

Analysis of Algorithms: An Example of a Sort Algorithm

Grzegorz Bancerek Association of Mizar Users Białystok, Poland

Summary. We analyse three algorithms: exponentiation by squaring, calculation of maximum, and sorting by exchanging in terms of program algebra over an algebra.

MML identifier: AOFA_AO1, version: 8.0.01 5.5.1167

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [37], [1], [2], [17], [3], [4], [13], [18], [34], [23], [29], [19], [20], [15], [5], [33], [6], [27], [38], [28], [30], [14], [7], [8], [31], [16], [24], [26], [35], [9], [21], [32], [39], [36], [10], [11], [25], [12], and [22].

1. Exponentiation by Squaring Revisited

Now we state the propositions:

- (1) (i) $1 \mod 2 = 1$, and (ii) $2 \mod 2 = 0$.
- (2) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature Σ , an algebra \mathfrak{A} over Σ , a subalgebra \mathfrak{B} of \mathfrak{A} , a sort symbol s of Σ , and a set a. Suppose $a \in (\text{the sorts of }\mathfrak{B})(s)$. Then $a \in (\text{the sorts of }\mathfrak{A})(s)$.
- (3) Let us consider a non empty set I, sets a, b, c, and an element i of I. Then $c \in (i\operatorname{-singleton} a)(b)$ if and only if b = i and c = a.
- (4) Let us consider a non empty set I, sets a, b, c, d, and elements i, j of I. Then $c \in (i$ -singleton $a \cup j$ -singleton d)(b) if and only if b = i and c = a or b = j and c = d. The theorem is a consequence of (3).

Let Σ be a boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1 and $\mathfrak A$ be a non-empty algebra over Σ . We say that $\mathfrak A$ is integer if and only if

(Def. 1) There exists an image \mathfrak{C} of \mathfrak{A} such that \mathfrak{C} is a boolean correct algebra over Σ with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1.

Now we state the propositions:

- (5) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature Σ and a non-empty algebra $\mathfrak A$ over Σ . Then $\operatorname{Im} \operatorname{id}_{\alpha} = \operatorname{the}$ algebra of $\mathfrak A$, where α is the sorts of $\mathfrak A$.
- (6) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature Σ . Then every non-empty algebra over Σ is an image of \mathfrak{A} . The theorem is a consequence of (5). PROOF: \mathfrak{A} is \mathfrak{A} -image. \square

Let Σ be a boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1. One can verify that there exists a non-empty algebra over Σ which is integer.

Let \mathfrak{A} be an integer non-empty algebra over Σ . Note that there exists an image of \mathfrak{A} which is boolean correct.

Let us note that there exists a boolean correct image of \mathfrak{A} which has integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1.

Now we state the proposition:

- (7) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature Σ , a nonempty algebra \mathfrak{A} over Σ , an operation symbol o of Σ , a set a, and a sort symbol r of Σ . Suppose o is of type $a \to r$. Then
 - (i) $\text{Den}(o,\mathfrak{A})$ is a function from (the sorts of $\mathfrak{A})^{\#}(a)$ into (the sorts of $\mathfrak{A})(r)$, and
 - (ii) $\operatorname{Args}(o, \mathfrak{A}) = (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})^{\#}(a), \text{ and }$
 - (iii) Result $(o, \mathfrak{A}) = (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})(r)$.

Let Σ be a boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature and \mathfrak{A} be a boolean correct non-empty algebra over Σ . Observe that every non-empty subalgebra of \mathfrak{A} is boolean correct.

Let Σ be a boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1 and $\mathfrak A$ be a boolean correct non-empty algebra over Σ with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1. Note that every non-empty subalgebra of $\mathfrak A$ has integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1.

Let X be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ . Let us observe that $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ is integer as a non-empty algebra over Σ .

Now we state the proposition:

(8) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature Σ , algebras \mathfrak{A}_1 , \mathfrak{A}_2 , \mathfrak{B}_1 over Σ , and a non-empty algebra \mathfrak{B}_2 over Σ . Suppose

- (i) the algebra of \mathfrak{A}_1 = the algebra of \mathfrak{A}_2 , and
- (ii) the algebra of \mathfrak{B}_1 = the algebra of \mathfrak{B}_2 .

Let us consider a many sorted function h_1 from \mathfrak{A}_1 into \mathfrak{B}_1 and a many sorted function h_2 from \mathfrak{A}_2 into \mathfrak{B}_2 . Suppose

- (iii) $h_1 = h_2$, and
- (iv) h_1 is an epimorphism of \mathfrak{A}_1 onto \mathfrak{B}_1 .

Then h_2 is an epimorphism of \mathfrak{A}_2 onto \mathfrak{B}_2 .

Let Σ be a boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1 and X be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ . Let us note that there exists an including Σ -terms over X non-empty free variable algebra over Σ which is vf-free and integer.

Let Σ be a non-empty non-void many sorted signature. Let \mathfrak{T} be an including Σ -terms over X non-empty algebra over Σ . The functor FreeGenerator(\mathfrak{T}) yielding a non-empty generator set of \mathfrak{T} is defined by the term

(Def. 2) FreeGenerator(X).

Let X_0 be a countable non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ and \mathfrak{T} be an including Σ -terms over X_0 non-empty algebra over Σ . Let us observe that FreeGenerator(\mathfrak{T}) is Equations(Σ, \mathfrak{T})-free and non-empty.

Let X be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ , \mathfrak{T} be an including Σ -terms over X algebra over Σ , and G be a generator set of \mathfrak{T} . We say that G is basic if and only if

(Def. 3) FreeGenerator(\mathfrak{T}) $\subseteq G$.

Let s be a sort symbol of Σ and x be an element of G(s). We say that x is pure if and only if

(Def. 4) $x \in (\text{FreeGenerator}(\mathfrak{T}))(s)$.

Observe that FreeGenerator(\mathfrak{T}) is basic.

Note that there exists a non-empty generator set of \mathfrak{T} which is basic.

Let G be a basic generator set of \mathfrak{T} and s be a sort symbol of Σ . One can check that there exists an element of G(s) which is pure.

Now we state the proposition:

(9) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature Σ , a nonempty many sorted set X indexed by the carrier of Σ , an including Σ terms over X algebra \mathfrak{T} over Σ , a basic generator set G of \mathfrak{T} , a sort symbol s of Σ , and a set a. Then a is a pure element of G(s) if and only if $a \in (\text{FreeGenerator}(\mathfrak{T}))(s)$.

Let Σ be a non-empty non void many sorted signature, X be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ , \mathfrak{T} be an including Σ -terms over X algebra over Σ , and G be a generator system over Σ , X, and \mathfrak{T} . We say that G is basic if and only if

(Def. 5) The generators of G are basic.

Observe that there exists a generator system over Σ, X , and $\mathfrak T$ which is basic.

Let G be a basic generator system over Σ , X, and \mathfrak{T} . Note that the generators of G are basic.

In this paper Σ denotes a boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1, X denotes a non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ , \mathfrak{T} denotes a vf-free including Σ -terms over X integer non-empty free variable algebra over Σ , \mathfrak{C} denotes a boolean correct non-empty image of \mathfrak{T} with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1, G denotes a basic generator system over Σ , X, and \mathfrak{T} , \mathfrak{A} denotes a if-while algebra over the generators of G, I denotes an integer sort symbol of Σ , x, y, z, m denote pure elements of (the generators of G)(I), I0 denotes a pure element of (the generators of I1) denotes an algorithm of I2, and I3, I4, I5 denote elements of I5 from I4 denotes an algorithm of I5, and I6, I7, I8 denote elements of I8 denotes an algorithm of I9, and an I9, and an I9, an I9, an I9, an I9, and an I9, an I9, an I9, and an I9, an I9, an I9, an I9, an I9, and an I9, an I9, an I9, an I1, an I1

Let Σ be a boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature and $\mathfrak A$ be a non-empty algebra over Σ . The functor false $\mathfrak A$ yielding an element of $\mathfrak A$ from the boolean sort of Σ is defined by the term

(Def. 6) $\neg \text{true}_{\mathfrak{A}}$.

In this paper f denotes an execution function of $\mathfrak A$ over

 \mathfrak{C} -States(the generators of G) and States_{b \neq false $_{\mathfrak{C}}$}(the generators of G).

Now we state the proposition:

(10) false $\mathfrak{C} = false$.

Let Σ be a boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature, X be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ , \mathfrak{T} be an including Σ -terms over X algebra over Σ , G be a generator system over Σ , X, and \mathfrak{T} , b be an element of (the generators of G)((the boolean sort of Σ)), \mathfrak{C} be an image of \mathfrak{T} , \mathfrak{A} be a pre-if-while algebra, f be an execution function of \mathfrak{A} over \mathfrak{C} -States(the generators of G) and States $_{b \not\to false_{\mathfrak{C}}}$ (the generators of G), s be an element of \mathfrak{C} -States(the generators of G), and P be an algorithm of \mathfrak{A} . Note that the functor f(s,P) yields an element of \mathfrak{C} -States(the generators of G). Let Σ be a non-empty non void many sorted signature, \mathfrak{T} be a non-empty algebra over Σ , G be a non-empty generator set of \mathfrak{T} , s be a sort symbol of Σ , and s be an element of s. The functor s yielding an element of s from s is defined by the term

(Def. 7) x.

