 = \omega_r(x)$, $\mathbf{y}_0 = \omega_y(x)$. Then, if $x_1 \not\approx 0$, it is possible to produce another $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{y}_1, x_2$ in a similar manner where $|x_2| <^\infty |x_1| <^\infty |x|$ and $x = \omega^{\mathbf{y}_0} \cdot \mathbf{r}_0 + \omega^{\mathbf{y}_1} \cdot \mathbf{r}_1 + x_2$. We call the constructed sequences (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}) the α -name of x if the remainder is non-zero in each iteration β for $\beta < \alpha$ where α is an ordinal. As we illustrated in Theorem Th101, for any a strictly decreasing sequence of surreal numbers $\mathbf{y} = \{\mathbf{y}_\beta\}_{\beta < \alpha}$ and a sequence of non-zero real $\mathbf{r} = \{\mathbf{r}_\beta\}_{\beta < \alpha}$, (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}) is the α -name of $\sum_{\beta < \alpha} \omega^{\mathbf{y}_\beta} \cdot \mathbf{r}_\beta$. We constructed also an ordinal α and two α -length sequences (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}) , for a given x such that $\sum_{\beta < \alpha} \omega^{\mathbf{y}_\beta} \cdot \mathbf{r}_\beta \approx x$ (see Th100). Finally, we prove that this pair of sequences is unique (see Th102), known as the Conway Normal Form [4].

1. COMMENSURABILITY IN ARCHIMEDEAN CLASSES OF SURREAL NUMBERS

Let s_1, s_2 be non-zero transfinite sequences. One can verify that $s_1 \frown s_2$ is non-zero and there exists a transfinite sequence of elements of \mathbb{R} which is non-zero. Let R be a non-zero binary relation and X be a set. One can check that $R \setminus X$ is non-zero. From now on o denotes an object, x, y, z denote surreal numbers, and r, r_1, r_2 denote real numbers. Now we state the proposition:

- (1) $s_{\mathbb{R}}(r) \in \text{Day}\omega$.

The functor ω yielding a **On** unique surreal number is defined by the term (Def. 1) **Ordinal**_{On}(ω).

Let x, y be surreal numbers. We say that x, y are commensurate if and only if

- (Def. 2) there exists a positive natural number n such that $x < s_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) \cdot y$ and there exists a positive natural number n such that $y < s_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) \cdot x$.

One can check that the predicate is symmetric. Now we state the propositions:

- (2) If x is positive, then x, x are commensurate.
- (3) If x, y are commensurate, then x is positive.

Let us consider surreal numbers x, y, z . Now we state the propositions:

- (4) If x, y are commensurate and y, z are commensurate, then x, z are commensurate.

PROOF: There exists a positive natural number n such that $x < s_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) \cdot z$. Consider n being a positive natural number such that $y < s_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) \cdot x$. Consider m being a positive natural number such that $z < s_{\mathbb{Z}}(m) \cdot y$. \square

- (5) If $x \approx y$ and x, z are commensurate, then y, z are commensurate.

PROOF: There exists a positive natural number n such that $y < s_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) \cdot z$. Consider n being a positive natural number such that $z < s_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) \cdot x$. \square

- (6) If x, z are commensurate and $x \leq y \leq z$, then x, y are commensurate and y, z are commensurate. The theorem is a consequence of (3), (5), and (2).

- (7) x, y are commensurate if and only if there exists a positive natural number n such that $x < s_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) \cdot y$ and $y < s_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) \cdot x$.

PROOF: If x, y are commensurate, then there exists a positive natural number n such that $x < s_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) \cdot y$ and $y < s_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) \cdot x$. \square

- (8) If x is positive and $x \approx y$, then x, y are commensurate.

Let x, y be surreal numbers. We say that $x <^{\infty} y$ if and only if

(Def. 3) for every positive real number r , $x \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(r) < y$.

Now we state the propositions:

- (9) If $x <^{\infty} y$, then $x < y$.
- (10) Let us consider a real number r . Then $s_{\mathbb{R}}(r) <^{\infty} \omega$. The theorem is a consequence of (1).

Let us consider surreal numbers x, y, z . Now we state the propositions:

- (11) If $x \leq y <^{\infty} z$, then $x <^{\infty} z$.
- (12) If $x <^{\infty} y \leq z$, then $x <^{\infty} z$.
- (13) Let us consider a positive real number r , and surreal numbers x, y . Suppose $x <^{\infty} y$. Then

(i) $x \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(r) <^{\infty} y$, and

(ii) $x <^{\infty} y \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(r)$.

PROOF: $x \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(r) <^{\infty} y$ by [16, (57)], [16, (69),(51)], [17, (4)]. \square

- (14) Let us consider surreal numbers x, y, z . If $x <^{\infty} y <^{\infty} z$, then $x <^{\infty} z$.
- (15) If x, y are commensurate and $y <^{\infty} z$, then $x <^{\infty} z$.
- (16) If x, y are commensurate and $z <^{\infty} x$, then $z <^{\infty} y$.

- (17) If $x \approx y$ and $y <^\infty z$, then $x <^\infty z$.
- (18) If $x <^\infty z$ and $y <^\infty z$, then $x + y <^\infty z$. The theorem is a consequence of (13).
- (19) If $x \approx y$ and $z <^\infty x$, then $z <^\infty y$.
- (20) If $\mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}} \leq x <^\infty y$, then $x \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(r) < y$. The theorem is a consequence of (9).

2. CONWAY'S ω -MAP

Let α be an ordinal number. The functor $\text{omega}_{\mathbf{No}}(\alpha)$ yielding a many sorted set indexed by $\text{Day}\alpha$ is defined by

- (Def. 4) there exists a \subseteq -monotone, function yielding transfinite sequence S such that $\text{dom } S = \text{succ } \alpha$ and $it = S(\alpha)$ and for every ordinal number β such that $\beta \in \text{succ } \alpha$ there exists a many sorted set \mathcal{S} indexed by $\text{Day}\beta$ such that $S(\beta) = \mathcal{S}$ and for every object x such that $x \in \text{Day}\beta$ holds $\mathcal{S}(x) = \langle \{\mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}\} \cup \{(\bigcup \text{rng}(S \upharpoonright \beta))(x_3) * s_{\mathbb{R}}(r), \text{ where } x_3 \text{ is an element of } L_x, r \text{ is an element of } \mathbb{R} : x_3 \in L_x \text{ and } r \text{ is positive}\}, \{(\bigcup \text{rng}(S \upharpoonright \beta))(x_4) * s_{\mathbb{R}}(r), \text{ where } x_4 \text{ is an element of } R_x, r \text{ is an element of } \mathbb{R} : x_4 \in R_x \text{ and } r \text{ is positive}\} \rangle$.

