Retraction note: The paper has been withdrawn because much of the text was published previously in Fabio Ratto Trabucco, Italian Direct Democracy Tools in Ali Farazmand (ed.), Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance (Springer 2018) doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-31816-5_3628-2 and some parts have been taken from Oskar Peterlini, Instruments for Direct Democracy in Italy (Prokopp & Hechensteiner 2012) ISBN 9788860690135, pp. 49–72 and 85–86.

Białostockie Studia Prawnicze 2019 vol. 24 nr 1

DOI: 10.15290/bsp.2019.24.01.11

Fabio Ratto Trabucco

University of Venice fabio.rattotrabucco@unive.it ORCID ID:http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2273-4019

The Advantages and Disadvantages of Italian Referendum Tours

democration which people decide on po-**Abstract:** Direct democracy or pure democracy is a form am as the main instrument of direct democracy licy initiatives directly. The article focuses the refere uss the b in Italy and the main purpose of the analysis is to d efits and handicaps of Italian referenfor the dum tools. Particularly the abolishment of the quoru brogative referendum that is the main goal for the development of the Italian direct ocracy.contribute demonstrate in eleven main reibo. asons why the turnout requirement should the vote should be free and decisive, meaning that citizens who participate in a referendum d be aware that their vote will be decisive, whereas those who choose not to go to the delegate their vote and decision to other voters. The nplici future is the ongoing people's in aive r erendul, draft which provides just a very reasonable approval quorum of 25%.

Keywords: direct democracy, repressitive democracy, referendum, popular initiative, Italy

1. An Oy view on the Italian Direct Democracy Field

Direct descracy day not always be the best – or paradoxically even the most democrated for a government, but sometimes it's a great breath of fresh air. The direct vote case illustrious history in Italy, wherein 1946 a solemn referendum (in which women voted for the first time) abolished the monarchy that had ruled Italy since 161 and established a republic. A historic vote in 1974 roundly rejected a Catholic-sponsored referendum that would have struck down the new law

¹ L. Komáromi, Representative Government and Direct Democracy. Italy and the Main Direct Democratic Traditions in Europe in the 19th-20th Centuries, "Iustum Aequum Salutare", 2014, no. 2, pp. 145-153.

permitting divorce. Since 1997, however, the voters have been called to the polls six times for numerous referendums, and a quorum has never been reached.

On 2016, April 17, Italian citizens voted the country's 67° popular referendum. The constitution allows for two types of binding referendums: abrogative and constitutional. How do they work, and how common are they? In 2016, Italy's Constitutional Court gave the green light to a national referendum of the duction of oil and gas drilling concessions in the country. It was the 67° abrogative referendum, and (with three constitutional referendum, open divitory) in rendum, and the institutional referendum) 72 in total. A referendum open decrease in which an entire electorate is asked to vote on a particular properal, Italy was we main types.

500.000 voters, or five regional councils (just he 2016 about gas drilling concessions), can ask to hold a general referendant to repeatin whole or in a part, a law or a measure having the force of law. In the Italian system, these referendams are referred to as "abrogative". They are considered value as long as the majority of those with voting rights have voted. So for 67 abits, the referendam has taken place in Italy. 42% of them like 28 did not reach the large quorum.

The second most common type to referendum in Italy is the so-called "constitutional referendum". For owning the approval of a law that modifies the constitution, either one-fifth to the constitution, or 500,000 voters, or five Regional Councils can equest apopular referendum to confirm the changes. This kind of referendum to a non quorum. The first constitutional referendum took place in 2001 (approved), and the second in 2006 (rejected). With the last rejected constitutional referendum there was the third constitutional referendum in 2016.

Besides these at type of referendums, Italy's history witnessed two exceptions. In 1946 Italian relizers are asked to choose between monarchy and republic. In 1989 an advisery reaching was held on the European Economic Community. The non-binding reaching may called with a special law because the Italian Constitution does not speak bout this type of referendum. The Italian political spectrum wanted to re-affirm the popular support of Italy to the process of European integration, particularly giving to the European Parliament a popular, constitutional mandate in event of a future European Constitution.

The main purpose of the article is to discuss the advantages and disadvantages of Italian referendum tools and particularly the research hypothesis is to demonstrate why the turnout requirement should be abolished waiting for the work in progress people's initiative referendum draft without the participation quorum.

2. Introduction: Participatory Democracy and New Challenges: the Crisis of Democracy

Direct democracy is characterized by the fact that the people are an organ of the state that, in addition to the classical electoral competences, exercises specific powers in constitutional, conventional, legislative or administrative matters. It is dependent or "domesticated" when the exercise of these powers depends on the intervention or on the will of another state body, the Parliament or the Head of State. It is independent or "real" when the time and the issue on which the people intervent dependent on the will of the latter, or on an objective criterion on which other or any of the state have no influence. So defined, direct democracy does not appose by an apletes representative democracy².

Direct democracy has its roots as far back as in a cien, of the and Rome³, nevertheless, its history, which is characterized by the reliability to old referendums and by popular initiative can be divided into four main periods: an ancient period, from the Middle Ages to early XX century; the free all of the XX century, from early to mid-XX century; the second half of the XX entury, from 1950s until the collapse of the USSR; modern times from collapse of the USSR to bresent day.

Nowadays, the institutes of direct democracy are embodied in almost all Constitutions of European countries. Alto the direct democracy can be put into practice in a large variety of forms, a general, there can be observed certain tendencies in the period of mode after less: is also of national importance are submitted to the voters for decision-make virtue in ional referendum which is initiated by the governing bodies; it is the use of a further development of popular initiative⁴.

Democracy is experiming a catical phase, marked by the low credibility of

Democracy is experinging a calical phase, marked by the low credibility of both politics and democratic institutions. The challenge is to identify new forms of public involvement simed at building confidence among citizens and restoring the credibility confidence. This is not an isolated, exclusively Italian issue, because several other concaries in Europe are faced with the same challenge. This is

A. A. Justa constitutionnelle et la démocratie référendaire – Rapport de synthèse, in AA.VV., Sice constitutionnelle et démocratie référendaire, Strasbourg 1995, p. 149.

³ D. Held, Mools of Democracy, Cambridge 2006.

