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Summary. In [7, 9, 10 we presented a formalization of Kronecker's construction of a field extension $E$ for a field $F$ in which a given polynomial $p \in F[X] \backslash F$ has a root [5], 6], 3]. A drawback of our formalization was that it works only for polynomial-disjoint fields, that is for fields $F$ with $F \cap F[X]=\emptyset$. The main purpose of Kronecker's construction is that by induction one gets a field extension of $F$ in which $p$ splits into linear factors. For our formalization this means that the constructed field extension $E$ again has to be polynomial-disjoint.

In this article, by means of Mizar system [2], 1], we first analyze whether our formalization can be extended that way. Using the field of polynomials over $F$ with degree smaller than the degree of $p$ to construct the field extension $E$ does not work: In this case $E$ is polynomial-disjoint if and only if $p$ is linear. Using $F[X] /\langle p\rangle$ one can show that for $F=\mathbb{Q}$ and $F=\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ the constructed field extension $E$ is again polynomial-disjoint, so that in particular algebraic number fields can be handled.

For the general case we then introduce renamings of sets $X$ as injective functions $f$ with $\operatorname{dom}(f)=X$ and $\operatorname{rng}(f) \cap(X \cup Z)=\emptyset$ for an arbitrary set $Z$. This, finally, allows to construct a field extension $E$ of an arbitrary field $F$ in which a given polynomial $p \in F[X] \backslash F$ splits into linear factors. Note, however, that to prove the existence of renamings we had to rely on the axiom of choice.
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## 1. Preliminaries

Now we state the proposition:
(1) Let us consider sets $X, Y$. If $Y \subseteq X$, then $X \backslash Y \cup Y=X$.

Let us consider natural numbers $n, m$. Now we state the propositions:
(2) (i) $n+m=n+m$, and
(ii) $n \cdot m=n \cdot m$.
(3) (i) $n \subseteq m$ iff $n \leqslant m$, and
(ii) $n \in m$ iff $n<m$.

Let us consider a natural number $n$. Now we state the propositions:
(4) $2^{n}=2^{n}$.
(5) If $n \geqslant 3$, then $n+n<2^{n}$.
(6) If $n \geqslant 3$, then $n+n \in 2^{n}$. The theorem is a consequence of (2), (5), (3), and (4).
(7) $\mathbb{N}$ meets $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Let us consider a set $X$. Now we state the propositions:
(8) There exists an object $o$ such that $o \notin X$.
(9) There exists a set $Y$ such that
(i) $\overline{\bar{X}} \subseteq \overline{\bar{Y}}$, and
(ii) $X \cap Y=\emptyset$.
(10) Let us consider sets $X, Y$. Suppose $\overline{\bar{X}} \subseteq \overline{\bar{Y}}$. Then there exists a set $Z$ such that
(i) $Z \subseteq Y$, and
(ii) $\overline{\bar{Z}}=\overline{\bar{X}}$.
(11) Let us consider a set $X$. Then there exists a set $Y$ such that
(i) $\overline{\bar{X}}=\overline{\bar{Y}}$, and
(ii) $X \cap Y=\emptyset$.

The theorem is a consequence of (9) and (10).
(12) Let us consider a field $E$. Then every subfield of $E$ is a subring of $E$.
(13) Let us consider a field $F$, and a subring $R$ of $F$. Then $R$ is a subfield of $F$ if and only if $R$ is a field.
Let $F$ be a field and $E$ be an extension of $F$. Note that there exists an extension of $F$ which is $E$-extending. We introduce the notation $E$ is $F$-infinite as an antonym for $E$ is $F$-finite. Let us consider a field $F$, an extension $E$ of $F$, and an $E$-extending extension $K$ of $F$.
(14) $\operatorname{VecSp}(E, F)$ is a subspace of $\operatorname{VecSp}(K, F)$.
(15) (i) $K$ is $F$-infinite, or
(ii) $E$ is $F$-finite and $\operatorname{deg}(E, F) \leqslant \operatorname{deg}(K, F)$.

