

# Gauge Integral

Roland Coghetto  
Rue de la Brasserie 5  
7100 La Louvière, Belgium

**Summary.** Some authors have formalized the integral in the Mizar Mathematical Library (MML). The first article in a series on the Darboux/Riemann integral was written by Noboru Endou and Artur Kornilowicz: [6]. The Lebesgue integral was formalized a little later [13] and recently the integral of Riemann-Stieltjes was introduced in the MML by Keiko Narita, Kazuhisa Nakasho and Yasunari Shidama [12].

A presentation of definitions of integrals in other proof assistants or proof checkers (ACL2, COQ, Isabelle/HOL, HOL4, HOL Light, PVS, ProofPower) may be found in [10] and [4].

Using the Mizar system [1], we define the Gauge integral (Henstock-Kurzweil) of a real-valued function on a real interval  $[a, b]$  (see [2], [3], [15], [14], [11]). In the next section we formalize that the Henstock-Kurzweil integral is linear.

In the last section, we verified that a real-valued bounded integrable (in sense Darboux/Riemann [6, 7, 8]) function over a interval  $a, b$  is Gauge integrable.

Note that, in accordance with the possibilities of the MML [9], we reuse a large part of demonstrations already present in another article. Instead of rewriting the proof already contained in [7] (MML Version: 5.42.1290), we slightly modified this article in order to use directly the expected results.

MSC: 26A39 26A42 03B35

Keywords: Gauge integral; Henstock-Kurzweil integral; generalized Riemann integral

MML identifier: COUSIN2, version: 8.1.06 5.44.1305

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

From now on  $a, b, c, d, e$  denote real numbers.

Now we state the propositions:

- (1) If  $a - b \leq c$  and  $b \leq a$ , then  $|b - a| \leq c$ .
- (2) If  $b - a \leq c$  and  $a \leq b$ , then  $|b - a| \leq c$ .
- (3) If  $a \leq b \leq c$  and  $|a - d| \leq e$  and  $|c - d| \leq e$ , then  $|b - d| \leq e$ .
- (4) If for every  $c$  such that  $0 < c$  holds  $|a - b| \leq c$ , then  $a = b$ .
- (5) Let us consider non negative real numbers  $b, c, d$ . Suppose  $d < \frac{e}{2 \cdot b \cdot |c|}$ .

Then

- (i)  $b$  is positive, and
  - (ii)  $c$  is positive.
- (6) If  $a \neq 0$ , then  $a \cdot \frac{b}{2 \cdot a} = \frac{b}{2}$ .
  - (7) Let us consider non negative real numbers  $b, c, d$ . Suppose  $a \leq b \cdot c \cdot d$  and  $d < \frac{e}{2 \cdot b \cdot |c|}$ . Then  $a \leq \frac{e}{2}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (5) and (6).

## 2. VECTOR LATTICE / RIESZ SPACE

Let  $X$  be a non empty set and  $f, g$  be functions from  $X$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . The functor  $\min(f, g)$  yielding a function from  $X$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  is defined by

(Def. 1) for every element  $x$  of  $X$ ,  $it(x) = \min(f(x), g(x))$ .

One can verify that the functor is commutative. The functor  $\max(f, g)$  yielding a function from  $X$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  is defined by

(Def. 2) for every element  $x$  of  $X$ ,  $it(x) = \max(f(x), g(x))$ .

Note that the functor is commutative.

Let  $f, g$  be positive yielding functions from  $X$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . One can check that  $\min(f, g)$  is positive yielding and  $\max(f, g)$  is positive yielding.

Let  $f, g$  be functions from  $X$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . We say that  $f \leq g$  if and only if

(Def. 3) for every element  $x$  of  $X$ ,  $f(x) \leq g(x)$ .

Now we state the proposition:

- (8) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , and functions  $f, g$  from  $X$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\min(f, g) \leq f$ .

