

Introduction to Graph Enumerations

Sebastian Koch¹ Mainz, Germany

Summary. In this article sets of certain subgraphs of a graph are formalized in the Mizar system [7], [1], based on the formalization of graphs in [11] briefly sketched in [12]. The main result is the spanning subgraph theorem.

 $MSC: \ 05C05 \quad 05C30 \quad 68V20$

Keywords: graph enumeration; spanning tree

 $\mathrm{MML} \ \mathrm{identifier:} \ \mathtt{GLENUM00}, \ \mathrm{version:} \ \mathtt{8.1.12} \ \mathtt{5.74.1441}$

INTRODUCTION

Subsets of the set of all subgraphs of a graphs are rather rarely addressed directly (cf. [13], [4], [3]), but used as a tool in a wide variety of graph theory topics; e.g. they are needed for graph factorisation, graph reconstruction, random graphs, counting a special type of subgraphs and proving that every connected graph has a spanning subgraph (cf. [2], [14], [5]). The latter is proven in Section 7 of this article, together with the sharper result that we can even guarantee a spanning graph containing an arbitrary edge of the connected graph. As a necessity for that the set of all subtrees of a graph was introduced, as Jessica Enright and Piotr Rudnicki wished for in [6]. This article lays the groundwork for further formalization of any of these topics, in some sense extending and reusing [8] and [10]. It is noteworthy that the attribute **plain** from [9] was utilized here.

¹mailto: fly.high.android@gmail.com

1. SUBGRAPH SET AND SUBGRAPH RELATION

From now on G, G_1 , G_2 denote graphs and H denotes a subgraph of G.

Let us consider G. The functor G.allSubgraphs() yielding a graph-membered set is defined by the term

(Def. 1) {the plain subgraph of G induced by V and E, where V is a non empty subset of the vertices of G, E is a subset of the edges of G : $E \subseteq G.edgesBetween(V)$ }.

We introduce the notation G.allSG() as a synonym of G.allSubgraphs(). Let G be a finite graph. One can check that G.allSG() is finite. Now we state the propositions:

- (1) $G_2 \in G_1.allSG()$ if and only if G_2 is a plain subgraph of G_1 .
- (2) $H \upharpoonright (\text{the graph selectors}) \in G.allSG()$. The theorem is a consequence of (1).
- (3) $G \upharpoonright$ (the graph selectors) $\in G.allSG()$. The theorem is a consequence of (2).

Let us consider G. Let V be a non empty subset of the vertices of G. The functor createGraph(V) yielding a plain subgraph of G is defined by the term

(Def. 2) createGraph(V, \emptyset , the function from \emptyset into V, the function from \emptyset into V).

Let us note that createGraph(V) is edgeless. Now we state the propositions:

- (4) Let us consider a non empty subset V of the vertices of G. Then createGraph $(V) \in G.allSG()$.
- (5) Let us consider a non empty subset V of the vertices of G, and a subgraph H of G induced by V and \emptyset . Then $H \approx \text{createGraph}(V)$.
- (6) Let us consider a subgraph H of G with edges the edges of G removed. Then $H \approx \text{createGraph}(\Omega_{\alpha})$, where α is the vertices of G. The theorem is a consequence of (5).
- (7) G is edgeless if and only if $G \approx \text{createGraph}(\Omega_{\alpha})$, where α is the vertices of G. The theorem is a consequence of (6).
- (8) Let us consider a non empty subset V of the vertices of G_1 . Suppose $V \subseteq$ the vertices of G_2 . Then createGraph(V) is a subgraph of G_2 .
- (9) G is edgeless if and only if G.allSG() = the set of all createGraph(V)where V is a non empty subset of the vertices of G. The theorem is a consequence of (1), (7), (4), and (3).

Let us consider G. Let v be a vertex of G. The functor createGraph(v) yielding a plain subgraph of G is defined by the term

(Def. 3) createGraph($\{v\}$).

Let us note that createGraph(v) is trivial and edgeless. Now we state the propositions:

- (10) Let us consider a vertex v of G. Then createGraph $(v) \in G.allSG()$.
- (11) Let us consider a vertex v of G, and a subgraph H of G induced by $\{v\}$ and \emptyset . Then $H \approx \text{createGraph}(v)$.
- (12) Let us consider a vertex v of G_1 . Suppose $v \in$ the vertices of G_2 . Then createGraph(v) is a subgraph of G_2 .

Let G be a non edgeless graph and e be an edge of G.

The functor createGraph(e) yielding a plain subgraph of G is defined by

- (Def. 4) there exists a non empty subset V of the vertices of G and there exist functions S, T from $\{e\}$ into V such that $it = \text{createGraph}(V, \{e\}, S, T)$ and $\{(\text{the source of } G)(e), (\text{the target of } G)(e)\} = V$ and
 - $S = e \mapsto (\text{the source of } G)(e) \text{ and } T = e \mapsto (\text{the target of } G)(e).$

Let us consider a non edgeless graph G and an edge e of G. Now we state the propositions:

- (13) (i) the edges of createGraph $(e) = \{e\}$, and
 - (ii) the vertices of createGraph $(e) = \{(\text{the source of } G)(e), (\text{the target of } G)(e)\}.$
- (14) e joins (the source of G)(e) to (the target of G)(e) in createGraph(e). The theorem is a consequence of (13).

Let us consider a non edgeless graph G, an edge e of G, and objects e_0 , v, w. Now we state the propositions:

- (15) Suppose e_0 joins v to w in createGraph(e). Then
 - (i) $e_0 = e$, and
 - (ii) v = (the source of G)(e), and
 - (iii) w = (the target of G)(e).

The theorem is a consequence of (13).

(16) If e_0 joins v and w in createGraph(e), then $e_0 = e$. The theorem is a consequence of (15).

Let G be a non edgeless graph and e be an edge of G. One can check that $\operatorname{createGraph}(e)$ is non edgeless, non-multi, connected, and finite. Let us consider a non edgeless graph G and an edge e of G. Now we state the propositions:

- (17) createGraph(e) is loopless if and only if $e \notin G$.loops(). The theorem is a consequence of (14) and (15).
- (18) createGraph(e) is acyclic if and only if $e \notin G$.loops(). The theorem is a consequence of (17), (13), and (16).
- (19) createGraph $(e) \in G.allSG()$.