The theorem is a consequence of (14).
(16) Let us consider a field $F$, a polynomial $p$ over $F$, and a non zero polynomial $q$ over $F$. Then $\operatorname{deg}(p \bmod q)<\operatorname{deg} q$.

## 2. Linear Polynomials

Let $R$ be a ring and $p$ be a polynomial over $R$. We say that $p$ is linear if and only if
(Def. 1) $\operatorname{deg} p=1$.
Let $R$ be a non degenerated ring. One can check that there exists a polynomial over $R$ which is linear and there exists a polynomial over $R$ which is non linear and there exists an element of the carrier of $\operatorname{PolyRing}(R)$ which is linear and there exists an element of the carrier of $\operatorname{PolyRing}(R)$ which is non linear and every polynomial over $R$ which is zero is also non linear and every polynomial over $R$ which is constant is also non linear.

Let $F$ be a field. Let us note that every polynomial over $F$ which is linear has also roots and every element of the carrier of $\operatorname{PolyRing}(F)$ which is linear is also irreducible and every element of the carrier of $\operatorname{PolyRing}(F)$ which is non linear and has roots is also reducible.

Let $R$ be an integral domain, $p$ be a linear polynomial over $R$, and $q$ be a non constant polynomial over $R$. Let us note that $p * q$ is non linear.

Let $F$ be a field, $p$ be a linear polynomial over $F$, and $q$ be a non constant polynomial over $F$. Let us note that $p * q$ has roots.

## 3. More on PolyRing ( $p$ )

Let $F$ be a field and $p$ be a non constant element of the carrier of $\operatorname{PolyRing}(F)$. The functor canHomP $(p)$ yielding a function from $F$ into $\operatorname{PolyRing}(p)$ is defined by
(Def. 2) for every element $a$ of $F$, it $(a)=a \upharpoonright F$.
One can verify that canHomP $(p)$ is additive, multiplicative, unity-preserving, and one-to-one and $\operatorname{PolyRing}(p)$ is $F$-homomorphic and $F$-monomorphic.

Let $F$ be a polynomial-disjoint field and $p$ be an irreducible element of the carrier of PolyRing $(F)$. One can verify that embField $(\operatorname{canHomP}(p))$ is addassociative, right complementable, associative, distributive, and almost left invertible and embField $(\operatorname{canHomP}(p))$ is $F$-extending.

The functor $\operatorname{KrRoot} \mathrm{P}(p)$ yielding an element of $\operatorname{embField}(\operatorname{canHomP}(p))$ is defined by the term
$\left(\right.$ Def. 3) $\left((\operatorname{emb-iso}(\operatorname{canHomP}(p)))^{-1} \cdot\left((\operatorname{KroneckerIso}(p))^{-1}\right)\right)(\operatorname{KrRoot}(p))$.
Now we state the proposition:
(17) Let us consider a polynomial-disjoint field $F$, and an irreducible element $p$ of the carrier of $\operatorname{PolyRing}(F)$. Then $\operatorname{ExtEval}(p, \operatorname{KrRootP}(p))=0_{F}$. Proof: Set $K=\operatorname{KroneckerField}(F, p)$. Set $E=\operatorname{embField}(\operatorname{canHomP}(p))$. Set $h=(\operatorname{KroneckerIso}(p)) \cdot(\operatorname{emb}-\mathrm{iso}(\operatorname{canHomP}(p)))$. Reconsider $P=K$ as an $E$-isomorphic field. Reconsider $i_{1}=h$ as an isomorphism between $E$ and $P$. Reconsider $i_{2}=i_{1}{ }^{-1}$ as a homomorphism from $P$ to $E$. Reconsider $t=p_{p}$ as an element of the carrier of $\operatorname{PolyRing}(P) .\left(\operatorname{PolyHom}\left(i_{2}\right)\right)(t)=p$ by [4, (12)], [8, (17)].