L. Morel, M. Qvortrup (eds.), The Routledge Handbook to Referendums and Direct Democracy, London 2018; D. Della Porta, M. Portos, F.V. O'Connor, Social Movements and Referendums from Below: Direct Democracy in the Neoliberal Crisis, Bristol 2017; M. Qvortup, Direct Democracy: a Comparative Study of the Theory and Practice of Government by the people, Manchester 2017; S.P. Ruth, Y. Welp, L. Whitehead, Let the People Rule? Direct Democracy in the Twenty-first Century, Colchester 2017; J. Asimakopoulos, Social Structures of Direct Democracy: on the Political Economy of Equality, Chicago 2015; D. Altman, Direct Democracy Worldwide, Cambridge 2014; M. Qvortup, Referendums Around the World: the Continued Growth of Direct Democracy, New York 2014; M. Suksi, Bringing in the People. A Comparison of Constitutional Forms and Practices of the Referendum, Dordrecht-Boston 1993.

accompanied by a constitutional debate at the scientific and political level aimed at developing new models of democratic involvement. The credibility of institutions is severely undermined by a number of factors, including the economic and financial crisis, the gap between politics and citizens, the scandals and corruption cases involving several parties and their representatives, and a distorted use of immunity. In Italy, an additional problem is represented by the electoral system case, which assigns the choice of candidates entirely to party leaders and dereves voices of the chance to express their preference, thus widening the gap between weers are elected officials. Attacks on the political world, however, may result of unjustice prejudice, fuelled by the exploitation of discontent towards a case "Brohmans". Such prejudice may throw general discredit upon all, including those whe actively pursue the common good, and embrace all policymakers are accratic institutions and the very foundations of democracy, thus triggering a very dangerous process.

A number of solutions are on the table. Seeking a broader involvement of all elements of society through a new form of "go ornance", pursuing increased autonomy, regionalism or federalism, or a more that democracy, are options that vary in organizational terms but are to be table, each in its own distinct way – on a common goal: in this increasingly broad stant and globalized world, citizens wish to feel part of their community mol a new identity and afulfilling role at regional level; they wish to cooperate and a law "Heimat", a safe place which they can call their own.

In this contest, many traditional political concepts such as sovereignty, citizenship and democratic representation, based on reliance on a relatively homogeneous this State were questioned.

In ording reserve alance within society and rebuild the basis for democratic participation constitutional reforms appear increasingly necessary. Such reforms should a papear within individual States and at European level, through EU framework in islation⁵.

Two opposing trends are influencing traditional State organization⁶.On the one hand, we are experiencing closer cooperation at European/international level and witnessing the establishment of supranational bodies in Europe. On the other, those very supranational bodies, distant from the public, are the main reason behind the pursuit of a more manageable local dimension and a return to the local and regional level, where participatory democracy can be directly experienced. Politics is

⁵ R. Bellamy, V. Bufacchi, D. Castiglione, Democracy and Constitutional Culture in the Union of Europe, London 1995, p. 10.

⁶ Ibidem.

denationalized; the nation State is no longer the linchpin of political activity and the privileged space for political life⁷.

3. Strong Principles and Parties Versus Weak Democracy and Parliament

Unlike other Mediterranean countries like Greece, Portugal, accounting, Italy became a relatively stable democracy right after the Second World Car. In the 1950s, Italy contributed to the establishment of the European Complainty and cas one of its founding countries. It experienced a quick, if unever economic growth and a remarkable modernization process⁸. From 1950 to 1990, the car in Italy's per capita income was almost unparalleled. Its growth rate rankey econd are count Korea. To make comparisons across Europe, by the end of that per capita income had grown so rapidly that it was close to that of German and France's.

Notwithstanding its exemplary Constitution based on profound ethical and democratic values, conceived by our Constitution I Fathers to spell out any dictatorial drift, Italy has a fragile democracy. It has a independent judiciary, a democratically elected parliament and a government band of the liamentary confidence; however, the three powers are not balance in The moalance is compounded by the fourth power where a quasi-monor by the sition prevails, especially in the broadcast industry. Parliament is increased, constrained in the exercise of its functions as representative of the people by the redominance of Government. The latter resorts more and more frequently to emergency decrees, which Parliament can only amend and ratify a posterori, and to the passage of bills through a vote of confidence, which smothers earliementary debate and any chance to introduce amendments. Parliament is required to easist Government's so-called «maxi-emendamento», a text containing any liber or afferent measures, without having any say on its content.

If we cold's major democracies, the United States is the only country where people representation finds its central expression in Parliament. Pasquino

A. Scott, The Fragmentary State of the Twenty-first Century: an Elementary Conceptual Portrait, Indiana 2008, pp. 1-2.

⁸ M.J. Bull, M. Rhodes (eds.), Crisis and Transition in Italian Politics, London-Portland 2009, pp. 1-13.

⁹ M. De Cecco, Italy' Dysfunctional Political Economy, "West European Politics" 2009, no. 4, pp. 763-783; R. Dornbusch, W. Nölling, R. Layard (eds.), Postwar Economic Reconstruction and Lessons for the East Today, Cambridge-London, 1993; A. Boltho, A. Vercelli, H. Yoshikawa (eds.), Comparing Economic Systems: Italy and Japan, Basingstoke-New York 2001.

¹⁰ M. Hibberd, Conflict of Interest and Media Pluralism in Italian Broadcasting, "West European Politics" 2007, no. 4, pp. 881-902.

(2007) laments that the opposite is true in Italy¹¹. The Italian Parliament only seems to play a central role when it passes the initial vote of confidence in the Government, and not in the Government's final stages, as is the case in Germany or Spain.

Unlike those democracies, Italy does not envisage a constructive vote of noconfidence. A number of governments replaced one another over time, and every Government's end originated, in Pasquino's view, outside Parliament. One of the main weaknesses of Italian democracy has been a lack of executive stability, especially before the 1993 electoral reform. From 1945 to 1989 there were many as 43 Governments, each lasting on average twelve months. The control objective of reforms was therefore to increase stability at central government level

Moreover, the Parliament does not play the central are it would in terms of political representation. It is constrained by the Executive, at the one hand, and by political parties, on the other; in fact, the latter play the leading role themselves. Before the major political corruption scandals of the set 1990s and the 1993 electoral reform, a multitude of parties existed at taly, the most powerful being the «Democrazia Cristiana (DC)» (Christian Il mocrace party, which remained in power for fifty years (1944-1994) with different energies coalitions.