- (20) Let us consider a non edgeless graph G, an edge e of G, and a subgraph H of G induced by {(the source of G)(e), (the target of G)(e)} and {e}. Then $H \approx \text{createGraph}(e)$. The theorem is a consequence of (13).
- (21) Let us consider a non edgeless graph G, an edge e of G, and a subset V of the vertices of G. Then every supergraph of createGraph(e) extended by the vertices from V is a subgraph of G.
- (22) Let us consider an edgeless graph G, a graph union set S, and a graph union G' of S. Suppose for every vertex v of G, there exists an element H' of S such that $v \in$ the vertices of H'. Then G is a subgraph of G'.
- (23) Let us consider a non edgeless graph G, a graph union set S, and a graph union G' of S. Suppose for every vertex v of G, there exists an element H' of S such that $v \in$ the vertices of H' and for every edge e of G, there exists an element H' of S such that createGraph(e) is a subgraph of H'. Then G is a subgraph of G'. The theorem is a consequence of (13).
- (24) Let us consider an edgeless graph G, a graph union set S, and a graph union G' of S. Suppose for every vertex v of G, createGraph $(v) \in S$. Then G is a subgraph of G'. The theorem is a consequence of (22).
- (25) Let us consider a non edgeless graph G, a graph union set S, and a graph union G' of S. Suppose for every vertex v of G, createGraph $(v) \in S$ and for every edge e of G, createGraph $(e) \in S$. Then G is a subgraph of G'. The theorem is a consequence of (23).
- (26) Let us consider a non edgeless graph G, a set E, an edge e of G, and a subgraph H of G with edges E removed. If $e \notin E$, then createGraph(e)is a subgraph of H. The theorem is a consequence of (13).

Let us consider a non edgeless graph G, a subgraph H of G with loops removed, a graph union set S, and a graph union G' of S. Now we state the propositions:

- (27) Suppose for every vertex v of G, there exists an element H' of S such that $v \in$ the vertices of H' and for every edge e of G such that $e \notin G$.loops() there exists an element H' of S such that createGraph(e) is a subgraph of H'. Then H is a subgraph of G'. The theorem is a consequence of (13) and (26).
- (28) Suppose for every vertex v of G, createGraph $(v) \in S$ and for every edge e of G such that $e \notin G$.loops() holds createGraph $(e) \in S$. Then H is a subgraph of G'. The theorem is a consequence of (27).

Let us consider G. Let us observe that G.allSG() is non empty, \cup -tolerating, and plain. Let S be a non empty subset of G.allSG(). Let us observe that an element of S is a subgraph of G. Now we state the propositions:

- (29) $G_{2}.allSG() \subseteq G_{1}.allSG()$ if and only if G_{2} is a subgraph of G_{1} . The theorem is a consequence of (3) and (1).
- (30) $G_1 \approx G_2$ if and only if G_1 .allSG() = G_2 .allSG(). The theorem is a consequence of (29).

Let us consider G_1 and G_2 . Let F be a partial graph mapping from G_1 to G_2 . The functor SG2SGFunc(F) yielding a function from G_1 .allSG() into G_2 .allSG() is defined by

(Def. 5) for every plain subgraph H of G_1 , $it(H) = rng(F \upharpoonright H)$.

One can verify that SG2SGFunc(F) is non empty and graph-yielding and dom(SG2SGFunc(F)) is graph-membered and dom(SG2SGFunc(F)) is plain.

Now we state the proposition:

(31) Let us consider a partial graph mapping F from G_1 to G_2 . If F is weak subgraph embedding, then SG2SGFunc(F) is one-to-one. The theorem is a consequence of (1).

Let G_1 be a graph, G_2 be a G_1 -isomorphic graph, and F be an isomorphism between G_1 and G_2 . Let us observe that SG2SGFunc(F) is one-to-one. Now we state the propositions:

- (32) Let us consider a partial graph mapping F from G_1 to G_2 . Suppose F is onto. Then rng SG2SGFunc $(F) = G_2$.allSG(). The theorem is a consequence of (1).
- (33) If G_2 is G_1 -directed-isomorphic, then G_1 .allSG() and G_2 .allSG() are directed-isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (32), (31), and (1).
- (34) If G_2 is G_1 -isomorphic, then G_1 .allSG() and G_2 .allSG() are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (32), (31), and (1).
- (35) G is a graph union of G.allSG(). The theorem is a consequence of (3) and (1).
- (36) (i) G is loopless iff G.allSG() is loopless, and
 - (ii) G is non-multi iff G.allSG() is non-multi, and
 - (iii) G is non-directed-multi iff G.allSG() is non-directed-multi, and
 - (iv) G is simple iff G.allSG() is simple, and
 - (v) G is directed-simple iff G.allSG() is directed-simple, and
 - (vi) G is acyclic iff G.allSG() is acyclic, and
 - (vii) G is edgeless iff G.allSG() is edgeless.

Let G be a loopless graph. Observe that G.allSG() is loopless. Let G be a non-multi graph. Let us observe that G.allSG() is non-multi. Let G be a nondirected-multi graph. One can verify that G.allSG() is non-directed-multi. Let G be a simple graph. One can check that G.allSG() is simple. Let G be a directed-simple graph. Let us note that G.allSG() is directedsimple. Let G be an acyclic graph. Let us observe that G.allSG() is acyclic. Let G be an edgeless graph. One can verify that G.allSG() is edgeless. Now we state the propositions:

- (37) The vertices of G.allSG() = $2^{\alpha} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, where α is the vertices of G. The theorem is a consequence of (1).
- (38) The edges of G.allSG() = 2^{α} , where α is the edges of G. The theorem is a consequence of (1).

Let us consider G. The functor SubgraphRel(G) yielding a binary relation on G.allSG() is defined by

(Def. 6) for every elements H_1 , H_2 of G.allSG(), $\langle H_1, H_2 \rangle \in it$ iff H_1 is a subgraph of H_2 .

Now we state the propositions:

- (39) $\langle H \upharpoonright (\text{the graph selectors}), G \upharpoonright (\text{the graph selectors}) \rangle \in \text{SubgraphRel}(G).$ The theorem is a consequence of (2) and (3).
- (40) field SubgraphRel(G) = G.allSG(). PROOF: G.allSG() \subseteq field SubgraphRel(G). \Box
- (41) SubgraphRel(G) partially orders G.allSG().

Let us consider G. One can verify that $\operatorname{SubgraphRel}(G)$ is reflexive, antisymmetric, transitive, and partial-order. Now we state the propositions:

- (42) $G \upharpoonright$ (the graph selectors) is maximal in SubgraphRel(G). The theorem is a consequence of (3), (40), (1), and (39).
- (43) SubgraphRel(H) = SubgraphRel(G) |² H.allSG(). The theorem is a consequence of (29) and (40).
- (44) Let us consider a non empty subset S of G.allSG(), and a graph union G' of S. Suppose SubgraphRel $(G) |^2 S$ is a linear order. Let us consider a walk W of G'. Then there exists an element H of S such that W is a walk of H.