Let us consider Σ , X, \mathfrak{T} , G, \mathfrak{A} , b, I, τ_1 , and τ_2 . The functors $b \operatorname{leq}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \mathfrak{A})$ and $b \operatorname{gt}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \mathfrak{A})$ yielding algorithms of \mathfrak{A} are defined by the terms, respectively.

(Def. 8) $b := \mathfrak{A}(\text{leq}(\tau_1, \tau_2)).$

(Def. 9) $b:=\mathfrak{A}(\neg \operatorname{leq}(\tau_1, \tau_2)).$

The functor $2^I_{\mathfrak{T}}$ yielding an element of \mathfrak{T} from I is defined by the term

(Def. 10) $1_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I} + 1_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I}$.

Let us consider G, \mathfrak{A} , and b. Let us consider τ . The functors τ is $odd(b, \mathfrak{A})$ and τ is even (b, \mathfrak{A}) yielding algorithms of \mathfrak{A} are defined by the terms, respectively.

- (Def. 11) $b \operatorname{gt}(\tau \mod 2^{I}_{\mathfrak{T}}, 0^{I}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \mathfrak{A}).$
- (Def. 12) $b \operatorname{leq}(\tau \mod 2^I_{\mathfrak{T}}, 0^I_{\mathfrak{T}}, \mathfrak{A}).$

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} . Let us consider s. Let x be an element of (the generators of G)(I). Let us note that s(I)(x) is integer.

Let us consider τ . Let us note that τ value at (\mathfrak{C}, s) is integer.

In the sequel u denotes a many sorted function from FreeGenerator(\mathfrak{T}) into the sorts of \mathfrak{C} .

Let us consider Σ , X, \mathfrak{C} , \mathfrak{C} , I, u, and τ . One can verify that τ value at (\mathfrak{C}, u) is integer.

Let us consider G. Let us consider s. Let τ be an element of \mathfrak{T} from the boolean sort of Σ . One can verify that τ value at (\mathfrak{C}, s) is boolean.

Let us consider u. One can check that τ value at (\mathfrak{C}, u) is boolean.

Let us consider an operation symbol o of Σ . Now we state the propositions:

- (11) Suppose $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(1) (\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$. Then
 - (i) $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(1)$, and
 - (ii) Arity(o) = \emptyset , and
 - (iii) the result sort of o = the boolean sort of Σ .
- (12) Suppose $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(2) (\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$. Then
 - (i) o =(the connectives of Σ)(2), and
 - (ii) Arity(o) = \langle the boolean sort of $\Sigma \rangle$, and
 - (iii) the result sort of o = the boolean sort of Σ .
- (13) Suppose $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(3) (\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$. Then
 - (i) $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(3)$, and
 - (ii) Arity(o) = \langle the boolean sort of Σ , the boolean sort of Σ \rangle , and
 - (iii) the result sort of o = the boolean sort of Σ .
- (14) Suppose $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(4) (\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$. Then
 - (i) Arity $(o) = \emptyset$, and
 - (ii) the result sort of o = I.
- (15) Suppose $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(5) (\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$. Then
 - (i) Arity(o) = \emptyset , and
 - (ii) the result sort of o = I.

- (16) Suppose $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(6) (\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$. Then
 - (i) Arity(o) = $\langle I \rangle$, and
 - (ii) the result sort of o = I.
- (17) Suppose $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(7) (\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$. Then
 - (i) Arity(o) = $\langle I, I \rangle$, and
 - (ii) the result sort of o = I.
- (18) Suppose $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(8) (\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$. Then
 - (i) Arity(o) = $\langle I, I \rangle$, and
 - (ii) the result sort of o = I.
- (19) Suppose $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(9) (\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$. Then
 - (i) Arity(o) = $\langle I, I \rangle$, and
 - (ii) the result sort of o = I.
- (20) Suppose $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(10) (\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$. Then
 - (i) Arity(o) = $\langle I, I \rangle$, and
 - (ii) the result sort of o = the boolean sort of Σ .
- (21) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature Σ and an operation symbol o of Σ . Suppose Arity(o) = \emptyset . Let us consider an algebra $\mathfrak A$ over Σ . Then Args(o, $\mathfrak A$) = { \emptyset }.
- (22) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature Σ , a sort symbol a of Σ , and an operation symbol o of Σ . Suppose Arity $(o) = \langle a \rangle$. Let us consider an algebra $\mathfrak A$ over Σ . Then $\operatorname{Args}(o, \mathfrak A) = \prod \langle (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak A)(a) \rangle$.
- (23) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature Σ , sort symbols a, b of Σ , and an operation symbol o of Σ . Suppose Arity(o) = $\langle a, b \rangle$. Let us consider an algebra \mathfrak{A} over Σ . Then Args(o, \mathfrak{A}) = $\prod \langle \text{(the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})(a), \text{(the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})(b) \rangle$.
- (24) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature Σ , sort symbols a, b, c of Σ , and an operation symbol o of Σ . Suppose Arity(o) = $\langle a, b, c \rangle$. Let us consider an algebra $\mathfrak A$ over Σ . Then Args($o, \mathfrak A$) = $\prod \langle$ (the sorts of $\mathfrak A$)(a), (the sorts of $\mathfrak A$)(b), (the sorts of $\mathfrak A$)(c) \rangle .
- (25) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature Σ , nonempty algebras \mathfrak{A} , \mathfrak{B} over Σ , a sort symbol s of Σ , an element a of \mathfrak{A} from s, a many sorted function h from \mathfrak{A} into \mathfrak{B} , and an operation symbol o of Σ . Suppose Arity(o) = $\langle s \rangle$. Let us consider an element p of Args(o, \mathfrak{A}). If $p = \langle a \rangle$, then $h \# p = \langle h(s)(a) \rangle$.

- (26) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature Σ , nonempty algebras \mathfrak{A} , \mathfrak{B} over Σ , sort symbols s_1 , s_2 of Σ , an element a of \mathfrak{A} from s_1 , an element b of \mathfrak{A} from s_2 , a many sorted function h from \mathfrak{A} into \mathfrak{B} , and an operation symbol o of Σ . Suppose Arity $(o) = \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle$. Let us consider an element p of Args (o, \mathfrak{A}) . Suppose $p = \langle a, b \rangle$. Then $h \# p = \langle h(s_1)(a), h(s_2)(b) \rangle$.
- (27) Let us consider a non empty non void many sorted signature Σ , nonempty algebras \mathfrak{A} , \mathfrak{B} over Σ , sort symbols s_1 , s_2 , s_3 of Σ , an element a of \mathfrak{A} from s_1 , an element b of \mathfrak{A} from s_2 , an element c of \mathfrak{A} from s_3 , a many sorted function b from \mathfrak{A} into \mathfrak{B} , and an operation symbol o of Σ . Suppose Arity(o) = $\langle s_1, s_2, s_3 \rangle$. Let us consider an element p of Args(o, \mathfrak{A}). Suppose $p = \langle a, b, c \rangle$. Then $h \# p = \langle h(s_1)(a), h(s_2)(b), h(s_3)(c) \rangle$.

Let us consider a many sorted function h from \mathfrak{T} into \mathfrak{C} , a sort symbol a of Σ , and an element τ of \mathfrak{T} from a. Now we state the propositions:

- (28) If h is a homomorphism of \mathfrak{T} into \mathfrak{C} , then τ value at(\mathfrak{C} , $h \upharpoonright \text{FreeGenerator}(\mathfrak{T}) = h(a)(\tau)$.
- (29) Suppose h is a homomorphism of \mathfrak{T} into \mathfrak{C} and $s = h \upharpoonright$ the generators of G. Then τ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = h(a)(\tau)$.
- (30) true_{\mathfrak{T}} value at(\mathfrak{C}, s) = true. The theorem is a consequence of (11) and (21).
- (31) Let us consider an element τ of \mathfrak{T} from the boolean sort of Σ . Then $\neg \tau$ value at(\mathfrak{C}, s) = $\neg(\tau \text{ value at}(\mathfrak{C}, s))$. The theorem is a consequence of (29), (12), (22), and (25).
- (32) Let us consider a boolean set a and an element τ of \mathfrak{T} from the boolean sort of Σ . Then $\neg \tau$ value at(\mathfrak{C}, s) = $\neg a$ if and only if τ value at(\mathfrak{C}, s) = a. The theorem is a consequence of (31).
- (33) Let us consider an element a of \mathfrak{C} from the boolean sort of Σ and a boolean set x. Then $\neg a = \neg x$ if and only if a = x.
- (34) false \mathfrak{T} value at $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = false$. The theorem is a consequence of (31) and (30).
- (35) Let us consider elements τ_1 , τ_2 of \mathfrak{T} from the boolean sort of Σ . Then $(\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2)$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = (\tau_1 \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, s)) \wedge (\tau_2 \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, s))$. The theorem is a consequence of (29), (13), (23), and (26).
- (36) $0_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I}$ value at(\mathfrak{C}, s) = 0. The theorem is a consequence of (14) and (21).
- (37) $1_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I}$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = 1$. The theorem is a consequence of (15) and (21).
- (38) $(-\tau)$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = -\tau$ value at (\mathfrak{C}, s) . The theorem is a consequence of (16), (22), and (25).
- (39) $(\tau_1 + \tau_2)$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = \tau_1$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, s) + \tau_2$ value at (\mathfrak{C}, s) . The theorem is a consequence of (17), (23), and (26).
- (40) $2_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I}$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = 2$. The theorem is a consequence of (37) and (39).