Now we state the proposition:

- (21) Let us consider a \subseteq -monotone, function yielding transfinite sequence S . Suppose for every ordinal number β such that $\beta \in \text{dom } S$ there exists a many sorted set \mathcal{S} indexed by $\text{Day}\beta$ such that $S(\beta) = \mathcal{S}$ and for every object x such that $x \in \text{Day}\beta$ holds $\mathcal{S}(x) = \langle \{\mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}\} \cup \{(\bigcup \text{rng}(S \upharpoonright \beta))(x_3) * s_{\mathbb{R}}(r), \text{ where } x_3 \text{ is an element of } L_x, r \text{ is an element of } \mathbb{R} : x_3 \in L_x \text{ and } r \text{ is positive}\}, \{(\bigcup \text{rng}(S \upharpoonright \beta))(x_4) * s_{\mathbb{R}}(r), \text{ where } x_4 \text{ is an element of } R_x, r \text{ is an element of } \mathbb{R} : x_4 \in R_x \text{ and } r \text{ is positive}\} \rangle$. Let us consider an ordinal number α . If $\alpha \in \text{dom } S$, then $\text{omega}_{\mathbf{No}}(\alpha) = S(\alpha)$.

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{D}(\text{ordinal number}) = \text{Day}\1 . Define $\mathcal{H}(\text{object}, \subseteq\text{-monotone, function yielding transfinite sequence}) = \langle \{\mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}\} \cup \{(\bigcup \text{rng } \$2)(x_3) * s_{\mathbb{R}}(r), \text{ where } x_3 \text{ is an element of } L_{\$1}, r \text{ is an element of } \mathbb{R} : x_3 \in L_{\$1} \text{ and } r \text{ is positive}\}, \{(\bigcup \text{rng } \$2)(x_4) * s_{\mathbb{R}}(r), \text{ where } x_4 \text{ is an element of } R_{\$1}, r \text{ is an element of } \mathbb{R} : x_4 \in R_{\$1} \text{ and } r \text{ is positive}\} \rangle$. Consider S_2 being a \subseteq -monotone, function yielding transfinite sequence such that $\text{dom } S_2 = \text{succ } \alpha$ and $S_2(\alpha) = \text{omega}_{\mathbf{No}}(\alpha)$ and for every ordinal number β such that $\beta \in \text{succ } \alpha$ there exists a many sorted set \mathcal{S} indexed by $\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ such that $S_2(\beta) = \mathcal{S}$ and for every object x such that $x \in \mathcal{D}(\beta)$ holds $\mathcal{S}(x) = \mathcal{H}(x, S_2 \upharpoonright \beta)$. $S_1 \upharpoonright \text{succ } \alpha = S_2 \upharpoonright \text{succ } \alpha$. \square

Let us consider x . The functor ω^x yielding a set is defined by the term

(Def. 5) $(\text{omega}_{\mathbf{No}}(\text{born } x))(x)$.

One can verify that ω^x is surreal and ω^x is positive. Now we state the propositions:

- (22) $o \in L_{\omega^x}$ if and only if $o = \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$ or there exists a surreal number x_3 and there exists a positive real number r such that $x_3 \in L_x$ and $o = (\omega^{x_3}) \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(r)$.
- (23) $o \in R_{\omega^x}$ if and only if there exists a surreal number x_4 and there exists a positive real number r such that $x_4 \in R_x$ and $o = (\omega^{x_4}) \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(r)$.
- (24) If $x \leq y$, then $\omega^x \leq \omega^y$.
- (25) If $x < y$, then $\omega^x <^\infty \omega^y$.
- (26) $\omega^{\mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{No}}$. The theorem is a consequence of (22) and (23).
- (27) $(\omega^x) \cdot (\omega^y) \approx \omega^{(x+y)}$.

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{ordinal number}] \equiv$ for every surreal numbers x, y such that $\text{born } x \oplus \text{born } y = \1 holds $(\omega^x) \cdot (\omega^y) \approx \omega^{(x+y)}$. For every ordinal number D such that for every ordinal number C such that $C \in D$ holds $\mathcal{P}[C]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[D]$. For every ordinal number D , $\mathcal{P}[D]$. \square

- (28) $(\omega^x)^{-1} \approx \omega^{(-x)}$. The theorem is a consequence of (26) and (27).
- (29) Let us consider surreal numbers z, x . Suppose $z \leq x$ and z, ω^y are commensurate and x, ω^y are not commensurate. Then $\omega^y <^\infty x$.
- (30) Let us consider surreal numbers x, z . Suppose $\mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}} < x \leq z$ and z, ω^y are commensurate and x, ω^y are not commensurate. Then $x <^\infty \omega^y$.

Let x be a surreal number. The functor $|x|$ yielding a surreal number is defined by the term

$$(\text{Def. 6}) \quad \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}} \leq x, \\ -x, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now we state the propositions:

- (31) $\mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}} \leq |x|$.
- (32) (i) $|x| = x$, or
(ii) $|x| = -x$.
- (33) $x \approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$ if and only if $|x| \approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$.
- (34) $-|x| \leq x \leq |x|$.
- (35) $-y \leq x \leq y$ if and only if $|x| \leq y$.

PROOF: If $-y \leq x \leq y$, then $|x| \leq y$. $\mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}} \leq |x|$. \square

- (36) If $x \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$, then $|x|$ is positive.
- (37) $|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|$. The theorem is a consequence of (34) and (35).
- (38) If $x \approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$, then $|-x| \approx |x|$.
- (39) If $x \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$, then $|-x| = |x|$.

