

About Path and Cycle Graphs

Sebastian Koch 
Mainz, Germany¹

Summary. In this article path and cycle graphs are formalized in the Mizar system.

MSC: 05C38 68V20

Keywords: path graph; cycle graph

MML identifier: GLPACY00, version: 8.1.14 5.85.1476

INTRODUCTION

We continue the development of the formalization of graphs in [12], as described in [13] (compare Isabelle graph library [14] or similar efforts in PVS [3]). For our recent encodings, including spanning subgraphs theorem or handshaking lemma, see [6] and [10]. Path and cycle graphs are two fundamental graph families (cf. [2], [15], [4]). In this paper both types are formalized in the Mizar system [5], [1] in a way that also includes the 1-cycle, 2-cycle, ray and double-ray graph in the definitions. It is shown how a finite path graph can be constructed successively and how to construct cycle graphs from finite path graphs. A maximal graph path is characterized for every path graph as well. Furthermore, the rather obvious fact that a graph circuit in a cycle graph covers all its vertices and edges is proven and constitutes the longest proof in this work.

¹mailto:fly.high.android@gmail.com

1. PRELIMINARIES

One can verify that there exists a graph which is trivial, non-directed-multi, and loopfull. Let G be a non acyclic graph. One can verify that there exists a subgraph of G which is non acyclic. Now we state the propositions:

- (1) Let us consider a graph G_1 , a subgraph G_2 of G_1 , a vertex v_1 of G_1 , and a vertex v_2 of G_2 . Suppose $v_1 = v_2$. Then
 - (i) $v_2.\text{inDegree}() \subseteq v_1.\text{inDegree}()$, and
 - (ii) $v_2.\text{outDegree}() \subseteq v_1.\text{outDegree}()$, and
 - (iii) $v_2.\text{degree}() \subseteq v_1.\text{degree}()$.
- (2) Let us consider a graph G , and a trail T of G . Then $T.\text{length}() = \overline{T.\text{edges}()}$.

Let G be a non trivial, connected graph. One can verify that every vertex of G is non isolated.

Let G be a non acyclic graph. One can verify that there exists a walk of G which is cycle-like. Now we state the propositions:

- (3) Let us consider a non trivial, tree-like graph T , a vertex v of T , and a subgraph F of T with vertex v removed. Then $F.\text{numComponents}() = v.\text{degree}()$.

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{H}(\text{vertex of } F) = F.\text{reachableFrom}(\$1)$. Consider h' being a function from the vertices of F into $F.\text{componentSet}()$ such that for every vertex w of F , $h'(w) = \mathcal{H}(w)$. \square

- (4) Let us consider a non trivial, finite, tree-like graph T , a vertex v of T , a subgraph F of T with vertex v removed, and a component C of F . Then there exists a vertex w of T such that
 - (i) w is endvertex, and
 - (ii) $w \in$ the vertices of C .
- (5) Let us consider a graph G_2 , objects v, e, w , a vertex v_2 of G_2 , a super-graph G_1 of G_2 extended by v, w and e between them, and a vertex v_1 of G_1 . Suppose $v_1 \neq v$ and $v_1 \neq w$ and $v_1 = v_2$. Then
 - (i) $v_1.\text{edgesIn}() = v_2.\text{edgesIn}()$, and
 - (ii) $v_1.\text{inDegree}() = v_2.\text{inDegree}()$, and
 - (iii) $v_1.\text{edgesOut}() = v_2.\text{edgesOut}()$, and
 - (iv) $v_1.\text{outDegree}() = v_2.\text{outDegree}()$, and
 - (v) $v_1.\text{edgesInOut}() = v_2.\text{edgesInOut}()$, and
 - (vi) $v_1.\text{degree}() = v_2.\text{degree}()$.