- (41) $(\tau_1 \tau_2)$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = \tau_1$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, s) \tau_2$ value at (\mathfrak{C}, s) . The theorem is a consequence of (39) and (38).
- (42) $(\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2)$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = (\tau_1 \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, s)) \cdot (\tau_2 \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, s))$. The theorem is a consequence of (29), (18), (23), and (26).
- (43) $(\tau_1 \operatorname{div} \tau_2)$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = \tau_1$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, s) \operatorname{div} \tau_2$ value at (\mathfrak{C}, s) . The theorem is a consequence of (19), (23), and (26).
- (44) $(\tau_1 \mod \tau_2)$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = \tau_1$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, s) \mod \tau_2$ value at (\mathfrak{C}, s) . The theorem is a consequence of (41), (42), and (43).
- (45) $\operatorname{leq}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ value $\operatorname{at}(\mathfrak{C}, s) = \operatorname{leq}(\tau_1 \text{ value } \operatorname{at}(\mathfrak{C}, s), \tau_2 \text{ value } \operatorname{at}(\mathfrak{C}, s))$. The theorem is a consequence of (20), (23), and (26).
- (46) true_{\mathfrak{T}} value at(\mathfrak{C}, u) = true. The theorem is a consequence of (11) and (21).
- (47) Let us consider an element τ of \mathfrak{T} from the boolean sort of Σ . Then $\neg \tau$ value at(\mathfrak{C}, u) = $\neg(\tau \text{ value at}(\mathfrak{C}, u))$. The theorem is a consequence of (28), (12), (22), and (25).
- (48) Let us consider a boolean set a and an element τ of \mathfrak{T} from the boolean sort of Σ . Then $\neg \tau$ value at(\mathfrak{C}, u) = $\neg a$ if and only if τ value at(\mathfrak{C}, u) = a. The theorem is a consequence of (47).
- (49) false \mathfrak{T} value at $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = false$. The theorem is a consequence of (47) and (46).
- (50) Let us consider elements τ_1 , τ_2 of \mathfrak{T} from the boolean sort of Σ . Then $(\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2)$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = (\tau_1 \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, u)) \wedge (\tau_2 \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, u))$. The theorem is a consequence of (28), (13), (23), and (26).
- (51) $0_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I}$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = 0$. The theorem is a consequence of (14) and (21).
- (52) $1_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I}$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = 1$. The theorem is a consequence of (15) and (21).
- (53) $(-\tau)$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = -\tau$ value at (\mathfrak{C}, u) . The theorem is a consequence of (16), (22), and (25).
- (54) $(\tau_1 + \tau_2)$ value at(\mathfrak{C}, u) = τ_1 value at(\mathfrak{C}, u) + τ_2 value at(\mathfrak{C}, u). The theorem is a consequence of (17), (23), and (26).
- (55) $2_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I}$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = 2$. The theorem is a consequence of (52) and (54).
- (56) $(\tau_1 \tau_2)$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = \tau_1$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, u) \tau_2$ value at (\mathfrak{C}, u) . The theorem is a consequence of (54) and (53).
- (57) $(\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2)$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = (\tau_1 \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, u)) \cdot (\tau_2 \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, u))$. The theorem is a consequence of (28), (18), (23), and (26).
- (58) $(\tau_1 \operatorname{div} \tau_2)$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = \tau_1$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, u) \operatorname{div} \tau_2$ value at (\mathfrak{C}, u) . The theorem is a consequence of (19), (23), and (26).
- (59) $(\tau_1 \mod \tau_2)$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = \tau_1$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, u) \mod \tau_2$ value at (\mathfrak{C}, u) . The theorem is a consequence of (56), (57), and (58).

- (60) $\operatorname{leq}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ value $\operatorname{at}(\mathfrak{C}, u) = \operatorname{leq}(\tau_1 \text{ value } \operatorname{at}(\mathfrak{C}, u), \tau_2 \text{ value } \operatorname{at}(\mathfrak{C}, u)).$ The theorem is a consequence of (20), (23), and (26).
- (61) Let us consider a sort symbol a of Σ and an element x of (the generators of G)(a). Then [@]x value at(\mathfrak{C} , s) = s(a)(x). The theorem is a consequence of (29).
- (62) Let us consider a sort symbol a of Σ , a pure element x of (the generators of G)(a), and a many sorted function u from FreeGenerator(\mathfrak{T}) into the sorts of \mathfrak{C} . Then [@]x value at(\mathfrak{C} , u) = u(a)(x).

Let us consider integers i, j and elements a, b of $\mathfrak C$ from I. Now we state the propositions:

- (63) If a = i and b = j, then a b = i j.
- (64) If a = i and b = j and $j \neq 0$, then $a \mod b = i \mod j$.
- (65) Suppose G is \mathfrak{C} -supported and $f \in \mathfrak{C}$ -Execution $_{b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}(\mathfrak{A})$. Then let us consider a sort symbol a of Σ , a pure element x of (the generators of G)(a), and an element τ of \mathfrak{T} from a. Then
 - (i) $f(s, x := \mathfrak{A}\tau)(a)(x) = \tau$ value at (\mathfrak{C}, s) , and
 - (ii) for every pure element z of (the generators of G)(a) such that $z \neq x$ holds $f(s, x := \mathfrak{A}\tau)(a)(z) = s(a)(z)$, and
 - (iii) for every sort symbol b of Σ such that $a \neq b$ for every pure element z of (the generators of G)(b), $f(s, x := \mathfrak{A}\tau)(b)(z) = s(b)(z)$.
- (66) Suppose G is \mathfrak{C} -supported and $f \in \mathfrak{C}$ -Execution_{b \neq false_{σ}(\mathfrak{A}). Then}
 - (i) τ_1 value at(\mathfrak{C}, s) < τ_2 value at(\mathfrak{C}, s) iff $f(s, b \operatorname{gt}(\tau_2, \tau_1, \mathfrak{A})) \in \operatorname{States}_{b \to \operatorname{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$ (the generators of G), and
 - (ii) τ_1 value at $(\mathfrak{C}, s) \leq \tau_2$ value at (\mathfrak{C}, s) iff $f(s, b \operatorname{leq}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \mathfrak{A})) \in \operatorname{States}_{b \neq \operatorname{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$ (the generators of G), and
 - (iii) for every x, $f(s, b \operatorname{gt}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \mathfrak{A}))(I)(x) = s(I)(x)$ and $f(s, b \operatorname{leq}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \mathfrak{A}))(I)(x) = s(I)(x)$, and
 - (iv) for every pure element c of (the generators of G)((the boolean sort of Σ)) such that $c \neq b$ holds $f(s, b \operatorname{gt}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \mathfrak{A}))$ ((the boolean sort of Σ))(c) = s((the boolean sort of Σ))(c) and $f(s, b \operatorname{leq}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \mathfrak{A}))$ ((the boolean sort of Σ))(c) = s((the boolean sort of Σ))(c).

The theorem is a consequence of (31), (45), and (33).

Let i, j be real numbers and a, b be boolean sets. One can verify that $(i > j \rightarrow a, b)$ is boolean.

Now we state the proposition:

- (67) Suppose G is \mathfrak{C} -supported and $f \in \mathfrak{C}$ -Execution<sub> $b
 eq \text{false}_{\sigma}$ </sub> (\mathfrak{A}). Then
 - (i) $f(s, \tau \text{ is odd}(b, \mathfrak{A}))$ ((the boolean sort of Σ))(b) = τ value at (\mathfrak{C}, s) mod 2, and

- (ii) $f(s, \tau \text{ is even}(b, \mathfrak{A}))$ ((the boolean sort of Σ))(b) = $(\tau \text{ value at}(\mathfrak{C}, s) + 1) \mod 2$, and
- (iii) for every z, $f(s, \tau)$ is $odd(b, \mathfrak{A})(I)(z) = s(I)(z)$ and $f(s, \tau)$ is $even(b, \mathfrak{A})(I)(z) = s(I)(z)$.

The theorem is a consequence of (36), (40), (64), (31), (45), (44), and (1). Let us consider Σ , X, \mathfrak{T} , G, and \mathfrak{A} . We say that \mathfrak{A} is elementary if and only if

(Def. 13) rng the assignments of $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \text{ElementaryInstructions}_{\mathfrak{A}}$.

Now we state the proposition:

(68) Suppose \mathfrak{A} is elementary. Then let us consider a sort symbol a of Σ , an element x of (the generators of G)(a), and an element τ of \mathfrak{T} from a. Then $x:=_{\mathfrak{A}}\tau\in \text{ElementaryInstructions}_{\mathfrak{A}}$.

Let us consider Σ , X, \mathfrak{T} , and G. One can verify that there exists a strict if-while algebra over the generators of G which is elementary.