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{walk of } G'] \equiv \text{there exists an element } H \text{ of } S \text{ such that } \$_1 \text{ is a walk of } H.$ For every trivial walk W of G', $\mathcal{P}[W]$. For every walk W of G' and for every object e such that $e \in W.\text{last}().\text{edgesInOut}()$ and $\mathcal{P}[W]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[W.\text{addEdge}(e)]$. For every walk W of G', $\mathcal{P}[W]$. \Box

2. INDUCED SUBGRAPH SET

Let us consider G. The functor G.allInducedSG() yielding a subset of G.allSG() is defined by the term

(Def. 7) the set of all the plain subgraph of G induced by V where V is a non empty subset of the vertices of G.

Now we state the proposition:

(45) $G_2 \in G_1$.allInducedSG() if and only if there exists a non empty subset V of the vertices of G_1 such that G_2 is a plain subgraph of G_1 induced by V.

Let G be a vertex-finite graph. Observe that G.allInducedSG() is finite. Now we state the propositions:

- (46) Let us consider a non empty subset V of the vertices of G, and a subgraph H of G induced by V. Then $H \upharpoonright (\text{the graph selectors}) \in G.$ allInducedSG(). The theorem is a consequence of (45).
- (47) $G \upharpoonright (\text{the graph selectors}) \in G.allInducedSG()$. The theorem is a consequence of (46).

Let us consider G. Observe that G.allInducedSG() is non empty, \cup -tolerating, and plain. Now we state the propositions:

- (48) G_2 .allInducedSG() $\subseteq G_1$.allInducedSG() if and only if there exists a non empty subset V of the vertices of G_1 such that G_2 is a subgraph of G_1 induced by V. The theorem is a consequence of (47) and (45).
- (49) $G_1 \approx G_2$ if and only if G_1 .allInducedSG() = G_2 .allInducedSG(). The theorem is a consequence of (48).

Let us consider a partial graph mapping F from G_1 to G_2 . Now we state the propositions:

- (50) If F is total and onto, then G_2 .allInducedSG() \subseteq rng(SG2SGFunc(F) $\upharpoonright G_1$.allInducedSG()). The theorem is a consequence of (49).
- (51) If F is total and continuous, then $\operatorname{rng}(\operatorname{SG2SGFunc}(F) \upharpoonright G_1.\operatorname{allInducedSG}()) \subseteq G_2.\operatorname{allInducedSG}()$. The theorem is a consequence of (45).
- (52) If F is isomorphism, then $\operatorname{rng}(\operatorname{SG2SGFunc}(F) \upharpoonright G_1.\operatorname{allInducedSG}()) = G_2.\operatorname{allInducedSG}()$. The theorem is a consequence of (50) and (51).
- (53) If G_2 is G_1 -directed-isomorphic, then G_1 .allInducedSG() and G_2 .allInducedSG() are directed-isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (52), (31), and (45).
- (54) If G_2 is G_1 -isomorphic, then G_1 .allInducedSG() and G_2 .allInducedSG() are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (52), (31), and (45).

- (55) G is a graph union of G.allInducedSG(). The theorem is a consequence of (47).
- (56) (i) G is loopless iff G.allInducedSG() is loopless, and
 - (ii) G is non-multi iff G.allInducedSG() is non-multi, and
 - (iii) G is non-directed-multi iff G.allInducedSG() is non-directed-multi, and
 - (iv) G is simple iff G.allInducedSG() is simple, and
 - (v) G is directed-simple iff G.allInducedSG() is directed-simple, and
 - (vi) G is acyclic iff G.allInducedSG() is acyclic, and
 - (vii) G is edgeless iff G.allInducedSG() is edgeless, and
 - (viii) G is chordal iff G.allInducedSG() is chordal, and
 - (ix) G is loopfull iff G.allInducedSG() is loopfull.

Let G be a loopless graph. One can verify that G.allInducedSG() is loopless. Let G be a non-multi graph. Note that G.allInducedSG() is non-multi. Let G be a non-directed-multi graph. Observe that G.allInducedSG() is nondirected-multi. Let G be a simple graph. One can verify that G.allInducedSG() is simple. Let G be a directed-simple graph. Note that G.allInducedSG() is directed-simple. Let G be an acyclic graph. Observe that G.allInducedSG() is acyclic. Let G be an edgeless graph. One can verify that G.allInducedSG() is edgeless. Let G be a chordal graph. Note that G.allInducedSG() is chordal. Let G be a loopfull graph. Let us note that G.allInducedSG() is loopfull. Now we state the propositions:

- (57) G is edgeless if and only if G.allInducedSG() = the set of all createGraph (V) where V is a non empty subset of the vertices of G. The theorem is a consequence of (9), (45), and (47).
- (58) G is edgeless if and only if G.allSG() = G.allInducedSG(). The theorem is a consequence of (9), (57), and (45).
- (59) The vertices of G.allInducedSG() = $2^{\alpha} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, where α is the vertices of G. The theorem is a consequence of (37).

3. Spanning Subgraph Set

Let us consider G. The functor G.allSpanningSG() yielding a subset of G.allSG() is defined by the term

(Def. 8) {H, where H is an element of $\Omega_{G.allSG()}$: H is spanning}.

We introduce the notation G.allFactors() as a synonym of G.allSpanningSG(). Now we state the propositions:

- (60) $G_2 \in G_1$.allSpanningSG() if and only if G_2 is a plain, spanning subgraph of G_1 . The theorem is a consequence of (1).
- (61) Let us consider a spanning subgraph H of G. Then $H \upharpoonright (\text{the graph} \text{ selectors}) \in G.$ allSpanningSG(). The theorem is a consequence of (60).
- (62) $G \upharpoonright (\text{the graph selectors}) \in G.allSpanningSG()$. The theorem is a consequence of (61).
- (63) createGraph(Ω_{α}) \in G.allSpanningSG(), where α is the vertices of G. The theorem is a consequence of (60).
- (64) Let us consider a non edgeless graph G, an edge e of G, and a plain supergraph H of createGraph(e) extended by the vertices from the vertices of G. Then $H \in G$.allSpanningSG(). The theorem is a consequence of (21) and (60).

Let G be a graph. Let us note that G.allSpanningSG() is non empty, \cup -tolerating, and plain. Now we state the propositions:

- (65) G_2 .allSpanningSG() $\subseteq G_1$.allSpanningSG() if and only if G_2 is a spanning subgraph of G_1 . The theorem is a consequence of (62) and (60).
- (66) $G_1 \approx G_2$ if and only if G_1 .allSpanningSG() = G_2 .allSpanningSG(). The theorem is a consequence of (65).