- (6) Let us consider a graph G_2 , a vertex v of G_2 , objects e, w , a supergraph G_1 of G_2 extended by v, w and e between them, and a vertex v_1 of G_1 . Suppose $e \notin$ the edges of G_2 and $w \notin$ the vertices of G_2 and $v_1 = v$. Then
- (i) $v_1.\text{edgesIn}() = v.\text{edgesIn}()$, and
 - (ii) $v_1.\text{inDegree}() = v.\text{inDegree}()$, and
 - (iii) $v_1.\text{edgesOut}() = v.\text{edgesOut}() \cup \{e\}$, and
 - (iv) $v_1.\text{outDegree}() = v.\text{outDegree}() + 1$, and
 - (v) $v_1.\text{edgesInOut}() = v.\text{edgesInOut}() \cup \{e\}$, and
 - (vi) $v_1.\text{degree}() = v.\text{degree}() + 1$.
- (7) Let us consider a graph G_2 , objects v, e , a vertex w of G_2 , a supergraph G_1 of G_2 extended by v, w and e between them, and a vertex w_1 of G_1 . Suppose $e \notin$ the edges of G_2 and $v \notin$ the vertices of G_2 and $w_1 = w$. Then
- (i) $w_1.\text{edgesIn}() = w.\text{edgesIn}() \cup \{e\}$, and
 - (ii) $w_1.\text{inDegree}() = w.\text{inDegree}() + 1$, and
 - (iii) $w_1.\text{edgesOut}() = w.\text{edgesOut}()$, and
 - (iv) $w_1.\text{outDegree}() = w.\text{outDegree}()$, and
 - (v) $w_1.\text{edgesInOut}() = w.\text{edgesInOut}() \cup \{e\}$, and
 - (vi) $w_1.\text{degree}() = w.\text{degree}() + 1$.
- (8) Let us consider a graph G , and a component C of G .
Then $C.\text{endVertices}() \subseteq G.\text{endVertices}()$.
Let G be an edgeless graph. Let us note that $G.\text{endVertices}()$ is empty.

2. PATH GRAPHS

Let G be a graph. We say that G is path-like if and only if

(Def. 1) G is tree-like and for every vertex v of G , $v.\text{degree}() \leq 2$.

Observe that every graph which is path-like is also tree-like, locally-finite, and with max degree and every graph which is trivial and edgeless is also path-like and every graph which is trivial and path-like is also edgeless and there exists a graph which is finite and path-like. Now we state the proposition:

(9) Let us consider a locally-finite graph G . Then G is path-like if and only if if G is tree-like and for every vertex v of G , $v.\text{degree}() \leq 2$.

Let F be a graph-yielding function. We say that F is path-like if and only if

(Def. 2) for every object x such that $x \in \text{dom } F$ there exists a graph G such that $F(x) = G$ and G is path-like.

Let P be a path-like graph. Observe that $\langle P \rangle$ is path-like and $\mathbb{N} \mapsto P$ is path-like.

Let F be a non empty, graph-yielding function. Let us note that F is path-like if and only if the condition (Def. 3) is satisfied.

(Def. 3) for every element x of $\text{dom } F$, $F(x)$ is path-like.

Let S be a graph sequence. Observe that S is path-like if and only if the condition (Def. 4) is satisfied.

(Def. 4) for every natural number n , $S(n)$ is path-like.

One can verify that every graph-yielding function which is empty is also path-like and every graph-yielding function which is trivial and edgeless is also path-like and every graph-yielding function which is path-like is also tree-like and there exists a graph sequence which is non empty and path-like.

Let F be a path-like, non empty, graph-yielding function and x be an element of $\text{dom } F$. One can check that $F(x)$ is path-like. Let S be a path-like graph sequence and n be a natural number. One can check that $S(n)$ is path-like. Let p be a path-like, graph-yielding finite sequence. Note that $p \upharpoonright n$ is path-like and $p \upharpoonright_n$ is path-like. Let m be a natural number. Let us observe that $\text{smid}(p, m, n)$ is path-like and $\langle p(m), \dots, p(n) \rangle$ is path-like. Let p, q be path-like, graph-yielding finite sequences. Note that $p \hat{\ } q$ is path-like and $p \frown q$ is path-like. Let P_1, P_2 be path-like graphs. One can verify that $\langle P_1, P_2 \rangle$ is path-like. Let P_3 be a path-like graph. One can check that $\langle P_1, P_2, P_3 \rangle$ is path-like.

Let S be a graph-membered set. We say that S is path-like if and only if

(Def. 5) for every graph G such that $G \in S$ holds G is path-like.

Observe that every graph-membered set which is empty is also path-like and every graph-membered set which is path-like is also tree-like. Let P_1 be a path-like graph. Let us note that $\{P_1\}$ is path-like. Let P_2 be a path-like graph. Let us observe that $\{P_1, P_2\}$ is path-like. Let F be a path-like, graph-yielding function. One can verify that $\text{rng } F$ is path-like. Let X be a path-like, graph-membered set. Note that every subset of X is path-like. Let Y be a set. Observe that $X \cap Y$ is path-like and $X \setminus Y$ is path-like. Let X, Y be path-like, graph-membered sets. One can verify that $X \cup Y$ is path-like and $X \dot{-} Y$ is path-like and there exists a graph-membered set which is non empty and path-like.

Let S be a non empty, path-like, graph-membered set. Let us observe that every element of S is path-like. Now we state the propositions:

(10) Let us consider a path-like graph P_2 , a vertex v_2 of P_2 , objects e, w_2 , and a supergraph P_1 of P_2 extended by v_2, w_2 and e between them. If v_2 is end-vertex or P_2 is trivial, then P_1 is path-like. The theorem is a consequence of (6) and (5).