4. The so-called First Republic a decond Republic

In spite of a succession, gov nmer, s, political stability, i.e. parties' stability, reigned. From the end of the cuntin the early 1990s, the Christian Democratic party was the political civing for which, along with four smaller allies (Socialists, Social-Democrats, Republicings, and Liberals), determined the destiny of the Italian Republic.

The politic system remained unchanged until the early 1990s when many prosecutors uncorred year-ranging political corruption involving the use of bribes to fund reaction parts.

The 99 central laws¹⁴ introduced a mixed system, whereby most seats were allocated under a plurality system (first past the post) and a smaller percentage by proportional representation. This paved the way to an adversary system in which political forces gravitated around two large right- and left-wing groups. With the new

¹¹ G. Pasquino, Parlamentoe Governonel l'Italia repubblicana, "Rivista italiana di scienza politica", 2007, no. 1, p. 6.

¹² S. Fabbrini (ed.), L'europeizzazione dell'Italia, Roma-Bari 2003, p. 205.

¹³ On Italy's transition from central to regional State: A. Grasse, Italiens langer Weg in den Regionalstaat: die Entstehung einer Staatsform im Spannungsfeld von Zentralismus und Föderalismus, Opladen 2000.

¹⁴ Laws August 4, 1993, no. 276 and no. 277.

2005 electoral law¹⁵, the role of political parties was further strengthened¹⁶.Single-member constituencies were abolished: a new proportional system presenting voters with a closed list of candidates has replaced the old system based on preferential votes. Voters can only express a preference for a list but not for a specific candidate, as candidates are chosen and assigned a certain position in the closed list by the party leader. As a result, about 90% of MPs are chosen by party leaders. As Sartori pointed out nearly fifty-five years ago, in 1963, MPs are more after a collienating party leaders thanvoters¹⁷. As evidence of this, Pasquino stressed at Italy leading politicians traditionally make their most important speeches a party spetiags. None of the major political leaders comes from a parliamentary tacks bund. A Gasperi, Togliatti, Nenni, Fanfani, Moro, Craxi, De Mita and Andrew tare cases in point. So are, Pasquino says, a few heads of government lacking arliame.

After a long period when Italy's Government and Palements, unlike those of other countries, did not deem it necessary to revise the Constitution, in the 1980s policy-makers realized that the State and the Constitution needed reforming. After several failed attempts, the Constitution was revised 2001, with the sole amendment of Title V, Part II. The weakness of directors are tools was there to stay.

5. The Direct Democracy in Italy

In accordance with Art. second para., of the Constitution, the Italian democracy remains a registry representative democracy¹⁹.

Early forms of direct a pocracy – for the purposes of supplementing indirect democracy – we controduced in Switzerland as early as the 19th Century and were later enhanced by extertled. Through hundreds of *referendums* held over more than 100 years. Sweet Lens have learned to make decisions on important political matters a fed al, can onal and municipal level²⁰.

In the nowe er, direct public involvement tools are limited to three, only partially de loped, tools. Italy's direct democracy tools are: a) referendum; b) petition; c) legislative initiative.

¹⁵ Law December 21, 2005, no. 270.

¹⁶ L. Bardi, Electoral Change and its Impact on the Party System in Italy, "Western European Politics" 2009, no. 4, pp. 711-732.

¹⁷ G. Sartori, Dove va il Parlamento?, Napoli 1963, pp. 281-386.

¹⁸ G. Pasquino, Parlamento e Governo..., op. cit., p. 7-9.

¹⁹ A. Barbera, C.Fusaro, Corso di diritto pubblico, Bologna 2010, pp. 211ff.

²⁰ B. Kaufmann, R. Büchi, N. Braun, Handbuch zur Direkten Demokratie, Marburg 2008, p. 11.

5.1. The Referendum

In Italy, referendums are often identified with referendums to repeal laws, the first of which was held 38 years ago. The 1974 referendum on divorce was followed by 66 more referendums grouped in 17 voting days till, lastly, in 2016 on oil drilling²¹.

All were referendums designed to repeal laws²². In an actual, modern direct democracy, this should not be the sole type of referendum in use a dissertainly not the most important one. Direct democracy is an encompassing oftion not should go beyond such constraints. The 1947 Constituent Assembly did no provide Italian voters with such tools as citizens' binding legislating initiative and optional confirmatory referendum for ordinary State laws, or citizen 3 constitutional initiative. Now that the Italian Republic is in its sixties, it is time a addition the shortcoming.

The Constitution provides for the referendum pational regional and local level:

- a) constitutional referendum (Art. 138(2 and (3) of the Constitution);
- b) referendum to repeal a law or a measure having the force of law (Art. 75 of the Constitution);
- c) territorial referendum (Art. 150 of the Constitution: for the merger of existing Regions or the creation of wikegions; Art. 132(2): to enable one or more provinces or much allities to be merged into another Region)²³;
- d) regional referendur on gional legislation and administrative measures (Art. 123(1) of the Co. stution);
- e) regional reference on on the egional charter (Art. 123(3) of the Constitution);
- f) local referencism of matters under the sole local jurisdiction (Arts. 6 and 8 TUEL)²⁴ and the estate ishment of the metropolitan city (Art. 23 TUEL; Art. 23(1) w May 2009, no. 42).

We can ocus the first two tools and those that are lacking at the national level.

This part, the study is based on the report accompanying constitutional Senate bill no. 1428 by Peterlini and others, tabled before the Senate on March 4, 2009 and drafted in cooperation with the Bolzano representatives of "Democrazia diretta", Benedikter and Lausch.

²² Besides these, two confirmatory constitutional referendums were held, in 2001, 2006 and 2016, and one consultative referendum in 1989 (based on constitutional Law April 3, 1989, no. 2) giving to the European Parliament a popular, constitutional mandate.