Let us consider a partial graph mapping F from G_1 to G_2 . Now we state the propositions:

- (67) Suppose rng $F_{\mathbb{V}}$ = the vertices of G_2 . Then rng(SG2SGFunc(F) $\upharpoonright G_1$.allSpanningSG()) $\subseteq G_2$.allSpanningSG().
- (68) Suppose F is onto and $F_{\mathbb{V}}$ is one-to-one and total. Then $\operatorname{rng}(\operatorname{SG2SGFunc}(F) \upharpoonright G_1.\operatorname{allSpanningSG}()) = G_2.\operatorname{allSpanningSG}()$. The theorem is a consequence of (67), (32), (1), and (60).
- (69) If F is isomorphism, then $\operatorname{rng}(\operatorname{SG2SGFunc}(F) \upharpoonright G_1.\operatorname{allSpanningSG}()) = G_2.\operatorname{allSpanningSG}()$. The theorem is a consequence of (68).
- (70) If G_2 is G_1 -directed-isomorphic, then G_1 .allSpanningSG() and G_2 .allSpanningSG() are directed-isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (69), (31), and (60).
- (71) If G_2 is G_1 -isomorphic, then G_1 .allSpanningSG() and G_2 .allSpanningSG() are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (69), (31), and (60).
- (72) G is a graph union of G.allSpanningSG(). The theorem is a consequence of (62).
- (73) (i) G is loopless iff G.allSpanningSG() is loopless, and
 - (ii) G is non-multi iff G.allSpanningSG() is non-multi, and
 - (iii) G is non-directed-multi iff $G.\ensuremath{\mathrm{allSpanningSG}}()$ is non-directed-multi, and

- (iv) G is simple iff G.allSpanningSG() is simple, and
- (v) G is directed-simple iff G.allSpanningSG() is directed-simple, and
- (vi) G is acyclic iff G.allSpanningSG() is acyclic, and
- (vii) G is edgeless iff G.allSpanningSG() is edgeless.

Let G be a loopless graph. Note that G.allSpanningSG() is loopless. Let G be a non-multi graph. Observe that G.allSpanningSG() is non-multi. Let G be a non-directed-multi graph. One can verify that G.allSpanningSG() is non-directed-multi. Let G be a simple graph. Note that G.allSpanningSG() is simple.

Let G be a directed-simple graph. Observe that G.allSpanningSG() is directedsimple. Let G be an acyclic graph. One can verify that G.allSpanningSG() is acyclic. Let G be an edgeless graph. Note that G.allSpanningSG() is edgeless. Now we state the propositions:

- (74) G is edgeless if and only if G.allSpanningSG() = { $G \upharpoonright$ (the graph selectors)}. The theorem is a consequence of (60) and (62).
- (75) The vertices of G.allSpanningSG() = {the vertices of G}. The theorem is a consequence of (60).
- (76) The edges of G.allSpanningSG() = 2^{α} , where α is the edges of G. The theorem is a consequence of (38) and (60).
- (77) $G.allInducedSG() \cap G.allSpanningSG() = \{G \upharpoonright (\text{the graph selectors})\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (45), (60), (47), and (62).

4. Forest Subgraph Set

Let us consider G. The functor G.allForests() yielding a subset of G.allSG() is defined by the term

- (Def. 9) {H, where H is an element of $\Omega_{G.allSG()}$: H is acyclic}. Now we state the propositions:
 - (78) $G_2 \in G_1$.allForests() if and only if G_2 is a plain, acyclic subgraph of G_1 . The theorem is a consequence of (1).
 - (79) Let us consider an acyclic subgraph H of G. Then $H \upharpoonright$ (the graph selectors) $\in G.$ allForests(). The theorem is a consequence of (78).
 - (80) G is acyclic if and only if $G \upharpoonright (\text{the graph selectors}) \in G.$ allForests(). The theorem is a consequence of (79) and (78).
 - (81) Let us consider a non empty subset V of the vertices of G. Then createGraph $(V) \in G.$ allForests().
 - (82) Let us consider a vertex v of G. Then createGraph $(v) \in G.$ allForests().

- (83) Let us consider a non edgeless graph G, and an edge e of G. Suppose $e \notin G.$ loops(). Then createGraph $(e) \in G.$ allForests(). The theorem is a consequence of (18) and (78).
- (84) Let us consider a non edgeless graph G, an edge e of G, a subset V of the vertices of G, and a plain supergraph H of createGraph(e) extended by the vertices from V. If $e \notin G.$ loops(), then $H \in G.$ allForests(). The theorem is a consequence of (18), (21), and (78).

Let us consider G. Let us note that G.allForests() is non empty, \cup -tolerating, plain, acyclic, and simple. Now we state the propositions:

- (85) $H.allForests() \subseteq G.allForests()$. The theorem is a consequence of (78).
- (86) Let us consider a loopless graph G_2 . Suppose G_2 .allForests() $\subseteq G_1$.allForests(). Then G_2 is a subgraph of G_1 . PROOF: The edges of $G_2 \subseteq$ the edges of G_1 . \Box
- (87) Let us consider a subgraph H of G with loops removed. Then G.allForests() = H.allForests(). The theorem is a consequence of (85) and (78).
- (88) Let us consider loopless graphs G_1 , G_2 . Then $G_1 \approx G_2$ if and only if G_1 .allForests() = G_2 .allForests(). The theorem is a consequence of (87) and (86).
- (89) Let us consider a subgraph G_3 of G_1 with loops removed, and a subgraph G_4 of G_2 with loops removed. Then $G_3 \approx G_4$ if and only if G_1 .allForests() = G_2 .allForests(). The theorem is a consequence of (87) and (88).

Let us consider a partial graph mapping F from G_1 to G_2 . Now we state the propositions:

- (90) If F is weak subgraph embedding, then $\operatorname{rng}(\operatorname{SG2SGFunc}(F) \upharpoonright G_1. \operatorname{allForests}()) \subseteq G_2. \operatorname{allForests}()$. The theorem is a consequence of (78) and (1).
- (91) If F is one-to-one and onto, then G_2 .allForests() \subseteq rng(SG2SGFunc(F) $\upharpoonright G_1$.allForests()). The theorem is a consequence of (78).
- (92) If F is isomorphism, then G_2 .allForests() = rng(SG2SGFunc(F) $\upharpoonright G_1$.allForests()). The theorem is a consequence of (90) and (91).
- (93) If G_2 is G_1 -directed-isomorphic, then G_1 .allForests() and G_2 .allForests() are directed-isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (92), (31), and (78).
- (94) If G_2 is G_1 -isomorphic, then G_1 .allForests() and G_2 .allForests() are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (92), (31), and (78).