(11) Let us consider a path-like graph P_2 , objects v_2, e , a vertex w_2 of P_2 , and

a supergraph P_1 of P_2 extended by v_2 , w_2 and e between them. If w_2 is end-vertex or P_2 is trivial, then P_1 is path-like. The theorem is a consequence of (7) and (5).

Let n be a natural number. One can check that there exists a graph which is $(n + 1)$ -vertex, n -edge, and path-like.

Let n be a non zero natural number. Let us note that there exists a graph which is n -vertex, $(n - 1)$ -edge, and path-like and there exists a graph which is $(n + 1)$ -vertex, n -edge, path-like, and non trivial.

Let P be a path-like graph. Let us observe that every subgraph of P which is connected is also path-like. Now we state the propositions:

- (12) Let us consider a graph G_2 , objects v_1 , e , v_2 , and a supergraph G_1 of G_2 extended by v_1 , v_2 and e between them. If G_1 is path-like, then G_2 is path-like.
- (13) Let us consider a path-like graph P_1 , a vertex v of P_1 , and a subgraph P_2 of P_1 with vertex v removed. If v is endvertex or P_1 is trivial, then P_2 is path-like.
- (14) Let us consider a finite, path-like graph G , and a connected subgraph H of G . Then there exists a non empty, finite, path-like, graph-yielding finite sequence p such that

- (i) $p(1) \approx H$, and
- (ii) $p(\text{len } p) = G$, and
- (iii) $\text{len } p = G.\text{order}() - H.\text{order}() + 1$, and
- (iv) for every element n of $\text{dom } p$ such that $n \leq \text{len } p - 1$ there exist vertices v_1 , v_2 of G and there exists an object e such that $p(n + 1)$ is a supergraph of $p(n)$ extended by v_1 , v_2 and e between them and $e \in (\text{the edges of } G) \setminus (\text{the edges of } p(n))$ and $(v_1 \in \text{the vertices of } p(n) \text{ and } v_2 \notin \text{the vertices of } p(n) \text{ and if } p(n) \text{ is not trivial, then } v_1 \in p(n).\text{endVertices}() \text{ or } v_1 \notin \text{the vertices of } p(n) \text{ and } v_2 \in \text{the vertices of } p(n) \text{ and if } p(n) \text{ is not trivial, then } v_2 \in p(n).\text{endVertices}().$

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ for every finite, path-like graph G for every connected subgraph H of G such that $\$1 = G.\text{order}() - H.\text{order}()$ there exists a non empty, finite, path-like, graph-yielding finite sequence p such that $p(1) \approx H$ and $p(\text{len } p) = G$ and $\text{len } p = G.\text{order}() - H.\text{order}() + 1$ and for every element n of $\text{dom } p$ such that $n \leq \text{len } p - 1$ there exist vertices v_1 , v_2 of G and there exists an object e such that $p(n + 1)$ is a supergraph of $p(n)$ extended by v_1 , v_2 and e between them and $e \in (\text{the edges of } G) \setminus (\text{the edges of } p(n))$ and $(v_1 \in \text{the vertices of } p(n) \text{ and } v_2 \notin \text{the vertices of } p(n) \text{ and if } p(n) \text{ is not trivial, then } v_1 \in p(n).\text{endVertices}() \text{ or } v_1 \notin$

the vertices of $p(n)$ and $v_2 \in$ the vertices of $p(n)$ and if $p(n)$ is not trivial, then $v_2 \in p(n).\text{endVertices}()$. $\mathcal{P}[0]$ by [12, (117)], [8, (21)]. For every natural number k such that $\mathcal{P}[k]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[k+1]$ by [12, (117), (26)], [8, (31)], [12, (48), (47), (107)]. For every natural number k , $\mathcal{P}[k]$. \square

(15) Let us consider a finite, path-like graph G . Then there exists a non empty, finite, path-like, graph-yielding finite sequence p such that

- (i) $p(1)$ is trivial and edgeless, and
- (ii) $p(\text{len } p) = G$, and
- (iii) $\text{len } p = G.\text{order}()$, and
- (iv) for every element n of $\text{dom } p$ such that $n \leq \text{len } p - 1$ there exist vertices v_1, v_2 of G and there exists an object e such that $p(n+1)$ is a supergraph of $p(n)$ extended by v_1, v_2 and e between them and $e \in (\text{the edges of } G) \setminus (\text{the edges of } p(n))$ and $(v_1 \in \text{the vertices of } p(n) \text{ and if } n \geq 2, \text{ then } v_1 \in p(n).\text{endVertices}() \text{ and } v_2 \notin \text{the vertices of } p(n) \text{ or } v_1 \notin \text{the vertices of } p(n) \text{ and } v_2 \in \text{the vertices of } p(n) \text{ and if } n \geq 2, \text{ then } v_2 \in p(n).\text{endVertices}().$