F. Ratto Trabucco, Riflessioni sulla prima attuazione dell'art. 132, secondo comma, Cost., dopo sessantuno anni di vita: l'esame del disegno di legge di variazione territoriale regionale e l'acquisizione dei pareri regionali sulla scorta del "caso Alta Valmarecchia", "Le Istituzioni del federalismo" 2009, no. 3-4, pp. 603-628; *Ibidem*, Sulla presunta incostituzionalità del quorum della maggioranza assoluta sugli iscritti alle liste elettorali per i referendum territoriali ex art. 132 Cost., "Le Istituzioni del federalismo", 2007, no. 6, pp. 843-869.

TUEL: Consolidation Law on Local Government (Legislative Decree, August 18, 2000, no. 267).

5.2. The Constitutional Referendum

The Art. 138 of the Italian Constitution runs:

- «1. A law to amend the Constitution and other constitutional laws shall require adoption by each House after two successive debates at intervals of no less than three months, and approval by an absolute majority of the members of each House in the second round.
- 2. Such law may be submitted to a popular referendum if, with the reverse months of its publication, such request is made by one-fifther, the monbers of a House or five-hundred thousand voters or five Rectional Sources. A law thus submitted to referendum may not be promulated unless approved by a majority of valid votes.
- 3. A constitutional law which was passed in each couse was tro-thirds majority of votes in the second round may not be product be referendum».

No quorum/minimum turnout is required for the eferendum to be valid. Three constitutional confirmatory referendents were held respectively in 2001 (on amendments to the Constitution submitted by the control Government), 2006 (on the amendments submitted by the second Berramini Government) and 2016 (on the amendments submitted by the Recomment). In line with the provisions regulating this type of referendum, no adminimum turnout requirement was in force, although the three referendences uncerted matters of the utmost importance, i.e. substantial constitutional event and in this sense, they represented the true essence of the tool of the remaindum as implemented in other countries, where the outcome is determined by the who go to the polls, while those who choose to abstain implicitly deregate usir decision-making power to the actual voters.

5.3. The Verge dum to Repeal Laws

The Art. 7. the It an Constitution runs:

- «1. Serial of endum may be held to repeal, in whole or in part, a law or a meture having the force of law, when so requested by five hundred asand voters or five Regional Councils.
- 2. No A rendum may be held on a law regulating taxes, the budget, amnesty or pardon, or a law ratifying an international treaty.
- 3. Any citizen entitled to vote for the Chamber of Deputies has the right to vote in a referendum.
- 4. The referendum shall be considered to have been carried if the majority of those eligible has voted and a majority of valid votes has been achieved.
- 5. The procedures for holding a referendum are established by law».

This type of referendum seems to have long entered into a critical phase, not because of a lack of hot political issues or public involvement, but because of a repeated failure to reach the minimum turnout. Except for the 2011 referendum

on nuclear power, water, privatizations and legitimate impediment (a law whereby cabinet members facing trials could be exempted from appearing in court on account of political engagements), the previous six referendums (and last in 2016), held between 1997 and 2009 and involving 24 different items, were declared invalid for failure to reach the required quorum. Turnout was between 49.6% (in 1999) and 23.8% (in 2009), which resulted in a progressive loss of confidence in the referendum tool. The fact that referendums have generally been owned by parties, rather than promoted by citizens, associations and *ad hoc* committees, may no expain people's estrangement. Furthermore, some parties ran abstention camp arms, adusing their supporters not to go to the polls, and later repeatedly tried in carlian but thwart the outcome of the referendum. The tool itself is inappropriate, and to are the rules for its implementation, which are not in line with the needs of a condern arect democracy. This type of referendum, with its restrictive implementation, were a – the quorum requirement – is inadequate in terms of ensuring publications when the court of the referendum is inadequate in terms of ensuring publications when the second court of the referendum.

5.4. The Citizens' Legislative Initiativ

The Art. 71 of the Italian Constitution uns:

- «1. Legislation may be introduced by the covernment, by a Member of Parliament and by those entered bodies so empowered by constitutional amendment law.
- 2. The people may initiate vislated by proposing a bill drawn up in sections and signed by at least fifty thousand voters».

In Italy, the citize of right cointroduce legislation, i.e. the free and constructive expression of the year of the sovereign people, which can result in referendums on important bills (igned by coundreds of thousands of people, is on the wane. The tool currently of force – the citizens' legislative initiative – does not ensure the full enjoyment of a joint proposals that may have required huge efforts in terms of the collection of signature in order to be submitted cannot be put to the vote if they are reject a by carliament. Many such bills are not even discussed in Parliament. Over 90% of has submitted during the 1996-2001 term still await consideration, not to mention use submitted after 2002.

Just recently in the current XVIII legislature, the government by Five Stars Movement and Ligue for Salvini's Party proposed the popular initiative constitutional reform draft that also introduces the reduction of the quorum at 25% of favorable votes with the abolishment of the distortive participation quorum²⁵. The approval quorum is therefore intended to discourage the practice of abstention as a useful tool, to those who oppose the content of a referendum, to invalidate the consultation. But what would happen if the Chambers, following the parliamentary debate, had to

²⁵ See http://www.camera.it/leg18/126?leg=18&idDocumento=726.

approve a proposal that was partially different from the original one presented by the citizens? In this case, if the proposing committee does not renounce the original text, a referendum is indexed both on the initial text and on that approved by Parliament: if both proposals are approved, the law that has obtained more preferences is promulgated. Citizens who express themselves favorably to both proposals are entitled to indicate which of the two texts they prefer.

The proposal also provides for limits to the matters that may be the object of a proactive referendum. For example, a referendum will not be be a if the proposal violates the intangible constitutional rights or if it does not provide a equate mancial coverage.

5.5. Lessons Learned from 44 Years of Italian Reference as to sepeal Laws

After 44 years of referendums to repeal laws in the falian conductional practice, three main lessons may be drawn.

In Italy today there is a shortage of reference related whis, i.e. the main tools that are commonly found in a mature direct a mocrace system are lacking. These are citizens' legislative initiative and optional contemators referendum also for ordinary laws. Citizens' right of initiative to are all the Constitution is also lacking. This was the first right claimed and ultimately cut the Swiss popular movement for direct democracy in 1860 and is also to be paind in the United States system as of the early 1900s.