Let us consider a non empty, real-membered set  $X$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (9) If for every real number  $r$  such that  $r \in X$  holds  $\sup X = r$ , then there exists a real number  $r$  such that  $X = \{r\}$ .
- (10) If for every real number  $r$  such that  $r \in X$  holds  $\inf X = r$ , then there exists a real number  $r$  such that  $X = \{r\}$ .
- (11) Let us consider a non empty, lower bounded, upper bounded, real-membered set  $X$ . Suppose  $\sup X = \inf X$ . Then there exists a real number  $r$  such that  $X = \{r\}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (9).

3. SOME PROPERTIES OF THE  $\chi$  FUNCTION

In the sequel  $X, Y$  denote sets,  $Z$  denotes a non empty set,  $r$  denotes a real number,  $s$  denotes an extended real,  $A$  denotes a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $f$  denotes a real-valued function.

Now we state the propositions:

- (12)  $\chi_{X,Y}$  is a function from  $Y$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- (13) If  $A \subseteq ]r, s[$ , then  $A$  is lower bounded.
- (14) If  $A \subseteq ]s, r[$ , then  $A$  is upper bounded.
- (15) If  $\text{rng } f \subseteq [a, b]$ , then  $f$  is bounded.
- (16) If  $a \leq b$ , then  $\{a, b\} \subseteq [a, b]$ .
- (17)  $\chi_{X,Y}$  is bounded. The theorem is a consequence of (16) and (15).
- (18) If  $X$  misses  $Y$ , then for every element  $x$  of  $X$ ,  $\chi_{Y,X}(x) = 0$ .
- (19) Let us consider a function  $f$  from  $Z$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $f$  is constant if and only if there exists a real number  $r$  such that  $f = r \cdot \chi_{Z,Z}$ .
- (20)  $\chi_{X,X}$  is positive yielding.

## 4. REFINEMENT OF TAGGED PARTITION

In the sequel  $I$  denotes a non empty, closed interval subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $T_1$  denotes a tagged partition of  $I$ ,  $D$  denotes a partition of  $I$ ,  $T$  denotes an element of the set of tagged partitions of  $D$ , and  $f$  denotes a partial function from  $I$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (21) If  $f$  is lower integrable, then  $\text{lower\_sum}(f, D) \leq \text{lower\_integral } f$ .
- (22) If  $f$  is upper integrable, then  $\text{upper\_integral } f \leq \text{upper\_sum}(f, D)$ .

Let  $A$  be a non empty, closed interval subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . The functor  $\text{tagged-divs}(A)$  yielding a set is defined by

(Def. 4) for every set  $x$ ,  $x \in \text{it}$  iff  $x$  is a tagged partition of  $A$ .

One can check that  $\text{tagged-divs}(A)$  is non empty.

Let  $T_1$  be a tagged partition of  $A$ . The functor  $T_1\text{-tags}$  yielding a non empty, non-decreasing finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$  is defined by

(Def. 5) there exists a partition  $D$  of  $A$  and there exists an element  $T$  of the set of tagged partitions of  $D$  such that  $\text{it} = T$  and  $T_1 = \langle D, T \rangle$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (23) If  $T_1 = \langle D, T \rangle$ , then  $T = T_1\text{-tags}$  and  $D = T_1\text{-partition}$ .
- (24)  $\text{len}(T_1\text{-tags}) = \text{len}(T_1\text{-partition})$ . The theorem is a consequence of (23).

Let  $A$  be a non empty, closed interval subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $T_1$  be a tagged partition of  $A$ . The functor  $\text{len } T_1$  yielding an element of  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined by the term

(Def. 6)  $\text{len}(T_1\text{-partition})$ .

The functor  $\text{dom } T_1$  yielding a set is defined by the term

(Def. 7)  $\text{dom}(T_1\text{-partition})$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(25) Let us consider a non empty, closed interval subset  $I$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ , a partition  $D$  of  $I$ , and an element  $T$  of the set of tagged partitions of  $D$ . Then  $\text{rng } T \subseteq I$ .

(26) Let us consider a non empty, closed interval subset  $I$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ , positive yielding functions  $j_1, j_2$  from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ , and a  $j_1$ -fine tagged partition  $T_1$  of  $I$ . If  $j_1 \leq j_2$ , then  $T_1$  is a  $j_2$ -fine tagged partition of  $I$ . The theorem is a consequence of (23), (24), and (25).