- (40) $|-x| \approx |x|$.
- (41) If $|x| <^\infty z$ and $|y| <^\infty z$, then $|x + y| <^\infty z$. The theorem is a consequence of (13) and (37).
- (42) If $|x| <^\infty z$, then $|-x| <^\infty z$. The theorem is a consequence of (40).
- (43) If $|x| <^\infty z$ and $|y| <^\infty z$, then $|x - y| <^\infty z$. The theorem is a consequence of (42) and (41).
- (44) If $|y| <^\infty x$, then $x + y \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$. The theorem is a consequence of (9).
- (45) If $|y| <^\infty |x|$, then $x + y \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$. The theorem is a consequence of (44), (40), and (17).
- (46) If $|y| <^\infty x$, then $x \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$. The theorem is a consequence of (9) and (31).
- (47) If $|y| <^\infty |x|$, then $x \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$. The theorem is a consequence of (46).
- (48) If $x \approx y$, then $|x| \approx |y|$.
- (49) $||x| - |y|| \leq |x - y|$. The theorem is a consequence of (37), (48), (39), (38), and (35).
- (50) $||x|| = |x|$.
- (51) If $x \leq y \leq z$, then $|y| \leq |x| + |z|$. The theorem is a consequence of (31).
- (52) $-y < x < y$ if and only if $|x| < y$.
- PROOF: If $-y < x < y$, then $|x| < y$. $\mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}} \leq |x|$. \square
- (53) If $\mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}} \leq x <^\infty y$, then $|x \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(r)| <^\infty y$. The theorem is a consequence of (20).

3. UNIQUE CHARACTERIZATION OF SURREAL NUMBER

Let x be a surreal number. Assume $x \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$. The functor $\mathbf{y}_\omega(x)$ yielding a unique surreal number is defined by

(Def. 7) $|x|, \omega^{it}$ are commensurate.

Now we state the propositions:

- (54) Suppose x, ω^y are commensurate. Then there exists a positive real number s such that $|x - (\omega^y) \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(s)| <^\infty x$.

PROOF: Set $N = \omega^y$. Define $\mathcal{L}[\text{object}] \equiv \$_1$ is a real number and for every real number r such that $r = \$_1$ holds $N \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(r) \leq x$. Define $\mathcal{R}[\text{object}] \equiv \$_1$ is a real number and for every real number r such that $r = \$_1$ holds $x < N \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(r)$. For every extended reals r, s such that $\mathcal{L}[r]$ and $\mathcal{R}[s]$ holds $r \leq s$. Consider s being an extended real such that for every extended real r such that $\mathcal{L}[r]$ holds $r \leq s$ and for every extended real r such that $\mathcal{R}[r]$ holds $s \leq r$. Consider n being a positive natural number such that $x < s_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) \cdot N$ and $N < s_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) \cdot x$. \square

(55) If x is positive and $|x - (\omega^y) \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(r)| <^\infty x$, then r is positive. The theorem is a consequence of (9).

(56) If $x \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$, then $\mathbf{y}_\omega(x) = \mathbf{y}_\omega(-x)$. The theorem is a consequence of (39).

Let x be a surreal number. Assume $x \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$. The functor $\mathbf{r}_\omega(x)$ yielding a non zero real number is defined by

(Def. 8) $|x - (\omega^{\mathbf{y}_\omega(x)}) \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(it)| <^\infty |x|$.

Now we state the propositions:

(57) Let us consider a positive natural number n . Suppose $|y| \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(\frac{n+1}{n}) < |x|$. Then $|x|$, $|x+y|$ are commensurate. The theorem is a consequence of (31), (39), (38), (49), and (37).

(58) If $|x|$ is positive, then $x \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$.

(59) Suppose $x \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(r_1) < y \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(r_2)$ and $0 < r$. Then $x \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(r_1 \cdot r) < y \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(r_2 \cdot r)$.

(60) Suppose $x \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(r_1) \leq y \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(r_2)$ and $0 \leq r$. Then $x \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(r_1 \cdot r) \leq y \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(r_2 \cdot r)$.

(61) Suppose $x \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$ and $y \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$. Then $\mathbf{y}_\omega(x) = \mathbf{y}_\omega(y)$ if and only if $|x|$, $|y|$ are commensurate. The theorem is a consequence of (4).

(62) Suppose $x \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$ and $x + y \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$ and $\mathbf{y}_\omega(x) = \mathbf{y}_\omega(x + y)$ and $\mathbf{r}_\omega(x) = \mathbf{r}_\omega(x + y)$. Then $|y| <^\infty |x|$. The theorem is a consequence of (16), (4), (48), (37), (59), and (40).

(63) Suppose $|y| <^\infty |x|$. Then

(i) $x \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$, and

(ii) $x + y \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$, and

(iii) $\mathbf{y}_\omega(x) = \mathbf{y}_\omega(x + y)$, and

(iv) $\mathbf{r}_\omega(x) = \mathbf{r}_\omega(x + y)$.

PROOF: $|x|$, $|x + y|$ are commensurate. Set $N = \omega^{\mathbf{y}_\omega(x)}$.

$|x + y + -N \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{r}_\omega(x))| <^\infty |x|$. $|x + y - N \cdot s_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{r}_\omega(x))| <^\infty |x + y|$. \square

(64) If $x \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$ and $y \approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$, then $y <^\infty |x|$. The theorem is a consequence of (36).

(65) If $s_{\mathbb{R}}(r) \approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$, then $r = 0$.

(66) If x is positive and $r \neq 0$, then $|s_{\mathbb{R}}(r) \cdot x|$, x are commensurate. The theorem is a consequence of (48), (39), (38), and (5).

The scheme *Simplest* deals with a unary predicate \mathcal{P} and states that

(Sch. 1) There exists a unique surreal number s such that $\mathcal{P}[s]$ and for every unique surreal number x such that $\mathcal{P}[x]$ and $x \neq s$ holds $\text{born } s \in \text{born } x$ provided

- there exists a surreal number x such that $\mathcal{P}[x]$ and

- for every surreal numbers x, y, z such that $x \leq y \leq z$ and $\mathcal{P}[x]$ and $\mathcal{P}[z]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[y]$.

Let f be a function. We say that f is surreal valued if and only if

(Def. 9) $\text{rng } f$ is surreal-membered.

Let s be a surreal number. Let us note that $\langle s \rangle$ is surreal valued and there exists a transfinite sequence which is surreal valued.

Let f be a surreal valued function. Observe that $\text{rng } f$ is surreal-membered.

A surreal sequence is a surreal valued transfinite sequence. Let X be a surreal-membered set. Let us observe that every subset of X is surreal-membered.

Let f be a surreal valued function and X be a set. Note that $f \upharpoonright X$ is surreal valued.

Let f, g be surreal sequences. One can check that $f \hat{\cap} g$ is surreal valued.

Let f be a function. We say that f is uniq-surreal valued if and only if

(Def. 10) $\text{rng } f$ is unique surreal-membered.

Let s be a unique surreal number. Note that $\langle s \rangle$ is uniq-surreal valued and there exists a transfinite sequence which is uniq-surreal valued. Let f be a uniq-surreal valued function. Let us note that $\text{rng } f$ is unique surreal-membered. A uniq-surreal sequence is a uniq-surreal valued transfinite sequence. Let X be a unique surreal-membered set. Observe that every subset of X is unique surreal-membered.