The rules regulating to be defined rights are too restrictive. Several provisions of Law 25 Mc 1970, re 352, regulating referendums should be amended, namely: the power of the constitutional court is too broad, a referendum may not be held on the same by as an excision, there is no guarantee on its outcome, signatures must be certified broat public official, no campaign refund is available for the organizing compared, there is no obligation on public authorities to inform voters, referended recompany anding totally lacks transparency and there is no cap on the collected of

The infimum turnout set at 50% of registered voters is useless and damaging because it has roded the credibility of this tool and millions of Italians do not even bother to go to the polling station anymore one referendum day. The minimum turnout rule means that abstentions are counted together with the noes, which makes it very easy for parties or vested interests opposing a referendum to tacitly coalesce with the uninterested by inviting voters to go to the seaside or to the mountains on a voting day, rather than to the polling booth. Today, what with people's frustration and longing for strong government, politician-bashing and voting for strong leaders have become more appealing than striving to strengthen the tools that put more power in the hands of citizens.

6. Conclusions

If the goal is to bridge the gap between citizens and government, or citizens and political parties, the present direct democracy arrangements are to be changed. If political engagement is to be promoted under the fourth para. of Art. 118 of the Constitution and the positive effects of direct democracy are to unfold, the relevant articles of the Constitution must be revised, including Arts. 73, 74, 75 and 138, with a view to facilitating recourse to a referendum.

My comments on and criticism of the present unsatisfactory revision on direct democracy in Italy have informed a bill submitted to the Senar of the lepublic in 2009. In cooperation with the Bolzano-based movement Initially for More Democracy, there a draft for a constitutional amendment all, a fich was co-signed by eight more senators²⁶. The constitutional bill no. 142 properties to amend Arts. 70, 71, 73, 74, and 75 of the Constitution and strengther an citizens a straight.

A commitment to strengthen participatory democ by should move from the following key issues.

6.1. Providing Voters with Throttle an Brake

First of all, the present narrow notion of death mocracy should be overcome. Citizens should be vested with actual as a tive power, through the two main tools of a fully accomplished system of direct tenocracy: the legislative initiative to provide citizens with a space of action and optional confirmatory referendum to enable citizens to halt legislation valid dues not enjoy the support of a majority of voters. This means providing ers with both throttle and brake. They may thus use the throttle pedal then urget reforms are not being introduced or are not making progress in arm ent or push the brake pedal when the parliamentary majority seeks to prose its pricies on a supposedly unconvinced public. These two rights were up stly verlooked in the Constituent Assembly in 1947-1948. Today, a referendum cannot be fiely used as a defense tool, as foreseen by the Constituent e considered the most important vehicle to promote political Assembla ent order the fourth para. of Art. 118 of the Constitution, whereby «The engag State, reg s, metropolitan cities, provinces and municipalities shall promote the autonomous itiative of citizens, both as individuals and as members of associations, in the framework of activities of general interest, on the basis of the principle of subsidiarity». Referendums to repeal laws have been used for 30 years as a surrogate for citizens' initiative, i.e. the legislative referendum, but on the basis of the experience in Italy and elsewhere, they may not be used to propose legislation, as was clearly

²⁶ Senate constitutional bill no. 1428 of March 4, 2009 by Peterlini, Ceccanti, Negri, Pinzger, Poretti, Procacci, Adamo and Perduca.

²⁷ T. Benedikter, Più democrazia per l'Europa: la nuova iniziativa dei cittadini europei e proposte per un'Unione europea più democratica, Lavis 2010, pp. 123-134.

shown recently when all the efforts made to change the electoral law were nullified by the ruling of the constitutional court, which declared the referendum question not receivable²⁸. Citizens need a space for action and appropriate direct democracy tools to guide policies and Government action.

6.2. More Transparent and Simpler Tools and Procedures

Implementation rules should be redesigned so as to expand democracy, to meet the requirements of the modern citizen by, amongst other things limiting the power of the constitutional court; increasing the sectors which can be regarded by referendum – e.g. by including foreign and tax policies; introduct can obligation to deliver an official information booklet to every family; add ang structures on equal access to the media, introducing caps on campaign and counter-campaign spending; mandating full transparency of funding; liberals of the collection of signatures and so on.

The problem today lies not in the proliferation of regrendums, owing to the accessibility of such tool. The problem lies in the act that it can citizens today, in their communes, regions and at the national level, do not see direct democracy as an ordinary tool of democratic debate and engagement. For erendums should be given the same role as they have enjoyed for conturies at their democratic societies: they should be an expression of the will of the people free of political party brokerage.

the same role as they have enjoyed for enturies a case democratic societies: they should be an expression of the will of the teo, to free of political party brokerage.

Referendums would thus gain a respolitical role – beyond the political composition of Parliament, which is lects a given historical moment – and would supplement representative those in a proactive (legislative) or reactive (confirmatory) way²⁹. The reservoir of the referendum to repeal a law would thus be subsumed in the broad elegislation referendum, or citizens' initiative, only aimed at deleting a provision rather can introducing or amending one.

6.3. The Citteens' egislative Initiative

One of the hard reasons to strengthen the tool of the referendum (in its dual capacity arthrol to coduce citizens' bills and to confirm laws and legislative amendra ofts) to the need to open new spaces for public involvement by fully implement to the fourth para. of Art. 118 of the Constitution and restoring the thrust of an active in the week of the common good.

Citizens' initiative, as presently regulated, lacks the impact in democratic life that it deserves, because it does not commit Parliament to take follow-up action, as is amply demonstrated by the number of citizens' bills submitted to Parliament over the last few years. Most of these proposals, even ten years after their submission, still await

²⁸ Constitutional court, ruling January 12, 2012, no. 13.