## 4. On Embedding $F$ into $F[X] /<p>\operatorname{And} \operatorname{PolyRing}(p)$

Now we state the propositions:
(18) Let us consider a field $F$, and a linear element $p$ of the carrier of PolyRing $(F)$. Then
(i) PolyRing ( $p$ ) and $F$ are isomorphic, and
(ii) the carrier of embField $(\operatorname{canHomP}(p))=$ the carrier of $F$.
(19) Let us consider a strict field $F$, and a linear element $p$ of the carrier of $\operatorname{PolyRing}(F)$. Then $\operatorname{embField}(\operatorname{canHomP}(p))=F$. The theorem is a consequence of (18).
(20) Let us consider a field $F$, and a linear element $p$ of the carrier of PolyRing $(F)$. Then
(i) $\frac{\operatorname{PolyRing}(F)}{\{p\}-\text { ideal }}$ and $F$ are isomorphic, and
(ii) the carrier of embField(embedding $(p))=$ the carrier of $F$.

The theorem is a consequence of (18) and (16).
(21) Let us consider a strict field $F$, and a linear element $p$ of the carrier of $\operatorname{PolyRing}(F)$. Then embField $(\operatorname{embedding}(p))=F$. The theorem is a consequence of (20).
(22) Let us consider a polynomial-disjoint field $F$, and an irreducible element $p$ of the carrier of PolyRing $(F)$. Then embField $(\operatorname{canHomP}(p))$ is polynomial-disjoint if and only if $p$ is linear. The theorem is a consequence of (18).
(23) Let us consider a field $F$, an irreducible element $p$ of the carrier of PolyRing $(F)$, and a polynomial-disjoint field $E$.
Suppose $E=\operatorname{embField}(\operatorname{embedding}(p))$. Then $F$ is polynomial-disjoint.
Let $n$ be a prime number and $p$ be an irreducible element of the carrier of $\operatorname{PolyRing}(\mathbb{Z} / n)$. Let us observe that embField $(\operatorname{embedding}(p))$ is add-associative, right complementable, associative, distributive, and almost left invertible.

Let $p$ be an irreducible element of the carrier of PolyRing $\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$. Let us note that embField(embedding $(p))$ is add-associative, right complementable, associative, distributive, and almost left invertible.
(24) Let us consider a prime number $n$, and a non constant element $p$ of the carrier of $\operatorname{PolyRing}(\mathbb{Z} / n)$. Then $\mathbb{Z} / n$ and $\frac{\operatorname{PolyRing}(\mathbb{Z} / n)}{\{p\}-\text { ideal }}$ are disjoint.
(25) Let us consider a non constant element $p$ of the carrier of $\operatorname{PolyRing}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$. Then $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\frac{\text { PolyRing }\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)}{\{p\}-\text { ideal }}$ are disjoint.
Let $n$ be a prime number and $p$ be an irreducible element of the carrier of PolyRing $(\mathbb{Z} / n)$. Let us note that embField(embedding $(p))$ is polynomialdisjoint.

Let $p$ be an irreducible element of the carrier of PolyRing $\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$. One can check that embField(embedding $(p)$ ) is polynomial-disjoint.

Let $R$ be a ring. We say that $R$ is strong polynomial disjoint if and only if
(Def. 4) for every element $a$ of $R$ and for every ring $S$ and for every element $p$ of the carrier of PolyRing $(S), a \neq p$.
Observe that $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{R}}$ is strong polynomial disjoint and $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is strong polynomial disjoint and $\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{F}}$ is strong polynomial disjoint.

Let $n$ be a non trivial natural number. Note that $\mathbb{Z} / n$ is strong polynomial disjoint and every ring which is strong polynomial disjoint is also polynomialdisjoint and there exists a field which is strong polynomial disjoint and there exists a field which is non strong polynomial disjoint.
(26) Let us consider a strong polynomial disjoint field $F$, an irreducible element $p$ of the carrier of $\operatorname{PolyRing}(F)$, and a field $E$.
Suppose $E=\operatorname{embField}(\operatorname{embedding}(p))$. Then $E$ is strong polynomial disjoint.