PROOF: Set $H =$ the trivial subgraph of G . Consider p being a non empty, finite, path-like, graph-yielding finite sequence such that $p(1) \approx H$ and $p(\text{len } p) = G$ and $\text{len } p = G.\text{order}() - H.\text{order}() + 1$ and for every element n of $\text{dom } p$ such that $n \leq \text{len } p - 1$ there exist vertices v_1, v_2 of G and there exists an object e such that $p(n+1)$ is a supergraph of $p(n)$ extended by v_1, v_2 and e between them and $e \in (\text{the edges of } G) \setminus (\text{the edges of } p(n))$ and $(v_1 \in \text{the vertices of } p(n) \text{ and } v_2 \notin \text{the vertices of } p(n) \text{ and if } p(n) \text{ is not trivial, then } v_1 \in p(n).\text{endVertices}() \text{ or } v_1 \notin \text{the vertices of } p(n) \text{ and } v_2 \in \text{the vertices of } p(n) \text{ and if } p(n) \text{ is not trivial, then } v_2 \in p(n).\text{endVertices}().$ Consider v_1, v_2 being vertices of G , e being an object such that $p(n+1)$ is a supergraph of $p(n)$ extended by v_1, v_2 and e between them and $e \in (\text{the edges of } G) \setminus (\text{the edges of } p(n))$ and $(v_1 \in \text{the vertices of } p(n) \text{ and } v_2 \notin \text{the vertices of } p(n) \text{ and if } p(n) \text{ is not trivial, then } v_1 \in p(n).\text{endVertices}() \text{ or } v_1 \notin \text{the vertices of } p(n) \text{ and } v_2 \in \text{the vertices of } p(n) \text{ and if } p(n) \text{ is not trivial, then } v_2 \in p(n).\text{endVertices}().$ If $n \geq 2$, then $p(n)$ is not trivial. \square

(16) Let us consider a non empty, graph-yielding finite sequence p . Suppose $p(1)$ is path-like and for every element n of $\text{dom } p$ such that $n \leq \text{len } p - 1$ there exist objects v_1, e, v_2 such that $p(n+1)$ is a supergraph of $p(n)$ extended by v_1, v_2 and e between them and $(p(n)$ is trivial or $v_1 \in p(n).\text{endVertices}()$ or $v_2 \in p(n).\text{endVertices}()$). Then $p(\text{len } p)$ is path-like. PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ if $\$1 \leq \text{len } p - 1$, then $p(\$1 + 1)$ is a path-like graph. For every natural number n such that $\mathcal{P}[n]$ holds

$\mathcal{P}[n+1]$. For every natural number n , $\mathcal{P}[n]$. \square

- (17) Let us consider a non trivial, finite, path-like graph G . Then there exists a non empty, finite, path-like, graph-yielding finite sequence p such that
- (i) $p(1)$ is 2-vertex and path-like, and
 - (ii) $p(\text{len } p) = G$, and
 - (iii) $\text{len } p + 1 = G.\text{order}()$, and
 - (iv) for every element n of $\text{dom } p$ such that $n \leq \text{len } p - 1$ there exist vertices v_1, v_2 of G and there exists an object e such that $p(n+1)$ is a supergraph of $p(n)$ extended by v_1, v_2 and e between them and $e \in (\text{the edges of } G) \setminus (\text{the edges of } p(n))$ and $(v_1 \in p(n).\text{endVertices}()$ and $v_2 \notin \text{the vertices of } p(n)$ or $v_1 \notin \text{the vertices of } p(n)$ and $v_2 \in p(n).\text{endVertices}())$.

The theorem is a consequence of (15), (10), and (11).

- (18) Let us consider a non empty, graph-yielding finite sequence p . Suppose $p(1)$ is non trivial and path-like and for every element n of $\text{dom } p$ such that $n \leq \text{len } p - 1$ there exist objects v_1, e, v_2 such that $p(n+1)$ is a supergraph of $p(n)$ extended by v_1, v_2 and e between them and $(v_1 \in p(n).\text{endVertices}()$ or $v_2 \in p(n).\text{endVertices}())$. Then $p(\text{len } p)$ is path-like.

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ if $\$1 \leq \text{len } p - 1$, then $p(\$1 + 1)$ is a path-like graph. For every natural number n such that $\mathcal{P}[n]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[n+1]$. For every natural number n , $\mathcal{P}[n]$. \square

- (19) Let us consider graphs G_1, G_2 , and a partial graph mapping F from G_1 to G_2 . If F is isomorphism, then G_1 is path-like iff G_2 is path-like.
- (20) Let us consider graphs G_1, G_2 . If $G_1 \approx G_2$, then if G_1 is path-like, then G_2 is path-like.
- (21) Let us consider a graph G_1 , a set E , and a graph G_2 given by reversing directions of the edges E of G_1 . Then G_1 is path-like if and only if G_2 is path-like. The theorem is a consequence of (19).