Let f be a uniq-surreal valued function and X be a set. One can check that $f \upharpoonright X$ is uniq-surreal valued. Let f, g be uniq-surreal sequences. One can verify that $f \hat{\cap} g$ is uniq-surreal valued and every set which is unique surreal-membered is also surreal-membered and every function which is uniq-surreal valued is also surreal valued. Let S be a surreal sequence. We say that S is strictly decreasing if and only if

(Def. 11) for every ordinal numbers α, β such that $\alpha \in \beta \in \text{dom } S$ for every surreal numbers x, y such that $x = S(\alpha)$ and $y = S(\beta)$ holds $y < x$.

Let s be a unique surreal number. Observe that $\langle s \rangle$ is strictly decreasing and there exists a uniq-surreal sequence which is strictly decreasing.

4. α -TERM – AN ESSENTIAL COMPONENT OF THE CONWAY NORMAL FORM

Let s be an object, y be a surreal number, r be a real number, and x be an object. We say that x is (s, y, r) -term if and only if

(Def. 12) $x +' -' s \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$ and $\mathbf{y}_{\omega}(x +' -' s) \approx y$ and $\mathbf{r}_{\omega}(x +' -' s) = r$.

Let s, y be surreal numbers and x be a surreal number. Let us note that x is (s, y, r) -term if and only if the condition (Def. 13) is satisfied.

(Def. 13) $x - s \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$ and $\mathbf{y}_\omega(x - s) \approx y$ and $\mathbf{r}_\omega(x - s) = r$.

Now we state the propositions:

- (67) If $r \neq 0$, then $s_{\mathbb{R}}(r) \cdot (\omega^y) \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$. The theorem is a consequence of (66) and (3).
- (68) If $r \neq 0$, then $\mathbf{y}_\omega(s_{\mathbb{R}}(r) \cdot (\omega^y)) = \text{Unique}_{\mathbf{No}}(y)$. The theorem is a consequence of (66), (67), and (5).
- (69) Let us consider a surreal number s . Suppose $r \neq 0$. Then $s + s_{\mathbb{R}}(r) \cdot (\omega^y)$ is (s, y, r) -term. The theorem is a consequence of (67), (68), (36), (48), (8), (61), and (64).
- (70) Suppose $x \approx y$ and $x \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$. Then
 - (i) $\mathbf{y}_\omega(x) = \mathbf{y}_\omega(y)$, and
 - (ii) $\mathbf{r}_\omega(x) = \mathbf{r}_\omega(y)$.

The theorem is a consequence of (36), (48), (8), (61), (16), and (17).

Let us consider a surreal number s . Now we state the propositions:

- (71) If $r \neq 0$, then $s + s_{\mathbb{R}}(r) \cdot (\omega^y) + x$ is (s, y, r) -term iff $|x| <^\infty \omega^y$.
 PROOF: Set $N = \omega^y$. Set $R = s_{\mathbb{R}}(r)$. Set $s_9 = s + R \cdot N + x$. Set $s_7 = s + R \cdot N + -s$. $R \cdot N \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$. $|s_7|$ is positive. $|s_7|$, $|N \cdot R|$ are commensurate. $|N \cdot R|$, N are commensurate. $|s_7|$, N are commensurate. $s + R \cdot N$ is (s, y, r) -term. If s_9 is (s, y, r) -term, then $|x| <^\infty N$. $|x| <^\infty |s_7|$. $s_7 + x \not\approx \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$ and $\mathbf{y}_\omega(s_7) = \mathbf{y}_\omega(s_7 + x)$ and $\mathbf{r}_\omega(s_7) = \mathbf{r}_\omega(s_7 + x)$. \square
- (72) If $r \neq 0$ and x is (s, y, r) -term and $x \approx z$, then z is (s, y, r) -term. The theorem is a consequence of (70).
- (73) If $r \neq 0$, then x is (s, y, r) -term iff $|x - (s + s_{\mathbb{R}}(r) \cdot (\omega^y))| <^\infty \omega^y$. The theorem is a consequence of (72) and (71).
- (74) Let us consider surreal numbers s, p . Suppose $r \neq 0$. Let us consider surreal numbers x, y, z . Suppose x is (s, p, r) -term and z is (s, p, r) -term and $x \leq y \leq z$. Then y is (s, p, r) -term. The theorem is a consequence of (73), (18), (11), and (51).

5. CONWAY'S GENERALIZATION OF PARTIAL SUMS

Let \mathbf{r} be a transfinite sequence of elements of \mathbb{R} , \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s} be transfinite sequences, α be an ordinal number, and x be a surreal number. We say that $x \in \bigcap \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r}, \alpha$ if and only if

(Def. 14) for every ordinal number β and for every surreal numbers y, z such that $\beta \in \alpha$ and $y = \mathbf{s}(\beta)$ and $z = \mathbf{y}(\beta)$ holds x is $(y, z, (\mathbf{r}(\beta)))$ -term.

We say that $\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r} \rangle$ is simplest on position α if and only if

(Def. 15) for every surreal number y such that $y = s(\alpha)$ holds if $0 = \alpha$, then $y = \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{No}}$ and if $0 \neq \alpha$, then $y \in \bigcap s, y, r, \alpha$ and for every unique surreal number x such that $x \in \bigcap s, y, r, \alpha$ and $x \neq y$ holds $\mathbf{born} y \in \mathbf{born} x$.

Let us consider a transfinite sequence r of elements of \mathbb{R} , transfinite sequences y, s_1, s_2 , and an ordinal number α . Now we state the propositions:

(75) Suppose $s_1 \upharpoonright \alpha = s_2 \upharpoonright \alpha$ and $x \in \bigcap s_1, y, r, \alpha$. Then $x \in \bigcap s_2, y, r, \alpha$.

(76) Suppose $s_1(\alpha)$ is a unique surreal number and $s_2(\alpha)$ is a unique surreal number and $s_1 \upharpoonright \alpha = s_2 \upharpoonright \alpha$ and $\langle s_1, y, r \rangle$ is simplest on position α and $\langle s_2, y, r \rangle$ is simplest on position α . Then $s_1(\alpha) = s_2(\alpha)$. The theorem is a consequence of (75).

Let r be a transfinite sequence of elements of \mathbb{R} , y, s be transfinite sequences, and α be an ordinal number. We say that $\langle s, y, r \rangle$ is simplest up to α if and only if

(Def. 16) for every ordinal number β such that $\beta \in \alpha$ holds $\langle s, y, r \rangle$ is simplest on position β .