Let us consider a subgraph G_3 of G_1 with loops removed and a subgraph G_4 of G_2 with loops removed. Now we state the propositions:

- (95) If G_4 is G_3 -directed-isomorphic, then G_1 .allForests() and G_2 .allForests() are directed-isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (87) and (93).
- (96) If G_4 is G_3 -isomorphic, then G_1 .allForests() and G_2 .allForests() are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (87) and (94).
- (97) Every subgraph of G with loops removed is a graph union of G.allForests(). The theorem is a consequence of (35), (82), (83), (13), (87), and (78).
- (98) G is loopless if and only if G is a graph union of G.allForests(). The theorem is a consequence of (97).
- (99) The edges of G = G.loops() if and only if G.allForests() is edgeless. The theorem is a consequence of (78) and (83).
- (100) The edges of G = G.loops() if and only if G.allForests() = the set of all createGraph(V) where V is a non empty subset of the vertices of G. The theorem is a consequence of (99), (78), and (81).
- (101) The vertices of G.allForests() = $2^{\alpha} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, where α is the vertices of G. The theorem is a consequence of (37) and (81).

5. Spanning Forest Subgraph Set

Let us consider G. The functor G.allSpanningForests() yielding a subset of G.allSG() is defined by the term

- (Def. 10) {H, where H is an element of $\Omega_{G.allSG()}$: H is spanning and acyclic}. Now we state the propositions:
 - (102) $G_2 \in G_1$.allSpanningForests() if and only if G_2 is a plain, spanning, acyclic subgraph of G_1 . The theorem is a consequence of (1).
 - (103) $G.allSpanningForests() = G.allSpanningSG() \cap G.allForests()$. The theorem is a consequence of (102), (60), and (78).
 - (104) Let us consider a spanning, acyclic subgraph H of G. Then $H \upharpoonright$ (the graph selectors) $\in G$.allSpanningForests(). The theorem is a consequence of (102).
 - (105) G is acyclic if and only if $G \upharpoonright (\text{the graph selectors}) \in G.allSpanningForests().$ The theorem is a consequence of (103), (80), and (62).
 - (106) createGraph(Ω_{α}) \in G.allSpanningForests(), where α is the vertices of G. The theorem is a consequence of (81), (63), and (103).
 - (107) Let us consider a non edgeless graph G, an edge e of G, and a plain supergraph H of createGraph(e) extended by the vertices from the vertices of G. If $e \notin G$.loops(), then $H \in G$.allSpanningForests(). The theorem is a consequence of (64), (84), and (103).

Let us consider G. One can check that G.allSpanningForests() is non empty, \cup -tolerating, plain, acyclic, and simple. Now we state the propositions:

- (108) Let us consider a spanning subgraph H of G. Then H.allSpanningForests() \subseteq G.allSpanningForests(). The theorem is a consequence of (102).
- (109) Let us consider a loopless graph G_2 . Suppose G_2 .allSpanningForests() $\subseteq G_1$.allSpanningForests(). Then G_2 is a spanning subgraph of G_1 . The theorem is a consequence of (102), (107), and (13).
- (110) Let us consider a subgraph H of G with loops removed. Then G.allSpanningForests() = H.allSpanningForests(). The theorem is a consequence of (108) and (102).
- (111) Let us consider loopless graphs G_1 , G_2 . Then $G_1 \approx G_2$ if and only if G_1 .allSpanningForests() = G_2 .allSpanningForests(). The theorem is a consequence of (110) and (109).
- (112) Let us consider a subgraph G_3 of G_1 with loops removed, and a subgraph G_4 of G_2 with loops removed. Then $G_3 \approx G_4$ if and only if G_1 .allSpanningForests() = G_2 .allSpanningForests(). The theorem is a consequence of (110) and (111).

Let us consider a partial graph mapping F from G_1 to G_2 . Now we state the propositions:

- (113) Suppose F is weak subgraph embedding and rng $F_{\mathbb{V}}$ = the vertices of G_2 . Then rng(SG2SGFunc(F) $\upharpoonright G_1$.allSpanningForests()) $\subseteq G_2$.allSpanning Forests(). The theorem is a consequence of (67), (90), and (103).
- (114) Suppose F is weak subgraph embedding and onto. Then G_2 .allSpanningForests() = rng(SG2SGFunc(F) $\upharpoonright G_1$.allSpanning Forests()). The theorem is a consequence of (113), (68), (91), (103), and (31).

Let us consider graphs G_1, G_2 . Now we state the propositions:

- (115) If G_2 is G_1 -directed-isomorphic, then G_1 .allSpanningForests() and G_2 .allSpanningForests() are directed-isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (114), (31), and (102).
- (116) If G_2 is G_1 -isomorphic, then G_1 .allSpanningForests() and G_2 .allSpanningForests() are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (114), (31), and (102).

Let us consider a subgraph G_3 of G_1 with loops removed and a subgraph G_4 of G_2 with loops removed. Now we state the propositions:

(117) If G_4 is G_3 -directed-isomorphic, then G_1 .allSpanningForests() and G_2 .allSpanningForests() are directed-isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (110) and (115).

- (118) If G_4 is G_3 -isomorphic, then G_1 .allSpanningForests() and G_2 .allSpanningForests() are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (110) and (116).
- (119) Every subgraph of G with loops removed is a graph union of G.allSpanningForests(). The theorem is a consequence of (35), (106), (107), (13), (110), and (102).
- (120) G is loopless if and only if G is a graph union of G.allSpanningForests(). The theorem is a consequence of (119).
- (121) The edges of G = G.loops() if and only if G.allSpanningForests() is edgeless. The theorem is a consequence of (99), (103), and (107).
- (122) The edges of G = G.loops() if and only if for every subgraph H of G with loops removed, G.allSpanningForests() = {H \(the graph selectors)}. The theorem is a consequence of (102) and (104).
- (123) The vertices of G.allSpanningForests() = {the vertices of G}. The theorem is a consequence of (103) and (75).

6. Connected Subgraph Set

Let us consider G. The functor G.allConnectedSG() yielding a subset of G.allSG() is defined by the term

- (Def. 11) {*H*, where *H* is an element of $\Omega_{G.allSG()}$: *H* is connected}. Now we state the propositions:
 - (124) $G_2 \in G_1$.allConnectedSG() if and only if G_2 is a plain, connected subgraph of G_1 . The theorem is a consequence of (1).
 - (125) Let us consider a connected subgraph H of G. Then $H \upharpoonright (\text{the graph} \text{ selectors}) \in G.$ allConnectedSG(). The theorem is a consequence of (124).
 - (126) G is connected if and only if $G \upharpoonright (\text{the graph selectors}) \in G.allConnectedSG()$. The theorem is a consequence of (125) and (124).
 - (127) Let us consider a vertex v of G. Then createGraph $(v) \in G$.allConnectedSG().
 - (128) Let us consider a non edgeless graph G, and an edge e of G. Then createGraph $(e) \in G$.allConnectedSG().