Let \mathfrak{A} be an elementary if-while algebra over the generators of G, a be a sort symbol of Σ , x be an element of (the generators of G)(a), and τ be an element of \mathfrak{T} from a. Let us observe that $x:=\mathfrak{A}\tau$ is absolutely-terminating.

Now let Γ denotes the program

```
\begin{array}{l} y :=_{\mathfrak{A}} 1^{I}_{\mathfrak{T}}; \\ \text{while } b \operatorname{gt}({}^{@}m, 0^{I}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \mathfrak{A}) \operatorname{do} \\ \text{if } {}^{@}m \operatorname{is } \operatorname{odd}(b, \mathfrak{A}) \operatorname{then} \\ y :=_{\mathfrak{A}} {}^{@}y \cdot {}^{@}x \\ \text{fi}; \\ m :=_{\mathfrak{A}} {}^{@}m \operatorname{div} 2^{I}_{\mathfrak{T}}; \\ x :=_{\mathfrak{A}} {}^{@}x \cdot {}^{@}x \\ \text{done} \end{array}
```

Then we state the propositions:

- (69) Let us consider an elementary if-while algebra \mathfrak{A} over the generators of G and an execution function f of \mathfrak{A} over \mathfrak{C} -States(the generators of G) and States_{$b \not\to \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}$} (the generators of G). Suppose
 - (i) G is \mathfrak{C} -supported, and
 - (ii) $f \in \mathfrak{C}$ -Execution_{b \(\neq \text{false}_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathfrak{A}), \text{ and } \)}
 - (iii) there exists a function d such that d(x) = 1 and d(y) = 2 and d(m) = 3.

Then Γ is terminating w.r.t. f and $\{s: s(I)(m) \geq 0\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (66), (36), (61), (65), (40), and (43). Proof: Set $ST = \mathfrak{C}$ -States(the generators of G). Set $TV = \operatorname{States}_{b \neq \operatorname{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$ (the generators of G). Set $P = \{s: s(I)(m) \geq 0\}$. Set $W = b \operatorname{gt}({}^{\textcircled{\tiny{0}}}m, 0^{I}_{\mathfrak{T}}, \mathfrak{A})$. Define $\mathcal{F}(\text{element of } ST) = \$_1(I)(m) (\in \mathbb{N})$. Define $\mathcal{R}[\text{element of } ST] \equiv \$_1(I)(m) > 0$

- 0. Set $K = \text{if } {}^{@}m$ is $\text{odd}(b, \mathfrak{A})$ then $(y :=_{\mathfrak{A}}({}^{@}y \cdot {}^{@}x))$. Set $J = (K; m :=_{\mathfrak{A}}({}^{@}m \text{ div } 2^{I}_{\mathfrak{T}})); x :=_{\mathfrak{A}}({}^{@}x \cdot {}^{@}x)$. P is invariant w.r.t. W and f. For every element s of ST such that $s \in P$ and $f(f(s, J), W) \in TV$ holds $f(s, J) \in P$. P is invariant w.r.t. $y :=_{\mathfrak{A}}(1^{I}_{\mathfrak{T}})$ and f. For every s such that $f(s, W) \in P$ holds iteration of f started in J; W terminates w.r.t. f(s, W). \square
- (70)Suppose G is \mathfrak{C} -supported and there exists a function d such that d(b) = 0 and d(x) = 1 and d(y) = 2 and d(m) = 3. Then let us consider an element s of \mathfrak{C} -States(the generators of G) and a natural number n. Suppose n = s(I)(m). If $f \in \mathfrak{C}$ -Execution_{b \neq false $_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{A})$, then $f(s,\Gamma)(I)(y) =$} $s(I)(x)^n$. The theorem is a consequence of (65), (66), (36), (61), (37), (40), (43), (67), (10), and (42). Proof: Set $\Sigma = \mathfrak{C}$ -States(the generators of G). Set $W = \mathfrak{T}$. Set g = f. Set $\mathfrak{T} = \text{States}_{b \to \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$ (the generators of G). Set $s0 = f(s, y) = \mathfrak{A}(1_W^I)$. Define $\mathcal{R}[\text{element of } \Sigma] \equiv \mathfrak{I}(I)(m) > 0$. Set $\mathfrak{C} = b \operatorname{gt}({}^{\textcircled{n}}m, 0_W^I, \mathfrak{A})$. Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \Sigma] \equiv s(I)(x)^n = \$_1(I)(y)$. $\$_1(I)(x)^{\$_1(I)(m)}$ and $\$_1(I)(m) \ge 0$. Define $\mathcal{F}(\text{element of } \Sigma) = \$_1(I)(m) \in$ \mathbb{N}). Set $I = \text{if } {}^{@}m \text{ is odd}(b, \mathfrak{A}) \text{ then}(y :=_{\mathfrak{A}}({}^{@}y \cdot {}^{@}x)).$ Set $J = (I; m := \mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{Q}m \operatorname{div} 2_W^Y)); x := \mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{Q}x \cdot \mathbb{Q}x)$. For every element s of Σ such that $\mathcal{P}[s]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[(g(s,\mathfrak{C}) \text{ qua element of } \Sigma)]$ and $g(s,\mathfrak{C}) \in \mathfrak{T}$ iff $\mathcal{R}[(g(s,\mathfrak{C}) \text{ qua element of } \Sigma)].$ Set $s_1 = g(s_0,\mathfrak{C}).$ For every element s of Σ such that $\mathcal{R}[s]$ holds $\mathcal{R}[(g(s,J;\mathfrak{C})]$ qua element of Σ) iff $g(s,J;\mathfrak{C}) \in \mathfrak{T}$ and $\mathcal{F}((g(s,J;\mathfrak{C}) \text{ qua element of } \Sigma)) < \mathcal{F}(s)$. Set g=s. For every element s of Σ such that $\mathcal{P}[s]$ and $s \in \mathfrak{T}$ and $\mathcal{R}[s]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[(g(s,J))$ qua element of Σ)]. \square

2. Calculation of Maximum

Let X be a non empty set, f be a finite sequence of elements of X^{ω} , and x be a natural number. Let us observe that f(x) is transfinite sequence-like finite function-like and relation-like.

Let us note that every finite sequence of elements of X^{ω} is function yielding. Let i be a natural number, f be an i-based finite array, and a, x be sets. Note that f + (a, x) is i-based finite and segmental.

Let X be a non empty set, f be an X-valued function, a be a set, and x be an element of X. Let us observe that f + (a, x) is X-valued.

The scheme Sch1 deals with a non empty set \mathcal{X} and a natural number j and a set \mathfrak{B} and a ternary functor \mathcal{F} yielding a set and a unary functor \mathfrak{A} yielding a set and states that

(Sch. 1) There exists a finite sequence f of elements of \mathcal{X}^{ω} such that len f = j and $f(1) = \mathfrak{B}$ or j = 0 and for every natural number i such that $1 \leq i < j$ holds $f(i+1) = \mathcal{F}(f(i), i, \mathfrak{A}(i))$

provided

- for every 0-based finite array a of \mathcal{X} and for every natural number i such that $1 \leq i < j$ for every element x of \mathcal{X} , $\mathcal{F}(a, i, x)$ is a 0-based finite array of \mathcal{X} and
- \mathfrak{B} is a 0-based finite array of \mathcal{X} and
- for every natural number i such that i < j holds $\mathfrak{A}(i) \in \mathcal{X}$.

Now we state the propositions:

- (71) Let us consider a non empty non void boolean signature Σ with arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1, sets J, L, and a sort symbol K of Σ . Suppose (the connectives of Σ)(11) is of type $\langle J, L \rangle \to K$. Then
 - (i) J =the array sort of Σ , and
 - (ii) for every integer sort symbol I of Σ , the array sort of $\Sigma \neq I$.
- (72) Let us consider a 1-1-connectives 11-array correct boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature Σ with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1 and arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1, an integer sort symbol I of Σ , a boolean correct non-empty algebra $\mathfrak A$ over Σ with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1 and arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1, and elements a, b of $\mathfrak A$ from I. If a = 0, then init.array $(a, b) = \emptyset$.
- (73) Let us consider an 11-array correct boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature Σ with arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1 and an integer sort symbol I of Σ . Then
 - (i) the array sort of $\Sigma \neq I$, and
 - (ii) (the connectives of Σ)(11) is of type (the array sort of Σ, I) $\to I$, and
 - (iii) (the connectives of Σ)(11 + 1) is of type (the array sort of Σ, I, I) \rightarrow the array sort of Σ , and
 - (iv) (the connectives of Σ)(11 + 2) is of type \langle the array sort of $\Sigma \rangle \to I$, and
 - (v) (the connectives of Σ)(11+3) is of type $\langle I, I \rangle \to \text{the array sort of } \Sigma$.
- (74) Let us consider a 1-1-connectives 11-array correct boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature Σ with arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1 and integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1, an integer sort symbol I of Σ , and a boolean correct non-empty algebra \mathfrak{A} over Σ with arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1 and integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1. Then
 - (i) (the sorts of \mathfrak{A})(the array sort of Σ) = \mathbb{Z}^{ω} , and

- (ii) for every elements i, j of \mathfrak{A} from I such that i is a non negative integer holds init.array $(i,j)=i\longmapsto j$, and
- (iii) for every element a of (the sorts of \mathfrak{A})(the array sort of Σ), length $a = \overline{a}$ and for every element i of \mathfrak{A} from I and for every function f such that f = a and $i \in \text{dom } f$ holds a(i) = f(i) and for every element x of \mathfrak{A} from I, $a_{i \leftarrow x} = f + (i, x)$.