A. Capretti, Direkte Demokratie in Italien, in H.K. Heussner, O. Jung (eds.), Mehr direkte Demokratie wagen. Volksentscheid und Bürgerentscheid: Geschichte, Praxis, Vorschläge, Munich 2009, pp. 170-171.

the response. Also at the regional level, the legislative initiative has failed to motivate citizens and is therefore rarely used, again because the public has no further say in the matter if their proposal is rejected or indefinitely put on the back-burner by the regional council. For this reason, a region and one district with special status (Friuli-Venezia Giulia and the autonomous districts of Trento) have introduced legislation whereby the local legislative assemblies have an obligation to consider a proposal submitted by the citizens within a certain timeframe, failing which the izens' bill is automatically put to the vote by referendum. This arrangement owever has one major shortcoming, in that it fails to vest actual legislative ower the citizens. The autonomous region of Valle d'Aosta and the autonomous ristrict of Bolzano have rightly gone further: the legislative initiative has been derrection the citizens through a procedure whereby a quorum of signate es may oduce a properly drafted bill to their respective regional/provincial legislance council. Should such bill fail to progress through the council – in part or stantial, it would automatically be put to a referendum. This arrangement, along with the optional confirmatory and constitutional referendum, is the main direct mocra tool that has worked – to the full satisfaction of the people – for 140 years at a sof government in Switzerland and for over 100 years at State and cit, term 26 US States. Parliament must enjoy a right to submit its own alternative property. With respect to any type of referendum on any eligible topic, Parliamer on all be antitled to consider a draft measure which is neither that of citizens not the consider and which might be at the opposite end of the citizens' proposal buch aft measure by Parliament would thus be a third option laid before citize. If Parla ent passes its own proposal, then the committee of initiators (consisting of the citizens enjoying voting rights under this bill) shall vote on whether withdraw eir bill or to put it to the general vote. It would be up to the committee to decide whether the bill passed by Parliament incorporates the principles and got of the measure proposed by the citizens or is totally different to the citiz s posa.

Bous poposals might obtain a majority of valid votes, a casting question should also be posted on the ballot paper, such as: «Which of the two proposals should take vect if both are preferred over the existing law?». If both the citizens' and Parliament's proposals are approved, this third question would define the outcome of the vote. Should neither proposal obtain a majority in the replies to the third question, the popular initiative would be rejected and the existing law would remain in force. Such an exercise – even if inconsequential in terms of amending the legislation – would provide Parliament with a clear indication of the will of the people, which should be taken into account in future reviews of the subject matter.

6.4. The Optional Confirmatory Referendum

An optional confirmatory referendum is only admitted in the Italian constitutional system in cases of amendments to the Constitution. Such a tool should

be extended to ordinary State laws. Both in theory and in the long-established practice of countries with a modern system of direct democracy, this tool provides the public with an emergency brake. Under the proposed law, a certain number of citizens or five regional councils may sign a petition requesting that a law that has been passed but has not yet entered into force be swiftly subjected to a referendum in which all voters take part. The sole exception to this is the Budget Law. This arrangement, which is widely used in Switzerland and the US, vests confirmatory and veto power in the citizens. Requesting a confirmatory referendum simply means that there are strong doubts on the correspondence of views between the case and the majority in Parliament. The tool also enables Members of Parliament to confirm that their proposal for the regulation of a given subject is supported.

The bill to amend the second para. of Art. 75 of the Conditution would enable the enactment of urgent legislation for a short period on the Such legislation may be challenged by an optional confirmatory referendum. No we we para of the Constitution should read «If Parliament declares» in to be argent, such law shall be enacted by the deadline provided therein and a confirmatory referendum under Art. 74 above may be requested only a for the law has entered into force. If a confirmatory referendum is held and an outcome unfaintable to the law is returned, such law shall be repealed within a year of its purpose by Parliament and may not be introduced again». This measure we are emply with Parliament's need to adopt urgent measures. A law thus passed would ever into and remain in force until the optional confirmatory referendum from the law is repealed, as is presently the case with laws repealed by referendum. Once voters have rejected such ungent easure, the law may not be proposed again, thus ensuring that the will of the people a somplied with.

6.5. The Citizer Const. tional Initiative

Constitutions amondments proposed by citizens should follow a more complex process than or any law. A properly drafted constitutional amendment bill is to be supported by the than 50 thousand sponsors whose signatures are to be gathered withit no longer than six months. Once this stage has been completed, a pre-test and nucled to assess whether the proposal is receivable. After this, one million signatures are required. By introducing a two-stage process, the frustrating experience of many organizing committees to see their proposals rejected by the constitutional court after one million signatures have been collected would be avoided. Under this proposed procedure, 50 thousand voters would be entitled to submit their constitutional amendment bill to the constitutional court for a receivability assessment. Once this certainty has been obtained, the organizing committee may engage fully in the collection of one million signatures. Also, in this case, Parliament may introduce an alternative proposal, which would be submitted to voters under the same procedure as ordinary laws.

6.6. Why the Turnout Requirement Should be Abolished?

The bill proposes an amendment whereby – in all referendums – the proposal put to the vote is passed if it is approved by a majority of valid votes cast. The vote should be free and decisive, meaning that citizens who participate in a referendum should be aware that their vote will be decisive, whereas those who choose not to go to the polls implicitly delegate their vote and decision to other voters. Why would the abolition of the turnout requirement make sense? The main reasons a the blowing.

A) Abstaining is the same as voting "No".

Because of the turnout requirement, a voter not going to the polings ooth is actually casting a vote against, even though there night be a cumber of different reasons why a person may be prevented and voting: a lack of knowledge on the subject matter of the referentum, in accoveness, lack of interest, and many other personal reasons. Though these can be good reasons to abstain or not go to the polling station in an electric othey would not imply a vote against as only valid votes for parties and candidates are counted. Therefore non-participation in a referendum or alt to be considered as such, i.e. an abstention without any consequent made final outcome.

B) The turnout requirement may be a manipulative way.

Boycotting a referendum may easily secut in a turnout lower than 50%, that is below the threshold receive for the outcome of the vote to be valid. Thus, referendum opponent expects this mechanism to try to invalidate the outcome by urging one can their camp to abstain so as to add their number to those who were knot vote proposals. By resorting to this practice they do not need to put forward liternative arguments or proposals to convince voters; they can confine thems was to calling for a vote boycott. But, if no minimum turnout a received, then both proponents and opponents are obliged to make their points or desired to convince a majority of voters.

C) To turn but recomment rewards lack interest in politics and penalize citizens nitted to democracy.

Political active citizens endeavor to be well informed and to form their own opinions ahead of the vote. Uninterested people and advocates of vote boycotting simply do not go to the polls. If a referendum fails to owe to a failure to reach the minimum turnout required, involved citizens are penalized while boycotters and uninterested people are rewarded for a choice that effectively prevents a meaningful democratic debate.

D) Vote secrecy may be jeopardized.