Now we state the propositions:

(77) Let us consider a transfinite sequence r of elements of \mathbb{R} , a transfinite sequence y , unique-surreal sequences s_1, s_2 , and an ordinal number α . Suppose $\alpha \subseteq \text{dom } s_1$ and $\alpha \subseteq \text{dom } s_2$ and $\langle s_1, y, r \rangle$ is simplest up to α and $\langle s_2, y, r \rangle$ is simplest up to α . Then $s_1 \upharpoonright \alpha = s_2 \upharpoonright \alpha$.

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{ordinal number}] \equiv$ if $\$1 \in \alpha$, then $s_1(\$1) = s_2(\$1)$. For every ordinal number D such that for every ordinal number C such that $C \in D$ holds $\mathcal{P}[C]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[D]$. For every ordinal number D , $\mathcal{P}[D]$. For every object x such that $x \in \alpha$ holds $(s_1 \upharpoonright \alpha)(x) = (s_2 \upharpoonright \alpha)(x)$. \square

(78) Let us consider a transfinite sequence r of elements of \mathbb{R} , transfinite sequences y, s , and ordinal numbers α, β . Suppose $\beta \subseteq \alpha$ and $\langle s, y, r \rangle$ is simplest up to α . Then $\langle s, y, r \rangle$ is simplest up to β .

Let us consider a transfinite sequence r of elements of \mathbb{R} , transfinite sequences y, s , and an ordinal number α . Now we state the propositions:

(79) $x \in \bigcap s, y, r, \alpha$ if and only if $x \in \bigcap s \upharpoonright \text{succ } \alpha, y, r, \alpha$.

PROOF: If $x \in \bigcap s, y, r, \alpha$, then $x \in \bigcap s \upharpoonright \text{succ } \alpha, y, r, \alpha$. \square

(80) $\langle s \upharpoonright \text{succ } \alpha, y, r \rangle$ is simplest on position α if and only if $\langle s, y, r \rangle$ is simplest on position α . The theorem is a consequence of (79).

(81) Let us consider a non-zero transfinite sequence r of elements of \mathbb{R} , transfinite sequences p, s , and an ordinal number α . Suppose $\alpha \subseteq \text{dom } r$. Let us consider surreal numbers x, y, z . Suppose $x \leq y \leq z$ and $x \in \bigcap s, p, r, \alpha$ and $z \in \bigcap s, p, r, \alpha$. Then $y \in \bigcap s, p, r, \alpha$. The theorem is a consequence of (74).

(82) Let us consider a non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} , and a strictly decreasing surreal sequence \mathbf{y} . Then there exists a uniq-surreal sequence \mathbf{s} such that

(i) $\text{dom } \mathbf{s} = \text{succ}(\text{dom } \mathbf{r} \cap \text{dom } \mathbf{y})$, and

(ii) $\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r} \rangle$ is simplest up to $\text{dom } \mathbf{s}$.

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{ordinal number}] \equiv$ if $\$1 \subseteq \text{dom } \mathbf{r} \cap \text{dom } \mathbf{y}$, then there exists a uniq-surreal sequence \mathbf{s} such that $\text{dom } \mathbf{s} = \text{succ } \1 and $\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r} \rangle$ is simplest up to $\text{dom } \mathbf{s}$. For every ordinal number D such that for every ordinal number C such that $C \in D$ holds $\mathcal{P}[C]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[D]$. For every ordinal number D , $\mathcal{P}[D]$. \square

Let \mathbf{r} be a non-zero transfinite sequence of elements of \mathbb{R} and \mathbf{y} be a strictly decreasing surreal sequence. The functor $\text{PartialSums}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y})$ yielding a uniq-surreal sequence is defined by

(Def. 17) $\text{dom } it = \text{succ}(\text{dom } \mathbf{r} \cap \text{dom } \mathbf{y})$ and for every ordinal number A such that $A \in \text{dom } it$ holds $\langle it, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r} \rangle$ is simplest on position A .

The functor $\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{r}(\kappa)$ yielding a unique surreal number is defined by the term

(Def. 18) $(\text{PartialSums}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}))(\text{dom } \mathbf{r} \cap \text{dom } \mathbf{y})$.

Let \mathbf{s} be a strictly decreasing surreal sequence and α be an ordinal number. Note that $\mathbf{s} \upharpoonright \alpha$ is strictly decreasing.

Let us consider a transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} , transfinite sequences \mathbf{y} , \mathbf{s} , and ordinal numbers α , β . Now we state the propositions:

(83) Suppose $\alpha \subseteq \beta$. Then $x \in \bigcap \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r}, \alpha$ if and only if $x \in \bigcap \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y} \upharpoonright \beta, \mathbf{r} \upharpoonright \beta, \alpha$.

PROOF: If $x \in \bigcap \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r}, \alpha$, then $x \in \bigcap \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y} \upharpoonright \beta, \mathbf{r} \upharpoonright \beta, \alpha$. \square

(84) Suppose $\beta \subseteq \alpha$. Then $\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y} \upharpoonright \alpha, \mathbf{r} \upharpoonright \alpha \rangle$ is simplest on position β if and only if $\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r} \rangle$ is simplest on position β . The theorem is a consequence of (83).

(85) Let us consider a non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} , a strictly decreasing surreal sequence \mathbf{y} , and an ordinal number α . Then $\text{PartialSums}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}) \upharpoonright \text{succ } \alpha = \text{PartialSums}(\mathbf{r} \upharpoonright \alpha, \mathbf{y} \upharpoonright \alpha)$.

PROOF: $\text{succ}(\text{dom } \mathbf{r} \cap \text{dom } \mathbf{y}) \cap \text{succ } \alpha = \text{succ}(\text{dom}(\mathbf{r} \upharpoonright \alpha) \cap \text{dom}(\mathbf{y} \upharpoonright \alpha))$. $\langle \text{PartialSums}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}) \upharpoonright \text{succ } \alpha, \mathbf{y} \upharpoonright \alpha, \mathbf{r} \upharpoonright \alpha \rangle$ is simplest up to $\text{dom}(\text{PartialSums}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}) \upharpoonright \text{succ } \alpha)$. \square

6. CONWAY NAMES FOR SURREAL NUMBERS

Let \mathbf{r} be a non-zero transfinite sequence of elements of \mathbb{R} , \mathbf{y} be a strictly decreasing surreal sequence, α be an ordinal number, and x be a surreal number. We say that $\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}, \alpha \rangle$ name like x if and only if

(Def. 19) $\alpha \subseteq \text{dom } \mathbf{r} = \text{dom } \mathbf{y}$ and for every ordinal number β such that $\beta \in \alpha$ for every surreal number P_1 such that $P_1 = (\text{PartialSums}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}))(\beta)$ holds $x \not\approx P_1$ and $\mathbf{r}(\beta) = \mathbf{r}_\omega(x - P_1)$ and $\mathbf{y}(\beta) = \mathbf{y}_\omega(x - P_1)$.