The theorem is a consequence of (71).

Let a be a 0-based finite array. Observe that length a is finite.

Let Σ be a 1-1-connectives 11-array correct boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1 and arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1 and $\mathfrak A$ be a boolean correct non-empty algebra over Σ with arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1 and integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1. Observe that every non-empty subalgebra of $\mathfrak A$ has arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1.

Let $\mathfrak A$ be a non-empty algebra over Σ . We say that $\mathfrak A$ is integer array if and only if

(Def. 14) There exists an image \mathfrak{C} of \mathfrak{A} such that \mathfrak{C} is a boolean correct algebra over Σ with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1 and arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1.

Let X be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ . One can verify that $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ is integer array as a non-empty algebra over Σ .

Note that every non-empty algebra over Σ which is integer array is also integer.

One can check that there exists an including Σ -terms over X non-empty strict free variable algebra over Σ which is vf-free and integer array.

One can check that there exists a non-empty algebra over Σ which is integer array.

Let \mathfrak{A} be an integer array non-empty algebra over Σ . Observe that there exists a boolean correct image of \mathfrak{A} which has integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1 and arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1.

In this paper Σ denotes a 1-1-connectives 11-array correct boolean correct non empty non void boolean signature with integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1 and arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1, Xdenotes a non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of Σ , $\mathfrak T$ denotes a vf-free including Σ -terms over X integer array non-empty free variable algebra over Σ , $\mathfrak C$ denotes a boolean correct non-empty image of $\mathfrak T$ with arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1 and integers with connectives from 4 and the sort at 1, G denotes a basic generator system over Σ , X, and $\mathfrak T$, $\mathfrak A$ denotes a if-while algebra over the generators of G, I denotes an integer sort symbol of Σ , x, y, m, i denote pure elements of (the generators of G)(I), M, N denote pure elements of (the generators of G)(the array sort of Σ), b denotes a pure element of (the generators of G)((the boolean sort of Σ)), and s, s_1 denote elements of \mathfrak{C} -States(the generators of G).

Let us consider Σ . Let \mathfrak{A} be a boolean correct non-empty algebra over Σ with arrays of type 1 with connectives from 11 and integers at 1. Observe that every element of (the sorts of \mathfrak{A})(the array sort of Σ) is relation-like and function-like.

Note that every element of (the sorts of \mathfrak{A})(the array sort of Σ) is finite and transfinite sequence-like.

Let us consider an operation symbol o of Σ . Now we state the propositions:

- (75) Suppose $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(11) (\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$. Then
 - (i) Arity(o) = $\langle \text{the array sort of } \Sigma, I \rangle$, and
 - (ii) the result sort of o = I.
- (76) Suppose $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(12) (\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$. Then
 - (i) Arity(o) = \langle the array sort of Σ , I, I \rangle , and
 - (ii) the result sort of o =the array sort of Σ .
- (77) Suppose $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(13) (\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$. Then
 - (i) Arity(o) = $\langle \text{the array sort of } \Sigma \rangle$, and
 - (ii) the result sort of o = I.
- (78) Suppose $o = (\text{the connectives of } \Sigma)(14) (\in (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma))$. Then
 - (i) Arity(o) = $\langle I, I \rangle$, and
 - (ii) the result sort of o = the array sort of Σ .
- (79) Let us consider an element τ of \mathfrak{T} from the array sort of Σ and an element τ_1 of \mathfrak{T} from I.
 - Then $\tau(\tau_1)$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = (\tau \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, s))(\tau_1 \text{ value at } (\mathfrak{C}, s))$. The theorem is a consequence of (29), (75), (23), and (26).
- (80) Let us consider an element τ of \mathfrak{T} from the array sort of Σ and elements τ_1, τ_2 of \mathfrak{T} from I. Then $\tau_{\tau_1 \leftarrow \tau_2}$ value at(\mathfrak{C}, s) = $(\tau \text{ value at}(\mathfrak{C}, s))_{\tau_1 \text{ value at}(\mathfrak{C}, s) \leftarrow \tau_2 \text{ value at}(\mathfrak{C}, s)}$. The theorem is a consequence of (29), (76), (24), and (27).
- (81) Let us consider an element τ of \mathfrak{T} from the array sort of Σ . Then length_I τ value at(\mathfrak{C}, s) = length_I(τ value at(\mathfrak{C}, s)). The theorem is a consequence of (29), (77), (22), and (25).
- (82) Let us consider elements τ_1 , τ_2 of \mathfrak{T} from I. Then init.array (τ_1, τ_2) value at (\mathfrak{C}, s) = init.array (τ_1) value at (\mathfrak{C}, s) , τ_2 value at (\mathfrak{C}, s)). The theorem is a consequence of (29), (78), (23), and (26).

In the sequel u denotes a many sorted function from FreeGenerator($\mathfrak T$) into the sorts of $\mathfrak C$.

Now we state the propositions:

- (83) Let us consider an element τ of $\mathfrak T$ from the array sort of Σ and an element τ_1 of $\mathfrak T$ from I.
 - Then $\tau(\tau_1)$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = (\tau \text{ value at}(\mathfrak{C}, u))(\tau_1 \text{ value at}(\mathfrak{C}, u))$. The theorem is a consequence of (28), (75), (23), and (26).
- (84) Let us consider an element τ of \mathfrak{T} from the array sort of Σ and elements τ_1 , τ_2 of \mathfrak{T} from I.
 - Then $\tau_{\tau_1 \leftarrow \tau_2}$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, u) = (\tau \text{ value at}(\mathfrak{C}, u))_{\tau_1 \text{ value at}(\mathfrak{C}, u) \leftarrow \tau_2 \text{ value at}(\mathfrak{C}, u)}$. The theorem is a consequence of (28), (76), (24), and (27).
- (85) Let us consider an element τ of \mathfrak{T} from the array sort of Σ . Then length_I τ value at(\mathfrak{C} , u) = length_I(τ value at(\mathfrak{C} , u)). The theorem is a consequence of (28), (77), (22), and (25).
- (86) Let us consider elements τ_1 , τ_2 of \mathfrak{T} from I. Then init.array (τ_1, τ_2) value at (\mathfrak{C}, u) = init.array $(\tau_1 \text{ value at}(\mathfrak{C}, u), \tau_2 \text{ value at}(\mathfrak{C}, u))$. The theorem is a consequence of (28), (78), (23), and (26).

Let us consider Σ , X, \mathfrak{T} , and I. Let i be an integer. The functor $i_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I}$ yielding an element of \mathfrak{T} from I is defined by

- (Def. 15) There exists a function f from \mathbb{Z} into (the sorts of \mathfrak{T})(I) such that
 - (i) it = f(i), and
 - (ii) $f(0) = 0_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I}$, and
 - (iii) for every natural number j and for every element τ of $\mathfrak T$ from I such that $f(j) = \tau$ holds $f(j+1) = \tau + 1^I_{\mathfrak T}$ and $f(-(j+1)) = -(\tau + 1^I_{\mathfrak T})$.

Now we state the propositions:

- $(87) \quad 0_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I} = 0_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I}.$
- (88) Let us consider a natural number n. Then
 - (i) $(n+1)_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I} = n_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I} + 1_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I}$, and
 - (ii) $-(n+1)_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I} = -(n+1)_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I}$.
- (89) $1_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I} = 0_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I} + 1_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I}$. The theorem is a consequence of (88) and (87).
- (90) Let us consider an integer i. Then $i_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I}$ value at $(\mathfrak{C}, s) = i$. The theorem is a consequence of (87), (36), (37), (88), (39), and (38).

Let us consider Σ , X, \mathfrak{T} , G, I, and M. Let i be an integer. The functor M(i,I) yielding an element of \mathfrak{T} from I is defined by the term

(Def. 16) $({}^{@}M)(i_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I}).$

Let us consider \mathfrak{C} and s. Note that s(the array sort of Σ)(M) is function-like and relation-like.

Note that $s(\text{the array sort of }\Sigma)(M)$ is finite transfinite sequence-like and $\mathbb{Z}\text{-valued}.$

Observe that $\operatorname{rng}(s(\text{the array sort of }\Sigma)(M))$ is finite and integer-membered. Let us consider an integer j. Now we state the propositions:

- (91) Suppose $j \in \text{dom}(s(\text{the array sort of }\Sigma)(M))$ and $M(j,I) \in (\text{the generators of }G)(I)$. Then $s(\text{the array sort of }\Sigma)(M)(j) = s(I)(M(j,I))$.
- (92) Suppose $j \in \text{dom}(s(\text{the array sort of }\Sigma)(M))$ and $({}^{@}M)({}^{@}i) \in (\text{the generators of }G)(I)$ and $j = {}^{@}i \text{ value at}(\mathfrak{C}, s)$. Then $(s(\text{the array sort of }\Sigma)(M))({}^{@}i \text{ value at}(\mathfrak{C}, s)) = s(I)((({}^{@}M)({}^{@}i)))$.