## 5. DEFINITION OF THE GAUGE INTEGRAL ON A REAL BOUNDED INTERVAL

Let  $I$  be a non empty, closed interval subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $f$  be a partial function from  $I$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $T_1$  be a tagged partition of  $I$ . The functor  $\text{tagged-volume}(f, T_1)$  yielding a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$  is defined by

(Def. 8)  $\text{len } it = \text{len } T_1$  and for every natural number  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } T_1$  holds  $it(i) = f((T_1\text{-tags})(i)) \cdot \text{vol}(\text{divset}(T_1\text{-partition}, i))$ .

The functor  $\text{tagged-sum}(f, T_1)$  yielding a real number is defined by the term

(Def. 9)  $\sum(\text{tagged-volume}(f, T_1))$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(27) If  $Y \subseteq X$ , then  $\chi_{X,Y} = \chi_{Y,Y}$ .

From now on  $f$  denotes a function from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(28) If  $I$  is non empty and trivial, then  $\text{vol}(I) = 0$ .

(29) Let us consider a real number  $r$ . If  $I = \{r\}$ , then for every partition  $D$  of  $I$ ,  $D = \langle r \rangle$ .

Let  $I$  be a non empty, closed interval subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $f$  be a function from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . We say that  $f$  is HK-integrable if and only if

(Def. 10) there exists a real number  $J$  such that for every real number  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive yielding function  $j$  from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for every tagged partition  $T_1$  of  $I$  such that  $T_1$  is  $j$ -fine holds  $|\text{tagged-sum}(f, T_1) - J| \leq \varepsilon$ .

Assume  $f$  is HK-integrable. The functor  $\text{HK-integral}(f)$  yielding a real number is defined by

(Def. 11) for every real number  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive yielding function  $j$  from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for every tagged partition  $T_1$  of  $I$  such that  $T_1$  is  $j$ -fine holds  $|\text{tagged-sum}(f, T_1) - it| \leq \varepsilon$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(30) Let us consider a function  $f$  from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $I$  is trivial. Then

(i)  $f$  is HK-integrable, and

(ii)  $\text{HK-integral}(f) = 0$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (20), (12), and (29).

(31) If  $A$  misses  $I$  and  $f = \chi_{A,I}$ , then  $\text{tagged-sum}(f, T_1) = 0$ .

PROOF: For every natural number  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } T_1$  holds

$(\text{tagged-volume}(f, T_1))(i) = 0$ .  $\square$

(32) If  $A$  misses  $I$  and  $f = \chi_{A,I}$ , then  $f$  is HK-integrable and

$\text{HK-integral}(f) = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (12) and (31).

(33) If  $I \subseteq A$  and  $f = \chi_{A,I}$ , then  $f$  is HK-integrable and  $\text{HK-integral}(f)$

$= \text{vol}(I)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (12) and (27).

Let  $I$  be a non empty, closed interval subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . One can check that there exists a function from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  which is HK-integrable.

## 6. THE LINEARITY PROPERTY OF THE GAUGE INTEGRAL

In the sequel  $f, g$  denote HK-integrable functions from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $r$  denotes a real number.

Now we state the propositions:

(34) Let us consider a natural number  $i$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } T_1$ .

Then  $(\text{tagged-volume}(r \cdot f, T_1))(i) =$

$r \cdot f((T_1\text{-tags})(i)) \cdot \text{vol}(\text{divset}(T_1\text{-partition}, i))$ .

(35)  $\text{tagged-volume}(r \cdot f, T_1) = r \cdot (\text{tagged-volume}(f, T_1))$ .