The right to a secret ballot is somehow infringed by the turnout requirement. A voter who goes to the polling station against all calls to boycott the vote is automatically viewed as an antagonist by referendum opponents.

E) No minimum turnout is required for constitutional referendums.

- Confirmatory referendums both on laws amending the Constitution (Art. 138, second para., of the Constitution) and on legislation concerning the form of government at the local level (Art. 123, third para. of Constitution, e.g. election laws and laws regulating direct democracy) need not meet a turnout requirement.
- F) Elections do not require a minimum turnout to be valid.
- No minimum turnout is needed in any election at any level. Only actual voters decide.
- G) No risk that a minority may gain the upper hand.
- Fears that a small but very active minority might pursue their own interest and impose their choice to a passive majority are unjustical. Research into voters' behavior has shown that in any controversial and the majority of citizens clearly express their a fection of the minority's proposition on the ballot paper. At any rate parties and unions, who claim to represent the majority of society, are free transbilized and supporters and urge them to vote against a referendum that is a ought to reflect minority interests.
- H) In the United States and Switzerland minimum turnout is required.
- In Switzerland, the United States, and many other countries there is no minimum turnout requirement. Though referends, accipation levels in Switzerland traditionally fluctuate "only" accuse 10%, no political party has ever really demanded a quorum rule, know a nat this would open the way to political manipulation and taction and recovery of the political manipulation and taction and taction of the political manipulation and taction of the politic
- I) Moderate turnout lever are required in Germany.
- There are Germans sho caplain about the "high" turnout required in their country, even rough it rectually quite low when compared to Italy's. In Bavaria, Hesse, and Saxony *Länder* ordinary laws are passed by a simple majority and no queram is required. In all remaining German States, a minic time amount or approval rate must be met, ranging between 15 and 33%, when he say exception of Saarland where a 50% turnout has to be rough. High requirements have been set in Germany for the approval of constructional referendums, unlike Italy where no quorum is required in this type of referendum. In Bavaria, for example, 25% of registered voters must cast a Ves" vote, while the approval threshold is 50% in almost all remaining States, but just for constitutional decisions³⁰.
- J) Direct democracy promotes citizens' involvement.
- Direct democracy is meant to promote citizens' participation rather than discourage it. One of its main goals is to encourage citizens' involvement under Art. 118(4) of the Constitution. A high degree of involvement cannot

³⁰ B. Kaufmann, R. Büchi, N. Braun, Handbuch..., op. cit., p. 245.

be reached by imposing legal obligations to meet a certain turnout. Thus, uninterested citizens would not be persuaded to vote because a quorum is required: quite the reverse. Having repeatedly seen referendums fail owing to low turnout, interested and motivated citizens eventually feel frustrated and lose confidence in this democratic tool as they are confronted with the boycott of other citizens. It is a vicious circle. Though originally intended as a way to encourage participation, today the turnout requirement is undeniably stifling debate and deterring engagement. This mechanism penalizes social minorities more than anyone else as they annot be chout to the wider public.

K) The turnout requirement is the result of a lack of condence a be people.

Referendums today are tools for active participation on the than mere «defense of last resort». Any direct democracy procedule should air at encouraging communication at all levels whereas participation the holds and calls to boycott a referendum effectively hamper proper communication. It is easier to elude debate by inducing citizens to vote than to face open public debate and a vote without a quorum

The 50% turnout threshold is not a highertal provision of the Italian constitutional system. In fact, it is a provision of two types of national referendums. Taking other countries's a sturmodels as an example, Italy can today abolish the quorum in national ferentums as well as in regional and municipal ones.

However, the aboliton come turnout requirement must be accompanied by the introduction of a other extracely important provision, i.e. the need to obtain a majority of valid votes to be nationwide and in most regions. This new provision is meant to reflect the general course taken by the Italian political system towards a more accomplished regional state and to avoid a geographically imbalanced outcome of the referendum in a tich actes in favor may be concentrated in just a few regions. For example a preference in approved in the 8 Northern regions would not pass because a majority and a needed in at least 11 out of 20 regions.

6.7. Ruing the Majority Required to Pass Constitutional Amendments to 60%

The majority electoral system calls for a revision of the majority required to pass constitutional amendment bills in the second vote. This should be increased from 50 to 60%, so as to avoid that constitutional amendments with far-reaching consequences for our legal system are passed by government MPs without the support of a larger majority in Parliament. At the same time, the majority required for these laws not to be put to the referendum would be raised from two-thirds to three-fourths of the members of each House.

6.8. The Direct Democracy Bills Submitted in the Two Last Parliament Legislatures

In the XVI Parliament legislature (2008-2013), according to an agreement between the Presiding Officers of the Chamber of Deputies and the Senate reached at beginning of the term, constitutional amendment bills have first to be passed in the Senate. Eight bills on direct democracy tools had been considered and discussed in the Senate Constitutional Affairs Committee, owing to a lack of political right majority parties³¹.

In the last XVII Parliament legislature (2013-2018) there was a tock of otherest in this topic with just bills on direct democracy tools presented in a coeputies Chamber Constitutional Affairs Committee but without as discussion before the end of the legislature, again for the owing to a lack of particle, a till of left majority parties³².

We can only hope that people will raise its voice and commefforts will finally be examined in the current XVIII legislature (26.6-2023). However with the people's initiative referendum draft above mentioned ome quations are mandatory. Will the new referendum that the majority wants to a slutt in the Constitution will be a tool in the hands of the lobbies? A way to the hands of «500 thousand signing professionals», as denounced by the opposition caring the general discussion that opened January 16, 2019³³. The protection will be submitted to a referendum. Limits at the moment very permit twee that can be submitted to a referendum. Limits at the moment very permit twee the callarm concerns the possibility of subjecting the spending laws and the calcinal laws to the vote. Really, without corrective measures, Italy risks a Polish or Peronis of rift? Surely and finally the lobbies will be revealed. So far they moved in the total shadow.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Altman Darrect Comocracy Worldwide, Cambridge 2014.

Asimakopoula Social Structures of Direct Democracy: on the Political Economy of Equality, Chicago 2015.

Auer A., La justice constitutionnelle et la démocratie référendaire - Rapport de synthèse, in AA.VV., Justice constitutionnelle et démocratie référendaire, Strasbourg 1995.