Let P_2 be a 2-vertex, path-like graph. One can verify that every vertex of P_2 is endvertex. Now we state the propositions:

- (22) Let us consider a finite, non trivial, path-like graph P . Then $\delta(P) = 1$.

PROOF: Consider p being a non empty, finite, path-like, graph-yielding finite sequence such that $p(1)$ is 2-vertex and path-like and $p(\text{len } p) = P$ and $\text{len } p + 1 = P.\text{order}()$ and for every element n of $\text{dom } p$ such that $n \leq \text{len } p - 1$ there exist vertices v_1, v_2 of P and there exists an object e such that $p(n+1)$ is a supergraph of $p(n)$ extended by v_1, v_2 and e between them and $e \in (\text{the edges of } P) \setminus (\text{the edges of } p(n))$ and $(v_1 \in p(n).\text{endVertices}()$ and $v_2 \notin \text{the vertices of } p(n)$ or $v_1 \notin \text{the vertices of } p(n)$ and $v_2 \in p(n).\text{endVertices}())$.

$p(n)$ and $v_2 \in p(n).\text{endVertices}()$). Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ for every graph H such that $H = p(\$_1 + 1)$ and $\$_1 \leq \text{len } p - 1$ holds $\delta(H) = 1$. $\mathcal{P}[0]$ by [12, (174)], [9, (36)]. For every natural number k such that $\mathcal{P}[k]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[k + 1]$ by [7, (141)], [12, (174)], [9, (35)]. For every natural number k , $\mathcal{P}[k]$. \square

- (23) Let us consider a finite, path-like graph P . Then there exists a vertex-distinct path P_0 of P such that

- (i) $P_0.\text{vertices}() =$ the vertices of P , and
- (ii) $P_0.\text{edges}() =$ the edges of P , and
- (iii) $P.\text{endVertices}() = \{P_0.\text{first}(), P_0.\text{last}()\}$ iff P is not trivial, and
- (iv) P_0 is trivial iff P is trivial, and
- (v) P_0 is closed iff P is trivial, and
- (vi) P_0 is minimum length.

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ for every finite, path-like graph P such that $P.\text{order}() = \$_1 + 1$ there exists a vertex-distinct path P_0 of P such that $P_0.\text{vertices}() =$ the vertices of P and $P_0.\text{edges}() =$ the edges of P and $(P.\text{endVertices}() = \{P_0.\text{first}(), P_0.\text{last}()\})$ iff P is not trivial) and $(P_0$ is closed iff P is trivial) and P_0 is minimum length. $\mathcal{P}[0]$ by [12, (26), (22)], [11, (90)]. For every natural number n such that $\mathcal{P}[n]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[n + 1]$ by [12, (26)], (22), [12, (174)], (13). For every natural number n , $\mathcal{P}[n]$. Consider n being a natural number such that $P.\text{order}() = n + 1$. Consider P_0 being a vertex-distinct path of P such that $P_0.\text{vertices}() =$ the vertices of P and $P_0.\text{edges}() =$ the edges of P and $(P.\text{endVertices}() = \{P_0.\text{first}(), P_0.\text{last}()\})$ iff P is not trivial) and $(P_0$ is closed iff P is trivial) and P_0 is minimum length. \square

- (24) Let us consider a non zero natural number n , and n -vertex, path-like graphs P_1, P_2 . Then P_2 is P_1 -isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (23).
- (25) Let us consider a natural number n , and n -edge, path-like graphs P_1, P_2 . Then P_2 is P_1 -isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (24).
- (26) Let us consider a non trivial, path-like graph P . Then
- (i) $P.\text{order}() = 2$ iff $\Delta(P) = 1$, and
 - (ii) $P.\text{order}() \neq 2$ iff $\Delta(P) = 2$.
- (27) Let us consider a non trivial, path-like graph P , and a vertex v of P . If v is not endvertex, then $v.\text{degree}() = 2$.

Let us consider a finite, non trivial, path-like graph P . Now we state the propositions:

(28) There exist vertices v_1, v_2 of P such that

- (i) $v_1 \neq v_2$, and
- (ii) $P.\text{endVertices}() = \{v_1, v_2\}$.

The theorem is a consequence of (23).

(29) $\overline{P.\text{endVertices}()} = 2$. The theorem is a consequence of (28).

(30) Let us consider a finite, non trivial graph G . Suppose G is acyclic and $\delta(G) = 1$ and $\overline{G.\text{endVertices}()} = 2$. Then G is path-like.