Let us consider G. One can check that G.allConnectedSG() is non empty, \cup -tolerating, plain, and connected. Now we state the propositions:

(129) $H.allConnectedSG() \subseteq G.allConnectedSG()$. The theorem is a consequence of (124).

(130) If G_2 .allConnectedSG() $\subseteq G_1$.allConnectedSG(), then G_2 is a subgraph of G_1 .

PROOF: The edges of $G_2 \subseteq$ the edges of G_1 . \Box

(131) $G_1 \approx G_2$ if and only if G_1 .allConnectedSG() = G_2 .allConnectedSG(). The theorem is a consequence of (129) and (130).

Let us consider a partial graph mapping F from G_1 to G_2 . Now we state the propositions:

- (132) If F is total, then $\operatorname{rng}(\operatorname{SG2SGFunc}(F) \upharpoonright G_1.\operatorname{allConnectedSG}()) \subseteq G_2.\operatorname{allConnectedSG}()$. The theorem is a consequence of (124) and (1).
- (133) If F is one-to-one and onto, then G_2 .allConnectedSG() \subseteq rng(SG2SGFunc(F) $\upharpoonright G_1$.allConnectedSG()). The theorem is a consequence of (124).
- (134) If F is isomorphism, then G_2 .allConnectedSG() = rng(SG2SGFunc(F) G_1 .allConnectedSG()). The theorem is a consequence of (132) and (133).
- (135) If G_2 is G_1 -directed-isomorphic, then G_1 .allConnectedSG() and G_2 .allConnectedSG() are directed-isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (134), (31), and (124).
- (136) If G_2 is G_1 -isomorphic, then G_1 .allConnectedSG() and G_2 .allConnectedSG() are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (134), (31), and (124).
- (137) G is a graph union of G.allConnectedSG(). The theorem is a consequence of (35), (127), (24), (128), and (25).
 - 7. TREE SUBGRAPH SET AND SUBTREE RELATION

Let us consider G. The functor G.allTrees() yielding a subset of G.allSG() is defined by the term

- (Def. 12) {H, where H is an element of $\Omega_{G.allSG()}$: H is tree-like}. Now we state the propositions:
 - (138) $G_2 \in G_1$.allTrees() if and only if G_2 is a plain, tree-like subgraph of G_1 . The theorem is a consequence of (1).
 - (139) $G.allTrees() = G.allForests() \cap G.allConnectedSG()$. The theorem is a consequence of (138), (78), and (124).
 - (140) Let us consider a tree-like subgraph H of G. Then $H \upharpoonright (\text{the graph selectors}) \in G.$ allTrees(). The theorem is a consequence of (138).
 - (141) G is tree-like if and only if $G \upharpoonright (\text{the graph selectors}) \in G.allTrees()$. The theorem is a consequence of (140) and (138).

- (142) Let us consider a vertex v of G. Then createGraph $(v) \in G.$ allTrees().
- (143) Let us consider a non edgeless graph G, and an edge e of G. Suppose $e \notin G$.loops(). Then createGraph $(e) \in G$.allTrees(). The theorem is a consequence of (18) and (138).

Let us consider G. Observe that G.allTrees() is non empty, \cup -tolerating, plain, tree-like, and simple. Now we state the propositions:

- (144) $H.allTrees() \subseteq G.allTrees()$. The theorem is a consequence of (138).
- (145) Let us consider a loopless graph G_2 . Suppose G_2 .allTrees() $\subseteq G_1$.allTrees(). Then G_2 is a subgraph of G_1 . The theorem is a consequence of (142), (138), (143), and (13).
- (146) Let us consider a subgraph H of G with loops removed. Then G.allTrees() = H.allTrees(). The theorem is a consequence of (144) and (138).
- (147) Let us consider loopless graphs G_1 , G_2 . Then $G_1 \approx G_2$ if and only if G_1 .allTrees() = G_2 .allTrees(). The theorem is a consequence of (146) and (145).
- (148) Let us consider a subgraph G_3 of G_1 with loops removed, and a subgraph G_4 of G_2 with loops removed. Then $G_3 \approx G_4$ if and only if G_1 .allTrees() = G_2 .allTrees(). The theorem is a consequence of (146) and (147).

Let us consider a partial graph mapping F from G_1 to G_2 . Now we state the propositions:

- (149) If F is weak subgraph embedding, then $\operatorname{rng}(\operatorname{SG2SGFunc}(F) \upharpoonright G_1.\operatorname{allTrees}()) \subseteq G_2.\operatorname{allTrees}()$. The theorem is a consequence of (139), (90), and (132).
- (150) If F is weak subgraph embedding and onto, then G_2 .allTrees() = $\operatorname{rng}(\operatorname{SG2SGFunc}(F) \upharpoonright G_1.allTrees())$. The theorem is a consequence of (91), (133), (139), (149), and (31).

Let us consider graphs G_1, G_2 . Now we state the propositions:

- (151) If G_2 is G_1 -directed-isomorphic, then G_1 .allTrees() and G_2 .allTrees() are directed-isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (150), (31), and (138).
- (152) If G_2 is G_1 -isomorphic, then G_1 .allTrees() and G_2 .allTrees() are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (150), (31), and (138).

Let us consider a subgraph G_3 of G_1 with loops removed and a subgraph G_4 of G_2 with loops removed. Now we state the propositions:

- (153) If G_4 is G_3 -directed-isomorphic, then G_1 .allTrees() and G_2 .allTrees() are directed-isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (146) and (151).
- (154) If G_4 is G_3 -isomorphic, then G_1 .allTrees() and G_2 .allTrees() are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (146) and (152).

- (155) Every subgraph of G with loops removed is a graph union of G.allTrees(). The theorem is a consequence of (35), (142), (143), (13), (146), and (138).
- (156) G is loopless if and only if G is a graph union of G.allTrees(). The theorem is a consequence of (155).
- (157) The edges of G = G.loops() if and only if G.allTrees() is edgeless. The theorem is a consequence of (138) and (143).
- (158) The edges of G = G.loops() if and only if G.allTrees() = the set of all createGraph(v) where v is a vertex of G. The theorem is a consequence of (157), (138), and (142).

Let us consider G. The functor Subtree $\operatorname{Rel}(G)$ yielding a binary relation on G.all Trees() is defined by the term

(Def. 13) SubgraphRel(G) |² G.allTrees().