Barbera A., Fusaro C., Corso di diritto pubblico, Bologna 2010.

³¹ Out of 124 bills under consideration in the Constitutional Affairs Committee of Senate, six are related to direct democracy.

³² Deputies Chamber constitutional bill no. 3124 of May 19, 2015 by Fraccaro and others and Deputies Chamber ordinary bill no. 4136 of November 4, 2016 by Mucci and others.

³³ See http://www.camera.it/leg18/126?tab=5&leg=18&idDocumento=726&sede=&tipo=.

- Bardi L., Electoral Change and its Impact on the Party System in Italy, "Western European Politics", 2009, no. 4.
- Bardi L., Rhodes M., Politica in Italia, Bologna 1998.
- Bellamy R., Bufacchi V., Castiglione D., Democracy and Constitutional Culture in the Union of Europe, London 1995.
- Benedikter T., Più democrazia per l'Europa: la nuova iniziativa dei cittadini europei e proposte per un'Unione europea più democratica, Lavis 2010.
- Boltho A., Vercelli A., Yoshikawa H. (eds.), Comparing Economic Systems: Italy Japan, I lingstoke-New York 2001.
- Bull M.J., Rhodes M. (eds.), Crisis and Transition in Italian Politics, Logion-Poland 2009.
- Capretti A., Direkte Demokratie in Italien, in Heussner H.K., Juny D. (ed. Mehratiekte Demokratie wagen. Volksentscheid und Bürgerentscheid: Geschicht auss, Vorsange, Munich 2009.
- Constitutional court, ruling January 12, 2012, no. 13, http://www.cortecostituzionale.it/action Pronuncia.do (access February 03, 2019).
- De Cecco M., Italy' Dysfunctional Political Economy, est Euro an Politics', 2009, no. 4.
- Della Porta D., Portos M., O'Connor F.V., Social Move de Referendums from Below: Direct Democracy in the Neoliberal Crisis, I 19917.
- Dornbusch R., Nölling W., Layard R. (eds.), Posture Conomic Reconstruction and Lessons for the East Today, Cambridge-London 19
- Fabbrini S. (ed.), L'europeizzazion ell'It Poma Bari 2003.
- Grasse A., Italiens langer We and den Grasse A., Italiens langer We are den Grasse A., Italiens langer We are den Grasse A., Italiens langer We and den Grasse A., Italiens langer We are den Grasse A., Italiens langer Men Grasse A., Italiens langer Me
- Held D., Models of Democracy, Contridge 2006.
- Hibberd M., Confer of Lorest and Media Pluralism in Italian Broadcasting, "West European Politics", 2007, no.
- Kaufmann hi R. M., Handbuch zur Direkten Demokratie, Marburg 2008.
- Ministry of harior, Election Archive, http://elezionistorico.interno.it/index.php?tpel=F (access February 03, 2019).
- Morel L., Qvortrup M. (eds.), The Routledge Handbook to Referendums and Direct Democracy, London 2018.
- Parliament, constitutional Law April 3, 1989, no. 2, http://www.gazzettaufficiale.it/eli/id/1989/04/06/089G0146/sg (access February 03, 2019).
- Parliament, Deputies Chamber constitutional bill no. 726 of June 13, 2018 by Ceccanti and others, http://www.camera.it/leg18/126?leg=18&idDocumento=726.
- Parliament, Deputies Chamber constitutional bill no. 3124 of May 19, 2015 by Fraccaro and others, http://www.camera.it/leg17/126?idDocumento=3124 (access February 03, 2019).

- Parliament, Deputies Chamber ordinary bill no. 4136 of November 4, 2016 by Mucci and others, http://www.senato.it/leg/17/BGT/Schede/Ddliter/47429.htm (access February 03, 2019).
- Parliament, Law August 4, 1993, no. 276, http://www.senato.it/documenti/repository/leggi_e_documenti/raccoltenormative/25%20-%20elezioni/L.%20276%20-%201993/L276-93.pdf (access February 03, 2019).
- Parliament, Law December 21, 2005, no. 270, http://www.camera.it/parlam/leggi/05270l.htm (access February 03, 2019).
- Parliament, Law May 25, 1970, no. 352, http://www.cortecostituzionale.it/documenti/down_ad/pdf/CC_Fonti_LEGGE_25_%20maggio_%201970_n352_%2005032011.pdf (a. 188 February 03, 2019).
- Parliament, Senate Constitutional bill no. 1428 of March 4, 2009 by Peter 1, Cocanti, Negri, Pinzger, Poretti, Procacci, Adamo, and Perduca: http://www.scato.com/pbg/nowdoc/frame.jsp?tipodoc=Ddlpres&leg=16&id=410179 (access February 1, 2019).
- Parliament, TUEL (Consolidation Law on Local Government), Legis vive Decree, August 18, 2000, no. 267, http://www.altalex.com/index.php?idnot=1000/access Featury 03, 2019).
- Pasquino G., Parlamento e Governo nell'Italia repubblica a, "Rivistatialiana di scienza politica", 2007, no. 1.
- Qvortup M., Direct Democracy: a Comparative Stady of the and Practice of Government by the people, Manchester 2017.
- Qvortup M., Referendums Around the World: the finued Growth of Direct Democracy, New York 2014.
- Ratto Trabucco F, Riflessioni sulli crimo del dell'art. 132, secondo comma, Cost., dopo sessantuno anni di vita resanta el disegno di legge di variazione territoriale regionale e l'acquisizione dei para regionali con scorta del "caso Alta Valmarecchia", "Le Istituzioni del federalismo", 2009, 2009, 2009.
- Ratto Trabucco F., Sulla resunta incos razionalità del *quorum* della maggioranza assoluta sugli iscritti alle liste elettrali por referendum territoriali ex art. 132 Cost., "Le Istituzioni del federalismo", 2007, no. 6.
- Ruth S.P., We're. White. L., Let the People Rule? Direct Democracy in the Twenty-first Century, Concester, 017.
- Suksi M., B. and in the People. A Comparison of Constitutional Forms and Practices of the Reference Dordrecht-Boston 1993.
- Sartori G., Dove va il Parlamento?, Napoli 1963.
- Scott A., The Fragmentary State of the Twenty-first Century: an Elementary Conceptual Portrait, Indiana 2008.