PROOF: For every natural number  $i$  such that

$i \in \text{dom}(\text{tagged-volume}(r \cdot f, T_1))$  holds  $(\text{tagged-volume}(r \cdot f, T_1))(i) = (r \cdot (\text{tagged-volume}(f, T_1)))(i)$ .  $\square$

(36) Let us consider a natural number  $i$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } T_1$ . Then  $(\text{tagged-volume}(f + g, T_1))(i) = f((T_1\text{-tags})(i)) \cdot \text{vol}(\text{divset}(T_1\text{-partition}, i)) + (g((T_1\text{-tags})(i)) \cdot \text{vol}(\text{divset}(T_1\text{-partition}, i)))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (23), (24), and (25).

(37)  $\text{tagged-volume}(f + g, T_1) =$

$(\text{tagged-volume}(f, T_1)) + (\text{tagged-volume}(g, T_1))$ .

PROOF: For every natural number  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom}(\text{tagged-volume}$

$(f + g, T_1)$  holds  $(\text{tagged-volume}(f + g, T_1))(i) = ((\text{tagged-volume}(f, T_1)) + (\text{tagged-volume}(g, T_1)))(i)$ .  $\square$

(38) Suppose  $f$  is HK-integrable. Then

- (i)  $r \cdot f$  is an HK-integrable function from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ , and
- (ii)  $\text{HK-integral}(r \cdot f) = r \cdot \text{HK-integral}(f)$ .

PROOF: Consider  $J$  being a real number such that for every real number  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive yielding function  $j$  from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for every tagged partition  $T_1$  of  $I$  such that  $T_1$  is  $j$ -fine holds  $|\text{tagged-sum}(f, T_1) - J| \leq \varepsilon$ . For every real number  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive yielding function  $j$  from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for every tagged partition  $T_1$  of  $I$  such that  $T_1$  is  $j$ -fine holds  $|\text{tagged-sum}(r \cdot f, T_1) - (r \cdot J)| \leq \varepsilon$ .  $\square$

(39) (i)  $f + g$  is an HK-integrable function from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ , and

- (ii)  $\text{HK-integral}(f + g) = \text{HK-integral}(f) + \text{HK-integral}(g)$ .

PROOF: Consider  $J_1$  being a real number such that for every real number  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive yielding function  $j$  from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for every tagged partition  $T_1$  of  $I$  such that  $T_1$  is  $j$ -fine holds  $|\text{tagged-sum}(f, T_1) - J_1| \leq \varepsilon$ . Consider  $J_2$  being a real number such that for every real number  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive yielding function  $j$  from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for every tagged partition  $T_1$  of  $I$  such that  $T_1$  is  $j$ -fine holds  $|\text{tagged-sum}(g, T_1) - J_2| \leq \varepsilon$ . For every real number  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive yielding function  $j$  from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for every tagged partition  $T_1$  of  $I$  such that  $T_1$  is  $j$ -fine holds  $|\text{tagged-sum}(f + g, T_1) - (J_1 + J_2)| \leq \varepsilon$ .  $\square$

(40) Let us consider a function  $f$  from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $f$  is constant. Then

- (i)  $f$  is HK-integrable, and
- (ii) there exists a real number  $r$  such that  $f = r \cdot \chi_{I,I}$  and  $\text{HK-integral}(f) = r \cdot \text{vol}(I)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (19), (12), (33), and (38).

## 7. RIEMANN INTEGRABILITY AND GAUGE INTEGRABILITY

Let  $I$  be a non empty, closed interval subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Note that there exists a function from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  which is integrable.

Let  $X$  be a non empty set. Observe that there exists a function from  $X$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  which is bounded.

Now we state the proposition:

(41) Let us consider a bounded function  $f$  from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Then  $|\sup \text{rng } f - \inf \text{rng } f| = 0$  if and only if  $f$  is constant. The theorem is a consequence of (11).

Let  $I$  be a non empty, closed interval subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Observe that there exists an integrable function from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  which is bounded.

Let us consider a partial function  $f$  from  $I$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Now we state the propositions:

(42) If  $f$  is upper integrable, then there exists a real number  $r$  such that for every partition  $D$  of  $I$ ,  $r < \text{upper\_sum}(f, D)$ .