Now we state the propositions:

- (159) Let us consider plain, tree-like subgraphs H_1 , H_2 of G. Then $\langle H_1, H_2 \rangle \in$ SubtreeRel(G) if and only if H_1 is a subgraph of H_2 . The theorem is a consequence of (1) and (138).
- (160) field SubtreeRel(G) = G.allTrees(). The theorem is a consequence of (40).
- (161) SubtreeRel(G) partially orders G.allTrees(). The theorem is a consequence of (41) and (160).

Let us consider G. Let us observe that SubtreeRel(G) is reflexive, antisymmetric, transitive, and partial-order. Now we state the propositions:

- (162) SubtreeRel(H) = SubtreeRel(G) |² H.allTrees(). The theorem is a consequence of (43) and (144).
- (163) Let us consider a loopless graph G. Then G is edgeless if and only if SubtreeRel(G) = $id_{G.allTrees()}$. The theorem is a consequence of (160), (138), (159), (143), and (13).
- (164) Let us consider a subgraph H of G with loops removed. Then SubtreeRel(G) = SubtreeRel(H). The theorem is a consequence of (146) and (162).
- (165) The edges of G = G.loops() if and only if SubtreeRel $(G) = id_{G.allTrees}$ (). The theorem is a consequence of (164), (163), and (146).
- (166) G.allTrees() has the upper Zorn property w.r.t. SubtreeRel(G). The theorem is a consequence of (160), (159), (44), (35), and (138).

Let G be a connected graph.

EVERY CONNECTED GRAPH HAS A SPANNING TREE: there exists a subgraph of G which is plain, spanning, and tree-like.

Now we state the proposition:

(167) Let us consider a connected graph G, and an object e. Suppose $e \in$ (the edges of G) \ (G.loops()). Then there exists a plain, spanning, tree-like subgraph T of G such that $e \in$ the edges of T.

8. Spanning Tree Subgraph Set

Let us consider G. The functor G.allSpanningTrees() yielding a subset of G.allSG() is defined by the term

- (Def. 14) {H, where H is an element of $\Omega_{G.allSG()}$: H is spanning and tree-like}. Now we state the propositions:
 - (168) $G_2 \in G_1$.allSpanningTrees() if and only if G_2 is plain, spanning, acyclic subgraph of G_1 and connected. The theorem is a consequence of (1).
 - (169) $G.allSpanningTrees() = G.allSpanningSG() \cap G.allTrees()$. The theorem is a consequence of (168), (60), and (138).
 - (170) $G.allSpanningTrees() = G.allConnectedSG() \cap G.allSpanningForests().$ The theorem is a consequence of (168), (102), and (124).
 - (171) Let us consider a spanning, acyclic subgraph H of G. Suppose H is connected. Then $H \upharpoonright (\text{the graph selectors}) \in G.allSpanningTrees()$. The theorem is a consequence of (168).
 - (172) G is tree-like if and only if $G \upharpoonright (\text{the graph selectors}) \in G.$ allSpanningTrees(). The theorem is a consequence of (169), (141), and (62).
 - (173) G is connected if and only if G.allSpanningTrees() $\neq \emptyset$. The theorem is a consequence of (168).

Let G be a non connected graph. Let us note that G.allSpanningTrees() is empty. Let G be a connected graph. Observe that G.allSpanningTrees() is non empty, tree-like, and simple. Now we state the propositions:

- (174) Let us consider a connected graph G, and a connected, spanning subgraph H of G. Then H.allSpanningTrees() $\subseteq G$.allSpanningTrees(). The theorem is a consequence of (168).
- (175) Let us consider a loopless, connected graph G_2 . Suppose G_2 .allSpanning Trees() $\subseteq G_1$.allSpanningTrees(). Then G_2 is a spanning subgraph of G_1 . The theorem is a consequence of (168) and (167).
- (176) Let us consider a subgraph H of G with loops removed. Then G.allSpanningTrees() = H.allSpanningTrees(). The theorem is a consequence of (174) and (168).
- (177) Let us consider loopless, connected graphs G_1 , G_2 . Then $G_1 \approx G_2$ if and only if G_1 .allSpanningTrees() = G_2 .allSpanningTrees(). The theorem is a consequence of (176) and (175).

(178) Let us consider connected graphs G_1 , G_2 , a subgraph G_3 of G_1 with loops removed, and a subgraph G_4 of G_2 with loops removed. Then $G_3 \approx G_4$ if and only if G_1 .allSpanningTrees() = G_2 .allSpanningTrees(). The theorem is a consequence of (176) and (177).

Let us consider a partial graph mapping F from G_1 to G_2 . Now we state the propositions:

- (179) Suppose F is weak subgraph embedding and rng $F_{\mathbb{V}}$ = the vertices of G_2 . Then rng(SG2SGFunc(F) $\upharpoonright G_1$.allSpanningTrees()) $\subseteq G_2$.allSpanning Trees(). The theorem is a consequence of (132), (113), and (170).
- (180) Suppose F is weak subgraph embedding and onto. Then G_2 .allSpanning Trees() = rng(SG2SGFunc(F) $\upharpoonright G_1$.allSpanningTrees()). The theorem is a consequence of (179), (133), (114), (170), and (31).
- (181) If G_2 is G_1 -directed-isomorphic, then G_1 .allSpanningTrees() and G_2 .allSpanningTrees() are directed-isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (180), (31), and (168).
- (182) If G_2 is G_1 -isomorphic, then G_1 .allSpanningTrees() and G_2 .allSpanningTrees() are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (180), (31), and (168).

Let us consider a subgraph G_3 of G_1 with loops removed and a subgraph G_4 of G_2 with loops removed. Now we state the propositions:

- (183) If G_4 is G_3 -directed-isomorphic, then G_1 .allSpanningTrees() and G_2 .allSpanningTrees() are directed-isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (176) and (181).
- (184) If G_4 is G_3 -isomorphic, then G_1 .allSpanningTrees() and G_2 .allSpanningTrees() are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (176) and (182).
- (185) Let us consider a connected graph G. Then every subgraph of G with loops removed is a graph union of G.allSpanningTrees(). The theorem is a consequence of (35), (168), (167), and (176).
- (186) Every loopless, connected graph is a graph union of G.allSpanningTrees(). The theorem is a consequence of (185).
- (187) G is tree-like if and only if G.allSpanningTrees() = $\{G \mid (\text{the graph selectors})\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (168) and (172).
- (188) G is connected if and only if the vertices of G.allSpanningTrees() = $\{\text{the vertices of } G\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (123) and (170).