Let X be a non empty set. One can verify that X^{ω} is infinite. Now we state the propositions:

(93) Now let Γ denotes the program

```
\begin{split} m :=_{\mathfrak{A}} &0^{I}_{\mathfrak{T}}; \\ \text{for } i :=_{\mathfrak{A}} &1^{I}_{\mathfrak{T}} \text{ until } b \operatorname{gt}(\operatorname{length}_{I} {}^{@}\!M, {}^{@}\!i, \mathfrak{A}) \operatorname{step } i :=_{\mathfrak{A}} {}^{@}\!i + 1^{I}_{\mathfrak{T}} \\ \text{do} \\ & \text{if } b \operatorname{gt}(({}^{@}\!M)({}^{@}\!i), ({}^{@}\!M)({}^{@}\!m), \mathfrak{A}) \operatorname{then} \\ & m :=_{\mathfrak{A}} {}^{@}\!i \\ & \text{fi} \\ & \text{done} \end{split}
```

Let us consider an execution function f of \mathfrak{A} over \mathfrak{C} -States(the generators of G) and States<sub> $b
infty false_{\mathfrak{C}}$ </sub> (the generators of G). Suppose

- (i) $f \in \mathfrak{C}\text{-Execution}_{b \not\to \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}(\mathfrak{A})$, and
- (ii) G is \mathfrak{C} -supported, and
- (iii) $i \neq m$, and
- (iv) $s(\text{the array sort of }\Sigma)(M) \neq \emptyset$.

Let us consider a natural number n. Suppose $f(s,\Gamma)(I)(m) = n$. Let us consider a non empty finite integer-membered set X. Suppose X = $\operatorname{rng}(s(\operatorname{the array sort of }\Sigma)(M)).$ Then M(n,I) value $\operatorname{at}(\mathfrak{C},s)=\max X.$ The theorem is a consequence of (65), (36), (37), (74), (71), (66), (81), (61), (39), (79), and (90). Proof: Set $ST = \mathfrak{C}$ -States(the generators of G). Define $\mathcal{R}[\text{element of } ST] \equiv s(\text{the array sort of } \Sigma)(M) = \$_1(\text{the array sort of } \Sigma)$ sort of Σ)(M). Reconsider sm = s as a many sorted function from the generators of G into the sorts of \mathfrak{C} . Reconsider z = sm(the array sort of Σ (M) as a 0-based finite array of \mathbb{Z} . Define \mathcal{P} [element of ST] $\equiv \mathcal{R}[\$_1]$ and $\$_1(I)(i), \$_1(I)(m) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\$_1(I)(i) \leq \text{len } z \text{ and } \$_1(I)(m) < \$_1(I)(i)$ and $\$_1(I)(m) < \text{len } z$ and for every integer mx such that $mx = \$_1(I)(m)$ for every natural number j such that $j < \$_1(I)(i)$ holds $z(j) \leqslant z(mx)$. Define $\mathcal{Q}[\text{element of } ST] \equiv \mathcal{R}[\$_1] \text{ and } \$_1(I)(i) < \text{length}_I @M \text{ value at}(\mathfrak{C}, s).$ Set s0 = s. Set $s_1 = f(s, m := \mathfrak{A}(0^I_{\mathfrak{T}}))$. Set $s_2 = f(s_1, i := \mathfrak{A}(1^I_{\mathfrak{T}}))$. Consider J1, K1, L1 being elements of Σ such that L1 = 1 and K1 = 1 and $J1 \neq L1$ and $J1 \neq K1$ and (the connectives of Σ)(11) is of type $\langle J1, K1 \rangle \to L1$ and (the connectives of Σ)(11 + 1) is of type $\langle J1, K1, T \rangle$ $L1\rangle \to J1$ and (the connectives of Σ)(11 + 2) is of type $\langle J1\rangle \to K1$ and

(the connectives of Σ)(11 + 3) is of type $\langle K1, L1 \rangle \to J1$. $\mathcal{P}[s_2]$. Define \mathcal{F} (element of ST) = (len(s0(the array sort of Σ)(M)) - $\$_1(I)(i)$)($\in \mathbb{N}$). $f(s_2, W) \in TV$ iff $\mathcal{Q}[f(s_2, W)]$. Now let Γ denotes the program

```
J; \ K; \ W
```

For every element s of ST such that $\mathcal{Q}[s]$ holds $\mathcal{Q}[f(s,\Gamma)]$ iff $f(s,\Gamma) \in TV$ and $\mathcal{F}(f(s,\Gamma)) < \mathcal{F}(s)$. For every element s of ST such that $\mathcal{P}[s]$ and $s \in TV$ and $\mathcal{Q}[s]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[f(s,J;K)]$. For every element s of ST such that $\mathcal{P}[s]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[f(s,W)]$ and $f(s,W) \in TV$ iff $\mathcal{Q}[f(s,W)]$. M(n,I) value at (\mathfrak{C},s) is a upper bound of X. For every upper bound x of X, M(n,I) value at $(\mathfrak{C},s) \leq x$. \square

(94) Now let Γ denotes the program

```
J;
i :=_{\mathfrak{A}} {}^{@}i + 1_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I}
```

Now let Δ denotes the program

```
for i\!:=_{\mathfrak{A}}\!\tau_0 until b\operatorname{gt}(\tau_1,{}^{@}i,{\mathfrak{A}}) step i\!:=_{\mathfrak{A}}\!{}^{@}i+1_{\mathfrak{T}}^{I} do J done
```

Let us consider an elementary if-while algebra \mathfrak{A} over the generators of G and an execution function f of \mathfrak{A} over \mathfrak{C} -States(the generators of G) and States<sub> $b
eq false_{\sigma}$ </sub> (the generators of G). Suppose

- (i) $f \in \mathfrak{C}$ -Execution_{$b \neq \text{false}_{\sigma}$} (\mathfrak{A}), and
- (ii) G is \mathfrak{C} -supported.

Let us consider elements τ_0 , τ_1 of \mathfrak{T} from I, an algorithm J of \mathfrak{A} , and a set P. Suppose

- (iii) P is invariant w.r.t. $i:=_{\mathfrak{A}}\tau_0$ and f, invariant w.r.t. $b\operatorname{gt}(\tau_1,{}^{@}i,{\mathfrak{A}})$ and f, invariant w.r.t. $i:=_{\mathfrak{A}}({}^{@}i+1^{I}_{\mathfrak{T}})$ and f, and invariant w.r.t. J and f, and
- (iv) J is terminating w.r.t. f and P, and
- (v) for every s, f(s, J)(I)(i) = s(I)(i) and $f(s, b \operatorname{gt}(\tau_1, {}^{@}i, \mathfrak{A}))(I)(i) = s(I)(i)$ and τ_1 value at $(\mathfrak{C}, f(s, b \operatorname{gt}(\tau_1, {}^{@}i, \mathfrak{A}))) = \tau_1$ value at (\mathfrak{C}, s) and τ_1 value at $(\mathfrak{C}, f(s, \Gamma)) = \tau_1$ value at (\mathfrak{C}, s) .

Then Δ is terminating w.r.t. f and P. The theorem is a consequence of (61), (66), (65), (39), and (37). PROOF: Set $W = b \operatorname{gt}(\tau_1, {}^{@}i, \mathfrak{A})$. Set $L = i := \mathfrak{A}({}^{@}i + 1^{I}_{\mathfrak{A}})$. Set $K = i := \mathfrak{A}\tau_0$. Set $ST = \mathfrak{C}$ -States(the generators of G). Set $TV = \operatorname{States}_{b \not\to \operatorname{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}$ (the generators of G). Now let Γ denotes the program

```
egin{bmatrix} J; \ L; \ W \end{bmatrix}
```

For every s such that $f(s, W) \in P$ holds iteration of f started in Γ terminates w.r.t. f(s, W). \square

(95) Now let Γ denotes the program

```
\begin{split} m :=_{\mathfrak{A}} & 0^I_{\mathfrak{T}}; \\ \text{for } i :=_{\mathfrak{A}} & 1^I_{\mathfrak{T}} \text{ until } b \operatorname{gt}(\operatorname{length}_I {}^{@}\!M, {}^{@}\!i, \mathfrak{A}) \text{ step } i :=_{\mathfrak{A}} {}^{@}\!i + 1^I_{\mathfrak{T}} \\ \text{do} \\ & \text{if } b \operatorname{gt}(({}^{@}\!M)({}^{@}\!i), ({}^{@}\!M)({}^{@}\!m), \mathfrak{A}) \text{ then } \\ & m :=_{\mathfrak{A}} {}^{@}\!i \\ & \text{fi} \\ & \text{done} \end{split}
```

Let us consider an elementary if-while algebra \mathfrak{A} over the generators of G and an execution function f of \mathfrak{A} over \mathfrak{C} -States(the generators of G) and States<sub>b
other false \mathfrak{C} </sub> (the generators of G). Suppose

- (i) $f \in \mathfrak{C}$ -Execution_{$b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}$} (\mathfrak{A}), and
- (ii) G is \mathfrak{C} -supported, and
- (iii) $i \neq m$.