PROOF: Set F = the subgraph of G with vertex v removed. $3 \subseteq F.\text{numComponents}()$. Consider c_1, c_2, c_3 being objects such that $c_1, c_2 \in F.\text{componentSet}()$ and $c_3 \in F.\text{componentSet}()$ and $c_1 \neq c_2$ and $c_1 \neq c_3$ and $c_2 \neq c_3$. Consider v_1 being a vertex of F such that $c_1 = F.\text{reachableFrom}(v_1)$. Consider v_2 being a vertex of F such that $c_2 = F.\text{reachableFrom}(v_2)$. Consider v_3 being a vertex of F such that $c_3 = F.\text{reachableFrom}(v_3)$. Set C_1 = the subgraph of F induced by $F.\text{reachableFrom}(v_1)$. Set C_2 = the subgraph of F induced by $F.\text{reachableFrom}(v_2)$. Set C_3 = the subgraph of F induced by $F.\text{reachableFrom}(v_3)$. Consider w_1 being a vertex of G such that w_1 is endvertex and $w_1 \in$ the vertices of C_1 . Consider w_2 being a vertex of G such that w_2 is endvertex and $w_2 \in$ the vertices of C_2 . Consider w_3 being a vertex of G such that w_3 is endvertex and $w_3 \in$ the vertices of C_3 . $w_1 \neq w_2$. $w_2 \neq w_3$. $w_3 \neq w_1$. \square

One can verify that every graph which is 2-vertex, simple, and connected is also path-like and every graph which is 2-vertex and path-like is also complete.

Let n be a natural number. Let us observe that every graph which is $(n+3)$ -vertex and path-like is also non complete.

3. CYCLE GRAPHS

Let G be a graph. We say that G is cycle-like if and only if

(Def. 6) G is connected, non acyclic, and 2-regular.

One can verify that there exists a graph which is non trivial and cycle-like and every graph which is connected, non acyclic, and 2-regular is also cycle-like and every graph which is cycle-like is also connected, non acyclic, and 2-regular.

Now we state the proposition:

(31) Let us consider a cycle-like graph G , and a circuit-like walk C of G . Then

- (i) $C.\text{vertices}()$ = the vertices of G , and
- (ii) $C.\text{edges}()$ = the edges of G .

Note that every graph which is cycle-like is also non edgeless, finite, and with max degree. Now we state the proposition:

(32) Let us consider a cycle-like graph G . Then $G.\text{order}() = G.\text{size}()$.

One can check that every graph which is trivial, non-directed-multi, and loopfull is also cycle-like and every graph which is trivial and cycle-like is also non-multi and loopfull and every graph which is non trivial and cycle-like is also loopless and there exists a graph which is trivial and cycle-like.

Let F be a graph-yielding function. We say that F is cycle-like if and only if

(Def. 7) for every object x such that $x \in \text{dom } F$ there exists a graph G such that $F(x) = G$ and G is cycle-like.

Let C be a cycle-like graph. Observe that $\langle C \rangle$ is cycle-like and $\mathbb{N} \mapsto C$ is cycle-like.

Let F be a non empty, graph-yielding function. Let us note that F is cycle-like if and only if the condition (Def. 8) is satisfied.

(Def. 8) for every element x of $\text{dom } F$, $F(x)$ is cycle-like.

Let S be a graph sequence. Observe that S is cycle-like if and only if the condition (Def. 9) is satisfied.

(Def. 9) for every natural number n , $S(n)$ is cycle-like.

One can verify that every graph-yielding function which is empty is also cycle-like and every graph-yielding function which is trivial, non-directed-multi, and loopfull is also cycle-like and every graph-yielding function which is cycle-like is also connected and there exists a graph sequence which is non empty and cycle-like.

Let F be a cycle-like, non empty, graph-yielding function and x be an element of $\text{dom } F$. Let us observe that $F(x)$ is cycle-like.

Let S be a cycle-like graph sequence and n be a natural number. Let us observe that $S(n)$ is cycle-like.

Let p be a cycle-like, graph-yielding finite sequence. One can verify that $p \upharpoonright n$ is cycle-like and $p \upharpoonright_n$ is cycle-like.

Let m be a natural number. Let us note that $\text{smid}(p, m, n)$ is cycle-like and $\langle p(m), \dots, p(n) \rangle$ is cycle-like.

Let p, q be cycle-like, graph-yielding finite sequences. One can verify that $p \frown q$ is cycle-like and $p \frown q$ is cycle-like.

Let C_1, C_2 be cycle-like graphs. Observe that $\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle$ is cycle-like.

Let C_3 be a cycle-like graph. Let us observe that $\langle C_1, C_2, C_3 \rangle$ is cycle-like.

Let S be a graph-membered set. We say that S is cycle-like if and only if

(Def. 10) for every graph G such that $G \in S$ holds G is cycle-like.