SEBASTIAN KOCH

9. Component Subgraph Set

Let us consider G. The functor G.allComponents() yielding a subset of G.allSG() is defined by the term

- (Def. 15) {H, where H is an element of $\Omega_{G.allSG()}$: H is component-like}. Now we state the propositions:
 - (189) $G_2 \in G_1$.allComponents() if and only if G_2 is a plain component of G_1 . The theorem is a consequence of (1).
 - (190) $G.allComponents() \subseteq G.allInducedSG() \cap G.allConnectedSG()$. The theorem is a consequence of (189) and (124).
 - (191) Let us consider a component H of G. Then $H \upharpoonright (\text{the graph selectors}) \in G. allComponents()$. The theorem is a consequence of (189).
 - (192) G is connected if and only if $G \upharpoonright (\text{the graph selectors}) \in G.allComponents().$ The theorem is a consequence of (191) and (189).

Let us consider G. Let us observe that G.allComponents() is non empty, vertex-disjoint, edge-disjoint, \cup -tolerating, plain, and connected. Now we state the propositions:

- (193) If G_2 .allComponents() $\subseteq G_1$.allComponents(), then G_2 is a subgraph of G_1 . The theorem is a consequence of (189).
- (194) $G_1 \approx G_2$ if and only if G_1 .allComponents() = G_2 .allComponents(). The theorem is a consequence of (189) and (193).
- (195) Let us consider a non empty, one-to-one partial graph mapping F from G_1 to G_2 . Suppose F is isomorphism. Then G_2 .allComponents() = $\operatorname{rng}(\operatorname{SG2SGFunc}(F) \upharpoonright G_1.allComponents())$. The theorem is a consequence of (189).
- (196) If G_2 is G_1 -directed-isomorphic, then G_1 .allComponents() and G_2 .allComponents() are directed-isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (195), (31), and (189).
- (197) If G_2 is G_1 -isomorphic, then G_1 .allComponents() and G_2 .allComponents() are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (195), (31), and (189).
- (198) G is a graph union of G.allComponents(). The theorem is a consequence of (35), (189), (22), (14), (13), and (23).
- (199) (i) G is loopless iff G.allComponents() is loopless, and
 - (ii) G is non-multi iff G.allComponents() is non-multi, and
 - (iii) G is non-directed-multi iff G.allComponents() is non-directed-multi, and
 - (iv) G is simple iff G.allComponents() is simple, and

- (v) G is directed-simple iff G.allComponents() is directed-simple, and
- (vi) G is acyclic iff G.allComponents() is acyclic, and
- (vii) G is edgeless iff G.allComponents() is edgeless, and
- (viii) G is chordal iff G.allComponents() is chordal, and
- (ix) G is loopfull iff G.allComponents() is loopfull.

The theorem is a consequence of (198).

Let G be a loopless graph. Observe that G.allComponents() is loopless. Let G be a non-multi graph. One can verify that G.allComponents() is non-multi. Let G be a non-directed-multi graph. Note that G.allComponents() is non-directed-multi. Let G be a simple graph. Observe that G.allComponents() is simple. Let G be a directed-simple graph. One can verify that G.allComponents() is directed-simple.

Let G be an acyclic graph. Note that G.allComponents() is acyclic. Let G be an edgeless graph. Observe that G.allComponents() is edgeless. Let G be a chordal graph. One can verify that G.allComponents() is chordal. Let G be a loopfull graph. One can check that G.allComponents() is loopfull. Now we state the propositions:

- (200) G is connected if and only if G.allComponents() = $\{G \upharpoonright (\text{the graph selectors})\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (192) and (189).
- (201) The vertices of G.allComponents() = G.componentSet().

(202) $G.numComponents() = \overline{G.allComponents()}$. PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[object, object] \equiv$ there exists a plain component H of G such that $\$_1 = H$ and $\$_2 =$ the vertices of H. For every object x such that $x \in G.allComponents()$ there exists an object y such that $\mathcal{P}[x, y]$. Consider f being a function such that dom f = G.allComponents() and for every object x such that $x \in G.allComponents()$ holds $\mathcal{P}[x, f(x)]$. \Box

References

- Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, and Karol Pąk. The role of the Mizar Mathematical Library for interactive proof development in Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 61(1):9–32, 2018. doi:10.1007/s10817-017-9440-6.
- [2] John Adrian Bondy and U. S. R. Murty. Graph Theory. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 244. Springer, New York, 2008. ISBN 978-1-84628-969-9.
- [3] Ricky W. Butler and Jon A. Sjogren. A PVS graph theory library. Technical report, NASA Langley, 1998.
- [4] Ching-Tsun Chou. A formal theory of undirected graphs in higher-order logic. In Thomas F. Melham and Juanito Camilleri, editors, *Higher Order Logic Theorem Proving and Its Applications, 7th International Workshop, Valletta, Malta, September 19–22, 1994, Proceedings, volume 859 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science,* pages 144–157. Springer, 1994. doi:10.1007/3-540-58450-1_40.

- [5] Reinhard Diestel. Graph Theory, volume Graduate Texts in Mathematics; 173. Springer, Berlin, fifth edition, 2017. ISBN 978-3-662-53621-6.
- [6] Jessica Enright and Piotr Rudnicki. Helly property for subtrees. Formalized Mathematics, 16(2):91–96, 2008. doi:10.2478/v10037-008-0013-3.
- [7] Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, and Adam Naumowicz. Four decades of Mizar. Journal of Automated Reasoning, 55(3):191–198, 2015. doi:10.1007/s10817-015-9345-1.
- [8] Sebastian Koch. Miscellaneous graph preliminaries. Part I. Formalized Mathematics, 29 (1):21–38, 2021. doi:10.2478/forma-2021-0003.
- [9] Sebastian Koch. Underlying simple graphs. Formalized Mathematics, 27(3):237–259, 2019. doi:10.2478/forma-2019-0023.
- [10] Sebastian Koch. About graph sums. Formalized Mathematics, 29(4):249–278, 2021. doi:10.2478/forma-2021-0023.
- [11] Gilbert Lee and Piotr Rudnicki. Alternative graph structures. Formalized Mathematics, 13(2):235–252, 2005.
- [12] Gilbert Lee and Piotr Rudnicki. Alternative aggregates in Mizar. In Manuel Kauers, Manfred Kerber, Robert Miner, and Wolfgang Windsteiger, editors, *Towards Mechani*zed Mathematical Assistants, pages 327–341, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. ISBN 978-3-540-73086-6. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-73086-6_26.
- [13] Lars Noschinski. A graph library for Isabelle. Mathematics in Computer Science, 9(1): 23–39, 2015. doi:10.1007/s11786-014-0183-z.
- [14] Robin James Wilson. Introduction to Graph Theory. Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh, 1972. ISBN 0-05-002534-1.

Accepted March 31, 2023