## 5. Renamings

Let $X$ be a non empty set and $Z$ be a set.
A Renaming of $X$ and $Z$ is a function defined by
(Def. 5) dom it $=X$ and it is one-to-one and rng it $\cap(X \cup Z)=\emptyset$.
Let $r$ be a Renaming of $X$ and $Z$. Let us note that $\operatorname{dom} r$ is non empty and $\operatorname{rng} r$ is non empty and every Renaming of $X$ and $Z$ is $X$-defined and one-to-one.

Let $r$ be a Renaming of $X$ and $Z$. Observe that the functor $r^{-1}$ yields a function from $\operatorname{rng} r$ into $X$. Now we state the proposition:
(27) Let us consider a non empty set $X$, a set $Z$, and a Renaming $r$ of $X$ and $Z$. Then $r^{-1}$ is onto.
Let $F$ be a field, $Z$ be a set, and $r$ be a Renaming of the carrier of $F$ and $Z$. The functor ren-add $(r)$ yielding a binary operation on $r n g r$ is defined by
(Def. 6) for every elements $a, b$ of $\operatorname{rng} r, i t(a, b)=r\left(\left(r^{-1}\right)(a)+\left(r^{-1}\right)(b)\right)$.
The functor ren-mult $(r)$ yielding a binary operation on $\operatorname{rng} r$ is defined by
(Def. 7) for every elements $a, b$ of $\operatorname{rng} r, i t(a, b)=r\left(\left(r^{-1}\right)(a) \cdot\left(r^{-1}\right)(b)\right)$.
The functor $\operatorname{RenField}(r)$ yielding a strict double loop structure is defined by
(Def. 8) the carrier of $i t=\operatorname{rng} r$ and the addition of $i t=\operatorname{ren}-\operatorname{add}(r)$ and the multiplication of $i t=$ ren-mult $(r)$ and the one of $i t=r\left(1_{F}\right)$ and the zero of $i t=r\left(0_{F}\right)$.
One can check that RenField $(r)$ is non degenerated and $\operatorname{RenField}(r)$ is Abelian, add-associative, right zeroed, and right complementable and RenField $(r)$ is commutative, associative, well unital, distributive, and almost left invertible.

One can check that the functor $r^{-1}$ yields a function from RenField $(r)$ into $F$. Now we state the propositions:
(28) Let us consider a field $F$, a set $Z$, and a Renaming $r$ of the carrier of $F$ and $Z$. Then $r^{-1}$ is additive, multiplicative, unity-preserving, one-to-one, and onto. The theorem is a consequence of (27).
(29) Let us consider a field $F$, and a set $Z$. Then there exists a field $E$ such that
(i) $E$ and $F$ are isomorphic, and
(ii) (the carrier of $E) \cap(($ the carrier of $F) \cup Z)=\emptyset$.

The theorem is a consequence of (28).

## 6. Kronecker's Construction

Let us consider a field $F$ and a non constant element $f$ of the carrier of PolyRing $(F)$. Now we state the propositions:
(30) There exists an extension $E$ of $F$ such that $f$ has a root in $E$.
(31) There exists an extension $E$ of $F$ such that $f$ splits in $E$.

Proof: Define $\mathcal{P}$ [natural number] $\equiv$ for every field $F$ for every non constant element $f$ of the carrier of PolyRing $(F)$ such that $\operatorname{deg} f=\$_{1}$ there exists an extension $E$ of $F$ such that $f$ splits in $E . \mathcal{P}[1]$. For every non zero natural number $k, \mathcal{P}[k]$. Consider $n$ being a natural number such that $\operatorname{deg} f=n$.
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