Now we state the propositions:

- (86) Let us consider a non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} , a strictly decreasing surreal sequence \mathbf{y} , and ordinal numbers α, β . Suppose $\alpha \subseteq \beta$ and $\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}, \beta \rangle$ name like x . Then $\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}, \alpha \rangle$ name like x .
- (87) Let us consider non-zero transfinite sequences r_1, r_2 of elements of \mathbb{R} , strictly decreasing surreal sequences y_1, y_2 , and an ordinal number α . Suppose $\langle r_1, y_1, \alpha \rangle$ name like x and $\langle r_2, y_2, \alpha \rangle$ name like x . Then
- (i) $r_1 \upharpoonright \alpha = r_2 \upharpoonright \alpha$, and
 - (ii) $y_1 \upharpoonright \alpha = y_2 \upharpoonright \alpha$.

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{ordinal number}] \equiv$ if $\langle r_1, y_1, \$1 \rangle$ name like x and $\langle r_2, y_2, \$1 \rangle$ name like x , then $r_1 \upharpoonright \$1 = r_2 \upharpoonright \1 and $y_1 \upharpoonright \$1 = y_2 \upharpoonright \1 . For every ordinal number D such that for every ordinal number C such that $C \in D$ holds $\mathcal{P}[C]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[D]$. For every ordinal number D , $\mathcal{P}[D]$. \square

- (88) Let us consider a non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} , a strictly decreasing surreal sequence \mathbf{y} , and an ordinal number α . Suppose $\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}, \alpha \rangle$ name like x . Then $x \in \bigcap \text{PartialSums}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}), \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r}, \alpha$. The theorem is a consequence of (16) and (73).
- (89) Let us consider a non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} , and a strictly decreasing surreal sequence \mathbf{y} . Then $\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{r}(\kappa) \in \bigcap \text{PartialSums}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}), \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r}, \text{dom } \mathbf{r} \cap \text{dom } \mathbf{y}$.
- (90) Let us consider a non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} , a transfinite sequence \mathbf{y} , a surreal sequence \mathbf{s} , and ordinal numbers α, β . Suppose $\beta \in \alpha \subseteq \text{dom } \mathbf{r} \cap \text{dom } \mathbf{y}$ and $\alpha \subseteq \text{dom } \mathbf{s}$. Let us consider a surreal number y_4 . Suppose $y_4 = \mathbf{y}(\beta)$ and $x \in \bigcap \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r}, \alpha$ and $z \in \bigcap \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r}, \alpha$. Then $|x - z| <^\infty \omega^{y_4}$. The theorem is a consequence of (73), (43), (48), and (11).
- (91) Let us consider a non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} , a strictly decreasing surreal sequence \mathbf{y} , and an ordinal number α . Suppose $\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}, \alpha \rangle$ name like x . Then $\langle \mathbf{r} \upharpoonright \alpha, \mathbf{y} \upharpoonright \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ name like x . The theorem is a consequence of (85).
- (92) Let us consider a non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} , and a strictly decreasing surreal sequence \mathbf{y} . Suppose $z \in \bigcap \text{PartialSums}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}), \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r}, \text{dom } \mathbf{r} \cap \text{dom } \mathbf{y}$ and $z \not\approx \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{r}(\kappa)$. Let us consider an ordinal number α , and a surreal number y_3 . Suppose $\alpha \in \text{dom } \mathbf{r} \cap \text{dom } \mathbf{y}$ and $y_3 = \mathbf{y}(\alpha)$.

Then $\mathbf{y}_\omega(\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{r}(\kappa) - z) < y_3$. The theorem is a consequence of (89), (90), (9), and (15).

(93) Let us consider a non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} , a strictly decreasing surreal sequence \mathbf{y} , and an ordinal number α . Suppose $\alpha \subseteq \text{dom } \mathbf{r} \cap \text{dom } \mathbf{y}$. Then $(\text{PartialSums}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}))(\alpha) = \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathbf{y} \upharpoonright \alpha} (\mathbf{r} \upharpoonright \alpha)(\kappa)$. The theorem is a consequence of (85).

(94) Let us consider a non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} , and a strictly decreasing surreal sequence \mathbf{y} . Suppose $x \in \bigcap \text{PartialSums}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}), \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r}, \text{dom } \mathbf{r} \cap \text{dom } \mathbf{y}$ and $z \in \bigcap \text{PartialSums}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}), \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r}, \text{dom } \mathbf{r} \cap \text{dom } \mathbf{y}$ and $x \not\approx z$. Let us consider an ordinal number α , and a surreal number y_3 . Suppose $\alpha \in \text{dom } \mathbf{r} \cap \text{dom } \mathbf{y}$ and $y_3 = \mathbf{y}(\alpha)$. Then $\mathbf{y}_\omega(x - z) < y_3$. The theorem is a consequence of (90), (9), and (15).

(95) Suppose for every non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} and for every strictly decreasing uniq-surreal sequence \mathbf{y} such that $\text{dom } \mathbf{r} = \text{dom } \mathbf{y}$ and $\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}, \text{dom } \mathbf{r} \rangle$ name like x holds $\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{r}(\kappa) \not\approx x$. Let us consider an ordinal number α . Then there exists a non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} and there exists a strictly decreasing uniq-surreal sequence \mathbf{y} such that $\text{dom } \mathbf{r} = \text{succ } \alpha = \text{dom } \mathbf{y}$ and $\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}, \text{succ } \alpha \rangle$ name like x .

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{ordinal number}] \equiv$ there exists a non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} and there exists a strictly decreasing uniq-surreal sequence \mathbf{y} such that $\text{dom } \mathbf{r} = \text{succ } \$_1 = \text{dom } \mathbf{y}$ and $\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}, \text{succ } \$_1 \rangle$ name like x . For every ordinal number D such that for every ordinal number C such that $C \in D$ holds $\mathcal{P}[C]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[D]$. For every ordinal number D , $\mathcal{P}[D]$. \square

Let \mathbf{s} be a surreal sequence. The functor \mathbf{born} \mathbf{s} yielding a sequence of ordinal numbers is defined by

(Def. 20) $\text{dom } it = \text{dom } \mathbf{s}$ and for every ordinal number α such that $\alpha \in \text{dom } \mathbf{s}$ for every surreal number s_5 such that $s_5 = \mathbf{s}(\alpha)$ holds $it(\alpha) = \mathbf{born } s_5$.