(43) If  $f$  is lower integrable, then there exists a real number  $r$  such that for every partition  $D$  of  $I$ ,  $\text{lower\_sum}(f, D) < r$ .

(44) Let us consider a function  $f$  from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ , and partitions  $D, D_1$  of  $I$ . Suppose  $D(1) = \inf I$  and  $D_1 = D_{|1}$ . Then

(i)  $\text{upper\_sum}(f, D_1) = \text{upper\_sum}(f, D)$ , and

(ii)  $\text{lower\_sum}(f, D_1) = \text{lower\_sum}(f, D)$ .

PROOF:  $(\text{upper\_volume}(f, D))(1) = 0$  by [5, (50)].  $(\text{lower\_volume}(f, D))(1) = 0$  by [5, (50)].  $\square$

In the sequel  $f$  denotes a bounded, integrable function from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(45) Let us consider a natural number  $i$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } T_1$ . Then  $(\text{lower\_volume}(f, T_1\text{-partition}))(i) \leq (\text{tagged\_volume}(f, T_1))(i) \leq (\text{upper\_volume}(f, T_1\text{-partition}))(i)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (23).

(46)  $\sum \text{lower\_volume}(f, T_1\text{-partition}) \leq \sum (\text{tagged\_volume}(f, T_1)) \leq \sum \text{upper\_volume}(f, T_1\text{-partition})$ . The theorem is a consequence of (45).

(47) Let us consider a real number  $\varepsilon$ . Suppose  $I$  is not trivial and  $0 < \varepsilon$ . Then there exists a partition  $D$  of  $I$  such that

(i)  $D(1) \neq \inf I$ , and

(ii)  $\text{upper\_sum}(f, D) < \text{integral } f + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ , and

(iii)  $\text{integral } f - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \text{lower\_sum}(f, D)$ , and

(iv)  $\text{upper\_sum}(f, D) - \text{lower\_sum}(f, D) < \varepsilon$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (44).

From now on  $j$  denotes a positive yielding function from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(48) If  $j = r \cdot \chi_{I,I}$ , then  $0 < r$ .

In the sequel  $D$  denotes a tagged partition of  $I$ . Now we state the proposition:

(49) If  $j = r \cdot \chi_{I,I}$  and  $D$  is  $j$ -fine, then  $\delta_{D\text{-partition}} \leq r$ .

PROOF: Reconsider  $g = \chi_{I,I}$  as a function from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . For every natural number  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom}(D\text{-partition})$  holds

$$(\text{upper\_volume}(g, D\text{-partition}))(i) \leq r. \delta_{D\text{-partition}} \leq r. \square$$

From now on  $r_1, r_2, s$  denote real numbers,  $D, D_1$  denote partitions of  $I$ , and  $f_1$  denotes a function from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Now we state the propositions:

(50) There exists a natural number  $i$  such that

(i)  $i \in \text{dom } D$ , and

(ii)  $\min \text{rng upper\_volume}(f_1, D) = (\text{upper\_volume}(f_1, D))(i)$ .

(51) Let us consider a function  $f$  from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ , and a real number  $\varepsilon$ . Suppose  $f_1 = \chi_{I,I}$  and  $r_1 = \min \text{rng upper\_volume}(f_1, D_1)$  and  $r_2 = \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \cdot \text{len } D_1 \cdot |\sup \text{rng } f - \inf \text{rng } f|}$  and  $0 < r_1$  and  $0 < r_2$  and  $s = \frac{\min(r_1, r_2)}{2}$  and  $j = s \cdot f_1$  and  $T_1$  is  $j$ -fine. Then

(i)  $\delta_{T_1\text{-partition}} < \min \text{rng upper\_volume}(f_1, D_1)$ , and

(ii)  $\delta_{T_1\text{-partition}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \cdot \text{len } D_1 \cdot |\sup \text{rng } f - \inf \text{rng } f|}$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (49).

(52) Let us consider a finite sequence  $p$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose for every natural number  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } p$  holds  $r \leq p(i)$  and there exists a natural number  $i_0$  such that  $i_0 \in \text{dom } p$  and  $p(i_0) = r$ . Then  $r = \inf \text{rng } p$ .