Note that every graph-membered set which is empty is also cycle-like and every graph-membered set which is cycle-like is also connected.

Let C_1 be a cycle-like graph. One can check that $\{C_1\}$ is cycle-like.

Let C_2 be a cycle-like graph. Let us note that $\{C_1, C_2\}$ is cycle-like.

Let F be a cycle-like, graph-yielding function. Observe that $\text{rng } F$ is cycle-like.

Let X be a cycle-like, graph-membered set. One can verify that every subset of X is cycle-like.

Let Y be a set. Note that $X \cap Y$ is cycle-like and $X \setminus Y$ is cycle-like.

Let X, Y be cycle-like, graph-membered sets. Observe that $X \cup Y$ is cycle-like and $X \div Y$ is cycle-like and there exists a graph-membered set which is non empty and cycle-like.

Let S be a non empty, cycle-like, graph-membered set. Let us note that every element of S is cycle-like.

4. PROPERTIES OF CYCLE GRAPHS

Now we state the propositions:

- (33) Let us consider a trivial, edgeless graph G_2 , a vertex v of G_2 , and an object e . Then every supergraph of G_2 extended by e between vertices v and v is cycle-like.
- (34) Let us consider a finite, non trivial, path-like graph P , elements v_1, v_2 of $P.\text{endVertices}()$, an object e , and a supergraph C of P extended by e between vertices v_1 and v_2 . Suppose $v_1 \neq v_2$ and $e \notin$ the edges of P . Then C is cycle-like. The theorem is a consequence of (29), (27), and (23).
- (35) Let us consider a cycle-like graph C , and an edge e of C . Then every subgraph of C with edge e removed is finite and path-like. The theorem is a consequence of (31).

Let C be a cycle-like graph and e be an edge of C . One can verify that every subgraph of C with edge e removed is finite and path-like. Now we state the propositions:

- (36) Let us consider a trivial, cycle-like graph G_1 , a vertex v of G_1 , and an edge e of G_1 . Then there exists a trivial, edgeless graph G_2 such that G_1 is a supergraph of G_2 extended by e between vertices v and v .
- (37) Let us consider a non trivial, cycle-like graph C , vertices v_1, v_2 of C , and an edge e of C . Suppose e joins v_1 to v_2 in C . Then there exists a non trivial, finite, path-like graph P such that
 - (i) $e \notin$ the edges of P , and

- (ii) C is a supergraph of P extended by e between vertices v_1 and v_2 ,
and
- (iii) $P.\text{endVertices}() = \{v_1, v_2\}$.

The theorem is a consequence of (28).

- (38) Let us consider a cycle-like graph C . Then $C.\text{order}() = 2$ if and only if C is not non-multi.

PROOF: Consider e_1, e_2, v_1, v_2 being objects such that e_1 joins v_1 and v_2 in C and e_2 joins v_1 and v_2 in C and $e_1 \neq e_2$. Set $W_1 = C.\text{walkOf}(v_1, e_1, v_2)$. Set $W_2 = W_1.\text{addEdge}(e_2)$. $v_1 \neq v_2$. The vertices of $C = W_2.\text{vertices}()$. \square

Let n be a natural number. Let us note that every graph which is n -vertex and cycle-like is also n -edge and every graph which is n -edge and cycle-like is also n -vertex and there exists a graph which is $(n+1)$ -vertex, $(n+1)$ -edge, and cycle-like and every graph which is $(n+2)$ -vertex and cycle-like is also loopless and every graph which is $(n+3)$ -vertex and cycle-like is also simple and there exists a graph which is $(n+2)$ -vertex, $(n+2)$ -edge, loopless, and cycle-like and there exists a graph which is $(n+3)$ -vertex, $(n+3)$ -edge, simple, and cycle-like.

Let n be a non zero natural number. Observe that there exists a graph which is n -vertex, n -edge, and cycle-like and every graph which is $(n+1)$ -vertex and cycle-like is also loopless and every graph which is $(n+2)$ -vertex and cycle-like is also simple and there exists a graph which is $(n+1)$ -vertex, $(n+1)$ -edge, cycle-like, and loopless and there exists a graph which is $(n+2)$ -vertex, $(n+2)$ -edge, cycle-like, and simple. Now we state the propositions:

- (39) Let us consider a cycle-like graph C_1 , and a non acyclic subgraph C_2 of C_1 . Then $C_1 \approx C_2$. The theorem is a consequence of (31).
- (40) Let us consider graphs G_1, G_2 , and a partial graph mapping F from G_1 to G_2 . Suppose F is isomorphism. Then G_1 is cycle-like if and only if G_2 is cycle-like.
- (41) Let us consider graphs G_1, G_2 . Suppose $G_1 \approx G_2$. If G_1 is cycle-like, then G_2 is cycle-like. The theorem is a consequence of (40).
- (42) Let us consider a graph G_1 , a set E , and a graph G_2 given by reversing directions of the edges E of G_1 . Then G_1 is cycle-like if and only if G_2 is cycle-like. The theorem is a consequence of (40).
- (43) Let us consider a non zero natural number n , and n -vertex, cycle-like graphs C_1, C_2 . Then C_2 is C_1 -isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (37), (24), and (29).
- (44) Let us consider a non zero natural number n , and n -edge, cycle-like graphs C_1, C_2 . Then C_2 is C_1 -isomorphic.
- (45) Let us consider a finite, non trivial, path-like graph P , an object v ,

and a supergraph C of P extended by vertex v and edges between v and $P.\text{endVertices}()$ of P . Suppose $v \notin$ the vertices of P . Then C is simple and cycle-like.

PROOF: $\overline{P.\text{endVertices}()} \neq 0$. Consider w_1, w_2 being vertices of P such that $w_1 \neq w_2$ and $P.\text{endVertices}() = \{w_1, w_2\}$. There exists a component G_3 of P and there exist vertices w_1, w_2 of G_3 such that $w_1, w_2 \in P.\text{endVertices}()$ and $w_1 \neq w_2$. \square

(46) Let us consider a non trivial, cycle-like graph C , and a vertex v of C . Then every subgraph of C with vertex v removed is finite and path-like. The theorem is a consequence of (31).

(47) Let us consider a simple, cycle-like graph C , and a vertex v of C . Then there exists a non trivial, path-like graph P such that

- (i) $v \notin$ the vertices of P , and
- (ii) C is a supergraph of P extended by vertex v and edges between v and $P.\text{endVertices}()$ of P .

PROOF: Set $P =$ the subgraph of C with vertex v removed. P is path-like. P is not trivial. \square

Let us observe that every graph which is 3-vertex, simple, and complete is also cycle-like and every graph which is 3-vertex and cycle-like is also simple, complete, and chordal.

Let n be a natural number. Observe that every graph which is $(n+4)$ -vertex and cycle-like is also non chordal and non complete.

Let n be a non zero natural number. One can verify that every graph which is $(n+3)$ -vertex and cycle-like is also non chordal and non complete and there exists a graph which is cycle-like, non complete, and non chordal.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, and Karol Pāk. The role of the Mizar Mathematical Library for interactive proof development in Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 61(1):9–32, 2018. doi:10.1007/s10817-017-9440-6.
- [2] John Adrian Bondy and U. S. R. Murty. *Graph Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 244. Springer, New York, 2008. ISBN 978-1-84628-969-9.
- [3] Ricky W. Butler and Jon A. Sjogren. A PVS graph theory library. Technical report, NASA Langley, 1998.
- [4] Reinhard Diestel. *Graph Theory*, volume Graduate Texts in Mathematics; 173. Springer, Berlin, fifth edition, 2017. ISBN 978-3-662-53621-6.
- [5] Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, and Adam Naumowicz. Four decades of Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 55(3):191–198, 2015. doi:10.1007/s10817-015-9345-1.
- [6] Sebastian Koch. Introduction to graph enumerations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 31(1):31–52, 2023. doi:10.2478/forma-2023-0004.
- [7] Sebastian Koch. About supergraphs. Part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 26(2):101–124, 2018. doi:10.2478/forma-2018-0009.

- [8] Sebastian Koch. About supergraphs. Part III. *Formalized Mathematics*, 27(2):153–179, 2019. doi:10.2478/forma-2019-0016.
- [9] Sebastian Koch. Refined finiteness and degree properties in graphs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 28(2):137–154, 2020. doi:10.2478/forma-2020-0013.
- [10] Sebastian Koch. About regular graphs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 31(1):75–86, 2023. doi:10.2478/forma-2023-0008.
- [11] Gilbert Lee. Walks in graphs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):253–269, 2005.
- [12] Gilbert Lee and Piotr Rudnicki. Alternative graph structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):235–252, 2005.
- [13] Gilbert Lee and Piotr Rudnicki. Alternative aggregates in Mizar. In Manuel Kauers, Manfred Kerber, Robert Miner, and Wolfgang Windsteiger, editors, *Towards Mechanized Mathematical Assistants*, pages 327–341, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. ISBN 978-3-540-73086-6. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-73086-6_26.
- [14] Lars Noschinski. A graph library for Isabelle. *Mathematics in Computer Science*, 9(1): 23–39, 2015. doi:10.1007/s11786-014-0183-z.
- [15] Robin James Wilson. *Introduction to Graph Theory*. Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh, 1972. ISBN 0-05-002534-1.

Accepted December 9, 2024