Now we state the proposition:

(96) Let us consider a transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} , a surreal sequence \mathbf{y} , a uniq-surreal sequence \mathbf{s} , and an ordinal number α . Suppose $\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r} \rangle$ is simplest up to α and $\alpha \subseteq \text{succ dom } \mathbf{y}$. Then $\mathbf{s} \upharpoonright \alpha$ is one-to-one.

PROOF: For every ordinal numbers a, b such that $a \in b \in \text{dom}(\mathbf{s} \upharpoonright \alpha)$ holds $(\mathbf{s} \upharpoonright \alpha)(a) \neq (\mathbf{s} \upharpoonright \alpha)(b)$. For every objects x_1, x_2 such that $x_1, x_2 \in \text{dom}(\mathbf{s} \upharpoonright \alpha)$ and $(\mathbf{s} \upharpoonright \alpha)(x_1) = (\mathbf{s} \upharpoonright \alpha)(x_2)$ holds $x_1 = x_2$. \square

Let \mathbf{r} be a non-zero transfinite sequence of elements of \mathbb{R} and \mathbf{y} be a strictly decreasing surreal sequence. Let us observe that $\text{PartialSums}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y})$ is one-to-one.

Now we state the proposition:

- (97) Let us consider a transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} , a surreal sequence \mathbf{y} , a uniq-surreal sequence \mathbf{s} , and an ordinal number α . Suppose $\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r} \rangle$ is simplest up to α and $\mathbf{s} \upharpoonright \alpha$ is one-to-one. Then $\text{born } \mathbf{s} \upharpoonright \alpha$ is increasing.

PROOF: For every ordinal numbers β, γ such that $\beta \in \gamma \in \text{dom}(\text{born } \mathbf{s} \upharpoonright \alpha)$ holds $(\text{born } \mathbf{s} \upharpoonright \alpha)(\beta) \in (\text{born } \mathbf{s} \upharpoonright \alpha)(\gamma)$ by [15, (37)]. \square

Let \mathbf{r} be a non-zero transfinite sequence of elements of \mathbb{R} and \mathbf{y} be a strictly decreasing surreal sequence. One can verify that $\text{born PartialSums}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y})$ is increasing.

Now we state the propositions:

- (98) Let us consider a non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} , a strictly decreasing surreal sequence \mathbf{y} , a uniq-surreal sequence \mathbf{s} , and an ordinal number α . Suppose $\alpha \subseteq \text{dom } \mathbf{r}$ and $x \in \bigcap \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r}, \alpha$ and $\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r} \rangle$ is simplest up to $\text{succ } \alpha$. Then $\text{rng born}(\mathbf{s} \upharpoonright \text{succ } \alpha) \subseteq \text{succ born}_{\approx} x$. The theorem is a consequence of (81).

- (99) Let us consider a non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} , and a strictly decreasing surreal sequence \mathbf{y} . Then $\text{dom } \mathbf{r} \cap \text{dom } \mathbf{y} \subseteq \text{born } \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{r}(\kappa)$.

PROOF: Set $\mathbf{s} = \text{PartialSums}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y})$. $\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{r}(\kappa) \in \bigcap \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r}, \text{dom } \mathbf{r} \cap \text{dom } \mathbf{y}$ and $\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r} \rangle$ is simplest up to $\text{dom } \mathbf{s}$. $\text{rng born}(\mathbf{s} \upharpoonright \text{dom } \mathbf{s}) \subseteq \text{succ born}_{\approx} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{r}(\kappa)$. $\text{succ}(\text{dom } \mathbf{r} \cap \text{dom } \mathbf{y}) \subseteq \text{succ born}_{\approx} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{r}(\kappa)$. \square

- (100) CONWAY NORMAL FORM:

Let us consider a surreal number x . Then there exists a non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} and there exists a strictly decreasing uniq-surreal sequence \mathbf{y} such that $\text{dom } \mathbf{r} = \text{dom } \mathbf{y} \subseteq \text{born}_{\approx} x$ and $\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{r}(\kappa) \approx x$.

PROOF: There exists a non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} and there exists a strictly decreasing uniq-surreal sequence \mathbf{y} such that $\text{dom } \mathbf{r} = \text{dom } \mathbf{y}$ and $\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}, \text{dom } \mathbf{r} \rangle$ name like x and $\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{r}(\kappa) \approx x$. Consider \mathbf{r} being a non-zero transfinite sequence of elements of \mathbb{R} , \mathbf{y} being a strictly decreasing uniq-surreal sequence such that $\text{dom } \mathbf{r} = \text{dom } \mathbf{y}$ and $\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}, \text{dom } \mathbf{r} \rangle$ name like x and $\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{r}(\kappa) \approx x$. \square

- (101) Let us consider a non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} , and a strictly decreasing uniq-surreal sequence \mathbf{y} . Suppose $\text{dom } \mathbf{r} = \text{dom } \mathbf{y}$. Then $\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}, \text{dom } \mathbf{r} \rangle$ name like $\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{r}(\kappa)$.

PROOF: Set $\mathbf{s} = \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{r}(\kappa)$. $\mathbf{s} \not\approx P_1$. \square

- (102) Let us consider non-zero transfinite sequences r_1, r_2 of elements of \mathbb{R} , and strictly decreasing uniq-surreal sequences y_1, y_2 . Suppose $\text{dom } r_1 = \text{dom } y_1$ and $\text{dom } r_2 = \text{dom } y_2$ and $\sum_{\kappa=0}^{y_1} r_1(\kappa) \approx \sum_{\kappa=0}^{y_2} r_2(\kappa)$. Then

- (i) $r_1 = r_2$, and
- (ii) $y_1 = y_2$.

The theorem is a consequence of (101), (87), and (85).

- (103) Let us consider a non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} , a strictly decreasing uniq-surreal sequence \mathbf{y} , and an ordinal number α . Suppose $\alpha \subseteq \text{dom } \mathbf{r} = \text{dom } \mathbf{y}$. Let us consider surreal numbers x, z . Suppose $\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}, \alpha \rangle$ name like x and $x \approx z$. Then $\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{y}, \alpha \rangle$ name like z . The theorem is a consequence of (70).