Then Γ is terminating w.r.t. f and $\{s:s(\text{the array sort of }\Sigma)(M) \neq \emptyset\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (74), (73), (65), (61), (81), and (94). PROOF: Set $J=m:=_{\mathfrak{A}}(0^I_{\mathfrak{T}})$. Set $K=i:=_{\mathfrak{A}}(1^I_{\mathfrak{T}})$. Set W=b gt(length $_I$ ${}^{@}M, {}^{@}i, {}^{@}M)$. Set $L=i:=_{\mathfrak{A}}({}^{@}i+1^I_{\mathfrak{T}})$. Set N=b gt((${}^{@}M)({}^{@}i), ({}^{@}M)({}^{@}m), {}^{@}M)$. Set $O=m:=_{\mathfrak{A}}({}^{@}i)$. Set a= the array sort of Σ . Set $P=\{s:s(a)(M)\neq\emptyset\}$. P is invariant w.r.t. P and P is invariant w.r.t. if P then P and P is invariant w.r.t. if P then P and P is invariant w.r.t. if P then P and P is invariant w.r.t. if P then P and P is invariant w.r.t. if P then P and P is invariant w.r.t. if P then P and P is invariant w.r.t. if P then P and P is invariant w.r.t. if P then P and P is invariant w.r.t. if P then P and P is invariant w.r.t. if P then P and P is invariant w.r.t. if P then P and P is invariant w.r.t. if P then P and P is invariant w.r.t. if P is invariant w.r.t. if P then P and P is invariant w.r.t. if P then P is invariant w.r.t. if P is invariant w.r.t

```
\begin{array}{c} \text{if } N \text{ then} \\ O \\ \text{fi}; \\ L \end{array}
```

For every s, f(s, if N then O)(I)(i) = s(I)(i) and f(s, W)(I)(i) = s(I)(i) and length_I ${}^{@}M$ value at(\mathfrak{C} , f(s, W)) = length_I ${}^{@}M$ value at(\mathfrak{C} , s) and length_I ${}^{@}M$ value at(\mathfrak{C} , s). \square

3. Sorting by Exchanging

In this paper i_1 , i_2 denote pure elements of (the generators of G)(I). Let us consider Σ , X, \mathfrak{T} , and G. We say that G is integer array if and only if

- (Def. 17) (i) $\{({}^{@}M)(\tau) \text{ where } \tau \text{ is an element of } \mathfrak{T} \text{ from } I : \text{not contradiction}\} \subseteq$ (the generators of G(I)), and
 - (ii) for every M and for every element τ of \mathfrak{T} from I and for every element g of G from I such that $g = ({}^{@}M)(\tau)$ there exists x such that $x \notin (\operatorname{vf} \tau)(I)$ and supp-var g = x and (supp-term g)(the array sort of Σ)(M) = (${}^{@}M$) $_{\tau \leftarrow {}^{@}x}$ and for every sort symbol s of Σ and for every g such that $g \in (\operatorname{vf} g)(s)$ and if $g = \operatorname{the} \operatorname{array} \operatorname{sort} \operatorname{of} \Sigma$, then $g \neq M$ holds (supp-term g)(g)(g) = g.

Now we state the proposition:

(96) If G is integer array, then for every element τ of \mathfrak{T} from I, $({}^{@}M)(\tau) \in$ (the generators of G)(I).

The functor $\langle \mathbb{Z}, \leqslant \rangle$ yielding a strict real non empty poset is defined by the term

(Def. 18) RealPoset \mathbb{Z} .

Let us consider Σ , X, \mathfrak{T} , and G. Let \mathfrak{A} be an elementary if-while algebra over the generators of G, a be a sort symbol of Σ , and τ_1 , τ_2 be elements of \mathfrak{T} from a. Assume $\tau_1 \in$ (the generators of G)(a). The functor $\tau_1:=\mathfrak{A}\tau_2$ yielding an absolutely-terminating algorithm of \mathfrak{A} is defined by the term

(Def. 19) (The assignments of \mathfrak{A})($\langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$).

Now we state the proposition:

(97) Let us consider a countable non-empty many sorted set X indexed by the carrier of Σ , a vf-free including Σ -terms over X integer array non-empty free variable algebra \mathfrak{T} over Σ , a basic generator system G over Σ , X, and \mathfrak{T} , a pure element M of (the generators of G)(the array sort of Σ), and pure elements i, x of (the generators of G)(I). Then $({}^{@}M)({}^{@}i) \neq x$. The theorem is a consequence of (73), (79), (61), and (74).

Let Σ be a non empty non void many sorted signature and \mathfrak{A} be a disjoint valued algebra over Σ . Note that the sorts of \mathfrak{A} is disjoint valued.

Let us consider Σ and X. Let \mathfrak{T} be an including Σ -terms over X algebra over Σ . We say that \mathfrak{T} is array degenerated if and only if

(Def. 20) There exists I and there exists an element M of (FreeGenerator(\mathfrak{T}))(the array sort of Σ) and there exists an element τ of \mathfrak{T} from I such that (${}^{@}M$)(τ) \neq Sym((the connectives of Σ)(11)(\in (the carrier of Σ)), X)-tree($\langle M, \tau \rangle$).

Observe that $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ is non array degenerated.

Observe that there exists an including Σ -terms over X algebra over Σ which is non array degenerated.

Now we state the propositions:

- (98) Suppose \mathfrak{T} is non array degenerated. Then $\operatorname{vf}(({}^{@}M)({}^{@}i)) = I$ -singleton $i \cup$ (the array sort of Σ)-singleton M. The theorem is a consequence of (73). PROOF: Set $\tau = ({}^{@}M)({}^{@}i)$. Reconsider N = M as an element of (FreeGenerator(\mathfrak{T}))(the array sort of Σ). Consider m being a set such that $m \in X$ (the array sort of Σ) and M = the root tree of $\langle m$, the array sort of Σ). Consider j being a set such that $j \in X(I)$ and i = the root tree of $\langle j, I \rangle$. $\{M\} = (\operatorname{vf} \tau)(\operatorname{the array sort of } \Sigma)$. $\{i\} = (\operatorname{vf} \tau)(I)$. For every sort symbol s of Σ such that $s \neq \operatorname{the array sort of } \Sigma$ and $s \neq I$ holds $\emptyset = (\operatorname{vf} \tau)(s)$. \square
- (99) Let us consider an elementary if-while algebra $\mathfrak A$ over the generators of G and an execution function f of $\mathfrak A$ over $\mathfrak C$ -States(the generators of G) and States_{b $\not\rightarrow$ false_{$\mathfrak E$} (the generators of G). Suppose}
 - (i) G is integer array and \mathfrak{C} -supported, and
 - (ii) $f \in \mathfrak{C}$ -Execution_{$b \neq \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}$} (\mathfrak{A}), and
 - (iii) X is countable, and
 - (iv) \mathfrak{T} is non array degenerated.

Let us consider an element τ of \mathfrak{T} from I. Then $f(s,({}^{@}M)({}^{@}i):=_{\mathfrak{A}}\tau)=f(s,M:=_{\mathfrak{A}}(({}^{@}M)_{{}^{@}i\leftarrow\tau}))$. The theorem is a consequence of (96), (98), (97), (4), (3), (62), (73), (61), (84), (65), and (80). PROOF: Reconsider $H=FreeGenerator(\mathfrak{T})$ as a many sorted subset of the generators of G. Set $v=\tau$ value at (\mathfrak{C},s) . Reconsider $p=({}^{@}M)({}^{@}i)$ as an element of G from I. Reconsider g=s as a many sorted function from the generators of G into the sorts of G. Reconsider $g=f(s,({}^{@}M)({}^{@}i):=_{\mathfrak{A}}\tau)$, $g=f(s,M:=_{\mathfrak{A}}(({}^{@}M)_{{}^{@}i\leftarrow\tau}))$ as a many sorted function from the generators of G into the sorts of G. Reconsider G0. Reconsider G1. Reconsider G2. Reconsider G3 as an element of G4 from the array sort of G5. Consider G5. Consider G7 such that G7 such that G8 as an element of G9 from the array sort of G7. Consider G8 such that G9 (G9) such that G9 (G9)

symbol s of Σ and for every y such that $y \in (\text{vf } p)(s)$ and if s = the array

Let us consider Σ , X, \mathfrak{T} , G, \mathfrak{C} , s, and b. Let us observe that $s(\text{the boolean sort of }\Sigma)(b)$ is boolean.

sort of Σ , then $y \neq M$ holds (supp-term p)(s)(y) = y. g1 = g2. \square

Now we state the proposition:

(100) Now let Γ denotes the program

```
while J do y:=_{\mathfrak{A}}({}^{@}M)({}^{@}i_{1}); ({}^{@}M)({}^{@}i_{1}):=_{\mathfrak{A}}({}^{@}M)({}^{@}i_{2}); ({}^{@}M)({}^{@}i_{2}):=_{\mathfrak{A}}{}^{@}y done
```

Let us consider an elementary if-while algebra \mathfrak{A} over the generators of G and an execution function f of \mathfrak{A} over \mathfrak{C} -States(the generators of G) and States_{b $\not\rightarrow$ false_{\mathcal{E}} (the generators of G). Suppose}

- (i) G is integer array and \mathfrak{C} -supported, and
- (ii) $f \in \mathfrak{C}$ -Execution_{$b \neq \text{false}_{\sigma}$} (\mathfrak{A}), and
- (iii) \mathfrak{T} is non array degenerated, and
- (iv) X is countable.