(53) Suppose  $f_1 = \chi_{I,I}$ . Then

(i)  $0 \leq \min \text{rng upper\_volume}(f_1, D)$ , and

(ii)  $0 = \min \text{rng upper\_volume}(f_1, D)$  iff  $\text{divset}(D, 1) = [D(1), D(1)]$ .

PROOF: Consider  $i_0$  being a natural number such that  $i_0 \in \text{dom } D$  and  $\min \text{rng upper\_volume}(f_1, D) = (\text{upper\_volume}(f_1, D))(i_0)$ .  $0 = \min \text{rng upper\_volume}(f_1, D)$  iff  $\text{divset}(D, 1) = [D(1), D(1)]$ .  $\square$

(54) If  $\text{divset}(D, 1) = [D(1), D(1)]$ , then  $D(1) = \inf I$ .

(55) Let us consider a bounded, integrable function  $f$  from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then

(i)  $f$  is HK-integrable, and

(ii)  $\text{HK-integral}(f) = \text{integral } f$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (40), (12), (17), (28), (30), (47), (53), (54), (41), (20), (46), (51), (21), (22), (7), (1), (2), and (3).

Let  $I$  be a non empty, closed interval subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Note that every function from  $I$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  which is bounded and integrable is also HK-integrable.



## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Kornilowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pąk, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8\_17.
- [2] Robert G. Bartle. Return to the Riemann integral. *American Mathematical Monthly*, pages 625–632, 1996.
- [3] Robert G. Bartle. *A modern theory of integration*, volume 32. American Mathematical Society Providence, 2001.
- [4] Sylvie Boldo, Catherine Lelay, and Guillaume Melquiond. Formalization of real analysis: A survey of proof assistants and libraries. *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science*, 26(7):1196–1233, 2016.
- [5] Roland Coghetto. Cousin’s lemma. *Formalized Mathematics*, 24(2):107–119, 2016. doi:10.1515/forma-2016-0009.
- [6] Noboru Endou and Artur Kornilowicz. The definition of the Riemann definite integral and some related lemmas. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):93–102, 1999.
- [7] Noboru Endou, Katsumi Wasaki, and Yasunari Shidama. Darboux’s theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(1):197–200, 2001.
- [8] Noboru Endou, Katsumi Wasaki, and Yasunari Shidama. Integrability of bounded total functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(2):271–274, 2001.
- [9] Adam Grabowski and Christoph Schwarzweller. Revisions as an essential tool to maintain mathematical repositories. In M. Kauers, M. Kerber, R. Miner, and W. Windsteiger, editors, *Towards Mechanized Mathematical Assistants. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, volume 4573, pages 235–249. Springer: Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007.
- [10] John Harrison. Formalizing basic complex analysis. *Studies in Logic, Grammar and Rhetoric*, 23(10):151–165, 2007.
- [11] Jean Mawhin. L’éternel retour des sommes de Riemann-Stieltjes dans l’évolution du calcul intégral. *Bulletin de la Société Royale des Sciences de Liège*, 70(4–6):345–364, 2001.
- [12] Keiko Narita, Kazuhisa Nakasho, and Yasunari Shidama. Riemann-Stieltjes integral. *Formalized Mathematics*, 24(3):199–204, 2016. doi:10.1515/forma-2016-0016.
- [13] Yasunari Shidama, Noburu Endou, and Pauline N. Kawamoto. On the formalization of Lebesgue integrals. *Studies in Logic, Grammar and Rhetoric*, 10(23):167–177, 2007.
- [14] Lee Peng Yee. The integral à la Henstock. *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae*, 67(1):13–21, 2008.
- [15] Lee Peng Yee and Rudolf Vyborny. *Integral: an easy approach after Kurzweil and Henstock*, volume 14. Cambridge University Press, 2000.

*Received September 3, 2017*



The English version of this volume of Formalized Mathematics was financed under agreement 548/P-DUN/2016 with the funds from the Polish Minister of Science and Higher Education for the dissemination of science.