Let x be a surreal number. The functor $\text{name}_{\text{ord}}(x)$ yielding an ordinal number is defined by

- (Def. 21) there exists a non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} and there exists a strictly decreasing uniq-surreal sequence \mathbf{y} such that $it = \text{dom } \mathbf{r} = \text{dom } \mathbf{y}$ and $\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{r}(\kappa) \approx x$.

Now we state the proposition:

- (104) Let us consider a non-zero transfinite sequence \mathbf{r} of elements of \mathbb{R} , a strictly decreasing uniq-surreal sequence \mathbf{y} , and a surreal number x . Suppose $\text{dom } \mathbf{r} = \text{dom } \mathbf{y}$ and $\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{r}(\kappa) \approx x$. Then $\text{name}_{\text{ord}}(x) = \text{dom } \mathbf{r}$. The theorem is a consequence of (102).

Let x be a surreal number. The functor $\text{name}_{\mathbf{r}}(x)$ yielding a non-zero transfinite sequence of elements of \mathbb{R} is defined by

- (Def. 22) there exists a strictly decreasing uniq-surreal sequence \mathbf{y} such that $\text{dom } \mathbf{y} = \text{dom } it$ and $\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathbf{y}} it(\kappa) \approx x$.

The functor $\text{name}_{\mathbf{y}}(x)$ yielding a strictly decreasing uniq-surreal sequence is defined by

- (Def. 23) $\text{dom}(\text{name}_{\mathbf{r}}(x)) = \text{dom } it$ and $\sum_{\kappa=0}^{it} \text{name}_{\mathbf{r}}(x)(\kappa) \approx x$.

Now we state the propositions:

- (105) $\text{dom}(\text{name}_{\mathbf{r}}(x)) = \text{name}_{\text{ord}}(x) = \text{dom}(\text{name}_{\mathbf{y}}(x))$. The theorem is a consequence of (104).
- (106) $\langle \text{name}_{\mathbf{r}}(x), \text{name}_{\mathbf{y}}(x), \text{name}_{\text{ord}}(x) \rangle$ name like x . The theorem is a consequence of (105), (101), and (103).

REFERENCES

- [1] Vincent Bagayoko and Joris van der Hoeven. Surreal substructures. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 266(1):25–96, 2024. doi:10.4064/fm231020-23-2.
- [2] Alessandro Berarducci, Salma Kuhlmann, Vincenzo Mantova, and Mickaël Matusinski. Exponential fields and Conway’s omega-map. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 151(6):2655–2669, 2023. doi:10.1090/proc/14577.
- [3] Wolfgang Bertram. On Conway’s numbers and games, the von Neumann universe, and pure set theory. *ArXiv preprint arXiv:2501.04412*, 2025.

- [4] John Horton Conway. *On Numbers and Games*. A K Peters Ltd., Natick, MA, second edition, 2001. ISBN 1-56881-127-6.
- [5] Ovidiu Costin and Philip Ehrlich. Integration on the surreals. *Advances in Mathematics*, 452:109823, 2024. doi:10.1016/j.aim.2024.109823.
- [6] Peter Dybjer. A general formulation of simultaneous inductive-recursive definitions in type theory. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 65(2):525–549, 2000. doi:10.2307/2586554.
- [7] Philip Ehrlich. Conway names, the simplicity hierarchy and the surreal number tree. *Journal of Logic and Analysis*, 3(1):1–26, 2011. doi:10.4115/jla.2011.3.1.
- [8] Philip Ehrlich. The absolute arithmetic continuum and the unification of all numbers great and small. *The Bulletin of Symbolic Logic*, 18(1):1–45, 2012. doi:10.2178/bsl/1327328438.
- [9] Philip Ehrlich. Number systems with simplicity hierarchies: A generalization of Conway's theory of surreal numbers. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 66(3):1231–1258, 2001. doi:10.2307/2695104.
- [10] Harry Gonshor. *An Introduction to the Theory of Surreal Numbers*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 110. Cambridge University Press, 1986. ISBN 0521312051.
- [11] Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, and Adam Naumowicz. Four decades of Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 55(3):191–198, 2015. doi:10.1007/s10817-015-9345-1.
- [12] Donald E. Knuth. *Surreal Numbers: How Two Ex-students Turned on to Pure Mathematics and Found Total Happiness*. Addison-Wesley, 1974.
- [13] Lionel Elie Mamane. Surreal numbers in Coq. In Jean-Christophe Filliâtre, Christine Paulin-Mohring, and Benjamin Werner, editors, *Types for Proofs and Programs, TYPES 2004*, volume 3839 of *LNCS*, pages 170–185. Springer, 2004. doi:10.1007/11617990_11.
- [14] Steven Obua. Partizan games in Isabelle/HOLZF. In Kamel Barkaoui, Ana Cavalcanti, and Antonio Cerone, editors, *Theoretical Aspects of Computing – ICTAC 2006*, volume 4281 of *LNCS*, pages 272–286. Springer, 2006.
- [15] Karol Pąk. Conway numbers – formal introduction. *Formalized Mathematics*, 31(1):193–203, 2023. doi:10.2478/forma-2023-0018.
- [16] Karol Pąk. Surreal dyadic and real numbers: A formal construction. *Formalized Mathematics*, 33(1):11–23, 2025. doi:10.2478/forma-2025-0002.
- [17] Karol Pąk. Integration of game theoretic and tree theoretic approaches to Conway numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 31(1):205–213, 2023. doi:10.2478/forma-2023-0019.
- [18] Karol Pąk and Cezary Kaliszyk. Conway normal form: Bridging approaches for comprehensive formalization of surreal numbers. In Yves Bertot, Temur Kutsia, and Michael Norrish, editors, *15th International Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving, ITP 2024, September 9-14, 2024, Tbilisi, Georgia*, volume 309 of *LIPICs*, pages 29:1–29:18. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2024. doi:10.4230/LIPICs.ITP.2024.29.
- [19] Alex Ryba, Philip Ehrlich, Richard Kenyon, Jeffrey Lagarias, James Propp, and Louis Kauffman. Conway's mathematics after Conway. *Notices of the American Mathematical Society*, 69:1145–1155, 2022. doi:10.1090/noti2513.
- [20] Makarius Wenzel, Lawrence C. Paulson, and Tobias Nipkow. The Isabelle framework. In Otmane Ait Mohamed, César Muñoz, and Sofiène Tahar, editors, *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics*, pages 33–38. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008.

Received April 10, 2025, Accepted September 4, 2025