Let us consider an algorithm J of \mathfrak{A} . Suppose

- (v) f(s, J) (the array sort of Σ)(M) = s(the array sort of Σ)(M), and
- (vi) for every array D of $\langle \mathbb{Z}, \leqslant \rangle$ such that D = s (the array sort of Σ)(M) holds if $D \neq \emptyset$, then $f(s,J)(I)(i_1), f(s,J)(I)(i_2) \in \text{dom } D$ and if inversions $D \neq \emptyset$, then $\langle f(s,J)(I)(i_1), f(s,J)(I)(i_2) \rangle \in \text{inversions } D$ and $f(s,J)(\text{(the boolean sort of }\Sigma))(b) = true$ iff inversions $D \neq \emptyset$.

Let us consider a 0-based finite array D of (\mathbb{Z}, \leqslant) . Suppose

- (vii) D = s(the array sort of Σ)(M), and
- (viii) $y \neq i_1$, and
- (ix) $y \neq i_2$.

Then

- (x) $f(s,\Gamma)$ (the array sort of Σ)(M) is an ascending permutation of D, and
- (xi) if J is absolutely-terminating, then Γ is terminating w.r.t. f and $\{s_1 : s_1 \text{ (the array sort of } \Sigma)(M) \neq \emptyset\}$.

The theorem is a consequence of (73), (10), (61), (65), (99), (80), (74), and (79). PROOF: Define $\mathcal{F}(\text{natural number}, \text{element of }\mathfrak{C}\text{-States}(\text{the generators of }G)) = f(\$_2, ((J; y:=_{\mathfrak{A}}((^{@}M)(^{@}i_1))); (^{@}M)(^{@}i_1):=_{\mathfrak{A}}((^{@}M)(^{@}i_2)));$ ($^{@}M)(^{@}i_2):=_{\mathfrak{A}}(^{@}y)$). Set $ST=\mathfrak{C}\text{-States}(\text{the generators of }G)$. Consider g being a function from \mathbb{N} into ST such that g(0)=s and for every natural number $i, g(i+1)=\mathcal{F}(i, (g(i) \text{ qua} \text{ element of }ST))$). Define $\mathcal{G}(\text{element})=g(\$_1(\in\mathbb{N}))(\text{the array sort of }\Sigma)(M)$. Consider h being a function from \mathbb{N} into \mathbb{Z}^{ω} such that for every element i such that $i\in\mathbb{N}$ holds $h(i)=\mathcal{G}(i)$. For every ordinal number a such that $a\in\text{dom }g$ holds h(a) is an array of $\{\mathbb{Z},\leqslant\}$. Set $TV=\text{States}_{b\not\to \text{false}_{\mathfrak{C}}}(\text{the generators of }G)$. Consider s_1 such that $s=s_1$ and $s_1(\text{the array sort of }\Sigma)(M)\neq\emptyset$. Reconsider

 $D = s(\text{the array sort of }\Sigma)(M)$ as a 0-based finite non empty array of $\langle \mathbb{Z}, \leqslant \rangle$. Consider q being a function from N into ST such that q(0) = sand for every natural number $i, g(i+1) = \mathcal{F}(i, (g(i)))$ qua element of ST)). Define $\mathcal{G}(\text{element}) = g(\$_1(\in \mathbb{N}))$ (the array sort of Σ)(M). Consider h being a function from N into \mathbb{Z}^{ω} such that for every element i such that $i \in \mathbb{N}$ holds $h(i) = \mathcal{G}(i)$. For every ordinal number a such that $a \in \text{dom } g$ holds h(a) is an array of (\mathbb{Z}, \leq) . Define $\mathfrak{T}[\text{natural number}] \equiv h(\$_1) \neq \emptyset$. For every natural number i such that $\mathfrak{T}[i]$ holds $\mathfrak{T}[i+1]$. For every natural number a and for every array R of (\mathbb{Z}, \leqslant) such that R = h(a) for every s such that g(a) = s there exist sets x, y such that $x = f(s, J)(I)(i_1)$ and $y = f(s, J)(I)(i_2)$ and $x, y \in \text{dom } R$ and h(a+1) = Swap(R, x, y). Define $\mathcal{Q}[\text{natural number}] \equiv h(\$_1)$ is a permutation of D. Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural}]$ number $\equiv g(\$_1)$ (the array sort of Σ)(M) is an ascending permutation of D. There exists a natural number i such that $\mathcal{P}[i]$. Consider \mathfrak{B} being a natural number such that $\mathcal{P}[\mathfrak{B}]$ and for every natural number i such that $\mathcal{P}[i]$ holds $\mathfrak{B} \leq i$. Reconsider $c = h \upharpoonright \operatorname{succ} \mathfrak{B}$ as an array of \mathbb{Z}^{ω} . Set $TV = \text{States}_{b \neq \text{false}_{\sigma}}$ (the generators of G). Define $\mathcal{H}(\text{natural number}) =$ $f(g(\$_1-1),J)$. Consider r being a finite sequence such that len $r=\mathfrak{B}+1$ and for every natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom } r \text{ holds } r(i) = \mathcal{H}(i)$. rng $r \subseteq ST$. Reconsider $R = g(\mathfrak{B})$ (the array sort of Σ) (M) as an ascending permutation of D. Now let Γ denotes the program

```
y :=_{\mathfrak{A}}({}^{@}M)({}^{@}i_{1});
({}^{@}M)({}^{@}i_{1}) :=_{\mathfrak{A}}({}^{@}M)({}^{@}i_{2});
({}^{@}M)({}^{@}i_{2}) :=_{\mathfrak{A}}{}^{@}y;
J
```

For every natural number i such that $1 \le i < \text{len } r \text{ holds } r(i) \in TV$ and $r(i+1) = f(r(i), \Gamma)$. \square

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Mizar analysis of algorithms: Preliminaries. Formalized Mathematics, 15(3):87–110, 2007. doi:10.2478/v10037-007-0011-x.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Program algebra over an algebra. Formalized Mathematics, 20(4): 309-341, 2012. doi:10.2478/v10037-012-0037-6.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sorting by exchanging. Formalized Mathematics, 19(2):93-102, 2011. doi:10.2478/v10037-011-0015-4.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Institution of many sorted algebras. Part I: Signature reduct of an algebra. Formalized Mathematics, 6(2):279–287, 1997.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. Formalized Mathematics, 2(5):719–725, 1991.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. Free term algebras. Formalized Mathematics, 20(3):239–256, 2012. doi:10.2478/v10037-012-0029-6.
- [8] Grzegorz Bancerek. Terms over many sorted universal algebra. Formalized Mathematics, 5(2):191–198, 1996.
- [9] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [10] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's lemma. Formalized Mathematics, 2(3):397-402, 1991.

- [11] Grzegorz Bancerek. Joining of decorated trees. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):77–82, 1993.
- [12] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):93–107, 1997.
- [13] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [14] Grzegorz Bancerek and Artur Korniłowicz. Yet another construction of free algebra. Formalized Mathematics, 9(4):779–785, 2001.
- [15] Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. Formalized Mathematics, 5(4):485–492, 1996.
- [16] Ewa Burakowska. Subalgebras of many sorted algebra. Lattice of subalgebras. Formalized Mathematics, 5(1):47–54, 1996.
- [17] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [18] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [19] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1): 55–65, 1990.
- [20] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153-164, 1990.
- [21] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [22] Czesław Byliński. Galois connections. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):131–143, 1997.
- [23] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [24] Małgorzata Korolkiewicz. Homomorphisms of many sorted algebras. Formalized Mathematics, 5(1):61–65, 1996.
- [25] Jarosław Kotowicz, Beata Madras, and Małgorzata Korolkiewicz. Basic notation of universal algebra. Formalized Mathematics, 3(2):251–253, 1992.
- [26] Rafał Kwiatek. Factorial and Newton coefficients. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):887–890, 1990.
- [27] Takashi Mitsuishi and Grzegorz Bancerek. Lattice of fuzzy sets. Formalized Mathematics, 11(4):393–398, 2003.
- [28] Beata Perkowska. Free many sorted universal algebra. Formalized Mathematics, 5(1): 67–74, 1996.
- [29] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1 (2):329–334, 1990.
- [30] Andrzej Trybulec. A scheme for extensions of homomorphisms of many sorted algebras. Formalized Mathematics, 5(2):205–209, 1996.
- [31] Andrzej Trybulec. Many sorted algebras. Formalized Mathematics, 5(1):37-42, 1996.
- [32] Andrzej Trybulec. Many sorted sets. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):15-22, 1993.
- [33] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [34] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [35] Wojciech A. Trybulec and Grzegorz Bancerek. Kuratowski Zorn lemma. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):387–393, 1990.
- [36] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67-71, 1990.
- [37] Tetsuya Tsunetou, Grzegorz Bancerek, and Yatsuka Nakamura. Zero-based finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 9(4):825–829, 2001.
- [38] Edmund Woronowicz. Many argument relations. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):733-737,
- [39] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1 (1):73–83, 1990.

Received November 9, 2012